

## Summary of Submissions:

The tables below summarise the comments received during the advertising period of the proposal, together with the City's response to each comment.

Comments Received in Support:	Officer Technical Comment:
Nil.	
Comments Received in Objection:	Officer Technical Comment:
<p><u>Visual Amenity</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The existing tower does not comply with the WA Planning Commission Guidelines for the Location, Siting and Design of Telecommunications Infrastructure. It doesn't appear to be a compact design.</li> <li>The additional height of the structure will make it more prominent and not minimise the impact on the visual amenity of the area and does not meet the Development Criteria of Clause 9 Visual Amenity and Facility Design of the City's Telecommunications Facilities Policy.</li> <li>Consideration should be given to a more compact redesign for the whole tower, or alternatively, find less visually intrusive locations on existing buildings for the additional capacity. With taller apartments being constructed in the area, I would like to know that these options have been considered.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This application relates to an existing telecommunications tower only. The location of the telecommunications tower has been established.</li> <li>The telecommunications tower is located at the rear of the site which is considered to reduce the visual impact of the tower in terms of building bulk when viewed from the primary streets. The subject site is not located in an area with any identified views of significance and is not located within or adjoining any properties identified to have heritage or environmental value.</li> <li>The proposal has incorporated design measures to minimise the impact of the height of the telecommunications tower. The total bulk of the telecommunication tower has been reduced through the removal of the existing Optus equipment and headframe currently mounted at 14 metres. This reduces the bulk of the structure as well as visual clutter on the monopole. The extension is proposed to be constructed using neutral colours and finishes to further assist in minimising the visual impact of the telecommunications tower.</li> </ul>
<p><u>Location</u></p> <p>The mobile phone tower is located within 300 metres of land zoned for Residential purposes which is considered a sensitive use and therefore does not meet the Development Criteria of Clause 7 Protection of Sensitive Uses of the City's Telecommunications Facilities Policy.</p>	<p>The existing telecommunications tower is located within a local centre which predominantly contains commercial uses. However it is noted that there are existing and proposed residential developments within the immediate vicinity. The City's Policy No. 7.5.6 – Telecommunications Infrastructure encourages telecommunication facilities to be co-located with existing facilities. The proposal will extend the height of the existing telecommunication only to provide greater network coverage to more service providers. The proposed extension is wholly located within the existing footprint of the telecommunication tower, which is consistent with the City's Policy No. 7.5.6 – Telecommunications Infrastructure.</p>

Note: Submissions are considered and assessed by issue rather than by individual submitter.