

### Summary of Comments - Edinboro Street, Mount Hawthorn

Comment - Proposed Traffic Calming Between Hobart and Woodstock Streets (Speed Humps)	Administration Comment	Comment - Proposed Traffic Calming - Inter. Ellesmere Street (Raised Plateau)	Administration Comment
<b>I Support the Proposal</b>			
15 x In support no further comment		11 x In support no further comment	
2 x Would be happy with more speed humps	<i>Additional speed humps would result in too close a spacing and not warranted.</i>	2 x great idea as very difficult to see cars coming through Edinboro	
Great initiative - please try to keep it aesthetically pleasing	<i>Speed humps are governed by standards in respect of line-marking and signage.</i>		
vehicles parked on the street slow traffic	<i>Agreed, on road parking can govern speed.</i>	2 x support raised plateau and ceasing the bus services	<i>Bus routes are determined by the PTA.</i>
Consider additional calming at both ends	<i>Hobart Street end has an existing roundabout, in addition to the proposed speed humps, and stop control at Woodstock Street.</i>	Suggest also traffic calming at top of street, near Green St	<i>Top end of street not consulted on additional traffic calming, i.e. speed humps.</i>
Great idea			
		Prefer a roundabout to a raised plateau	<i>Roundabout not suitable due to topography, site constraints and loss of amenity for adjacent residents</i>
Extend no parking zones either side of park and 2 x consider parking bays within the road reserve adjacent the park	<i>Parking bans either side of park not warranted as it provides an amenity for residents and effectively reduces speed. Embayed parking in verge not supported in a residential area.</i>	Also create parking in front of park	<i>Embayed parking in verge not supported in a residential area.</i>
Consider traffic nibs to slow traffic	<i>Nibs will result in parking being restricted to one side of the road only and will likely lead to increased speeds for the un-impeded direction of travel.</i>		
leaving my driveway will be easier if traffic is slowed			
happy with speed humps however concerns over noise and if height will be sufficient	<i>Nosie is aside effect of speed humps while height is governed by Aust. Standards.</i>	suggest also a left in left out at Edinboro / Scar. Beach Rd intersection	<i>Will result in redistribution of traffic to surrounding streets, i.e. Hobart is no exit to Scarb Beach Rd at therefore commercial traffic will have no west bound access and will be diverted to the Bike Boulevard (Shakespeare Street).</i>
rat runners have moved from Shakespeare St, welcome both traffic measures	<i>Most recent traffic data (Oct 2017) suggests that volumes have returned to pre Bike Boulevard construction levels.</i>		
will red asphalt have white line warning strips as per normal speed humps and is it long enough for a car to enter/exit to avoid noise.	<i>Standard line-marking (piano keys) will be applied.</i>		

### Summary of Comments - Edinboro Street, Mount Hawthorn

Comment - Proposed Traffic Calming Between Hobart and Woodstock Streets (Speed Humps)	Administration Comment	Comment - Proposed Traffic Calming - Inter. Ellesmere Street (Raised Plateau)	Administration Comment
<b>I Do Not Support the Proposal</b>			
we do not want speed humps outside our property due to limiting parking	<i>Speed humps, if approved, will be installed in the locations shown on the public consultation plan.</i>	Not in support no further comment	
no traffic concerns and create additional noise		concerns regarding noise and traffic. Suggest driver education required and police surveillance rather than a costly engineered solution.	<i>Current speeds do not meet the Police's criteria for active enforcement.</i>
The median strip at the intersection, the incline of the hill and number of cars parked slow traffic sufficiently		We cant recall any accidents, speed humps could increase noise. Definitely don't want raised plateau.	
also 50kmp street signs would be great.	<i>As 50 kph is the default urban speed limit Main Roads will not install signage in Access Roads.</i>		
noise from speed not acceptable.			
<b>I Neither Support or Object to the Proposal</b>			
Speed humps can be very noisy whilst people are trying to sleep, is there another option	<i>Width of road limits the options without having to widen/cut into the verge to accommodate other standard devices.</i>	3 x would support a roundabout at the intersection and please put parking in front of reserve.	<i>Roundabout not suitable due to topography, site constraints and loss of amenity for adjacent residents. Embayed parking in verge not supported in a residential area.</i>
Speed hump warranted at 14 Edinboro and that's all		As no care is taken by drivers, therefore excessive noise from going over the plateau and it will cause discomfort for the bus passengers.	<i>PTA will also likely be opposed to a raised plateau through the intersection.</i>