



CITY OF VINCENT

MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

27 July 2021

Table of Contents

1	Declaration of Opening / Acknowledgement of Country.....	3
2	Apologies / Members on Leave of Absence.....	3
3	(A) Public Question Time and Receiving of Public Statements	3
	(B) Response to Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice.....	8
4	Applications for Leave of Absence	15
5	The Receiving of Petitions, Deputations and Presentations	15
6	Confirmation of Minutes	17
7	Announcements by the Presiding Member (Without Discussion)	17
	7.1 Public Consultation	17
8	Declarations of Interest	17
	REPORTS	18
	Items Approved "En Bloc":	19
	9.2 Draft Woodville Reserve Landscape Plan	20
	11.2 Investment Report as at 31 May 2021	21
	11.3 Financial Statements as at 31 May 2021	22
	11.4 Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 May 2021 to 31 May 2021	23
	12.1 Elected Members Professional Development - 2020/2021	24
	12.3 Information Bulletin	25
	Reports with Discussion.....	26
	10.3 Response to Petition - Demolition of Banks Reserve Pavilion	26
	9.3 Response to Petition relating to Odour from 7Grams Chicken, Nos. 212-214 Lake Street, Perth.....	27
	10.4 Response to Petition - Verge Parking Along Vincent Street.....	28
	9.1 No. 14 (Lot: 119; D/P: 1223) Franklin Street, Leederville - Proposed Three Grouped Dwellings.....	29
	10.1 Tender IE114-2021 Supply and Laying of Kerbing.....	34
	10.2 E-Permits Implementation Progress Report	35
	11.1 Event and Festival Funding 2021/2022 [ABSOLUTE MAJORITY DECISION REQUIRED].....	36
	12.2 Report and Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on 6 July 2021.....	40
	10.1 Tender IE114-2021 Supply and Laying of Kerbing - 8.06	41
13	Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given 8.36.....	43
14	Questions by Members of Which Due Notice Has Been Given (Without Discussion)	43
15	Representation on Committees and Public Bodies	43
16	Urgent Business	43
17	Confidential Items/Matters For Which the Meeting May be Closed	43
18	Closure	43

**MINUTES OF CITY OF VINCENT
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AS E-MEETING AND AT THE
ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIC CENTRE,
244 VINCENT STREET, LEEDERVILLE
ON TUESDAY, 27 JULY 2021 AT 6.00PM**

PRESENT:	<p>Mayor Emma Cole Cr Alex Castle Cr Joanne Fotakis Cr Jonathan Hallett Cr Dan Loden Cr Ashley Wallace Cr Joshua Topelberg Cr Sally Smith</p>	<p>Presiding Member North Ward North Ward South Ward North Ward South Ward South Ward North Ward</p>
IN ATTENDANCE:	<p>David MacLennan Andrew Murphy Virginia Miltrup John Corbellini Jay Naidoo Tara Gloster Wendy Barnard</p>	<p>Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment Executive Director Community & Business Services Executive Director Strategy & Development Manager Development & Design Manager Policy & Place Council Liaison Officer</p>

Public: Approximately seventeen members of the public.

1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole declared the meeting open at 5.58pm and read the following Acknowledgement of Country statement:

“The City of Vincent would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and pay our respects to Elders past, present and emerging”.

2 APOLOGIES / MEMBERS ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Susan Gontaszewski on approved leave of absence from 26 June 2021 to 08 August 2021.

Cr Joshua Topelberg was incorrectly listed in the agenda as being on leave of absence.

3 (A) PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND RECEIVING OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS

The following questions and statements were received at the meeting. This is not a verbatim record of questions and statements made at the meeting.

3.1 Caroline Cohen of East Perth - Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Mentioned that the Banks Precinct Hall is the heart of the community
- Stated that the pavilion does not flood, even though it is in the flood plain
- Stated that the money to maintain the pavilion could be generated through marketing
- Mentioned that there were some flaws in the consultation process as demolition was not mentioned
- Appeals to the City to preserve the site
- Requests Council to defer the demolition

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Cohen for her comments.

3.2 Catherine Hinch of East Perth – Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Stated that she was involved in the consultation process and demolition was not discussed
- Stated that the facilities have been used for commercial enterprises, but they are open to the community
- Mentioned she is concerned about the costs of the program
- Taking away the heart of the community

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Hinch for her comments.

3.3 John Hockley of Mt Lawley – Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Stated that demolition was not mentioned at the community events he attended
- Mentioned that the floor of the Hall has a sprung floor which is useful for dancing
- Stated he has doubts about the costings
- Requested Council to defer the demolition to allow for further consultation

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Hockley for his comments.

3.4 Wendy Herring of Guildford – Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Mentioned that she used to teach classes in the Reserve
- Mentioned that respite from the heat or the rain is required
- Stated that Council should consider their legacy

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Herring for her comments.

3.5 Andrew Martin of Mt Lawley - Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Stated that he lives 50m from the Hall
- Mentioned that the residents have never complained

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Martin for his comments.

3.6 Kirsten Goy of Perth – Item 9.3

- Thanked Council for their work so far
- Acknowledged that this is a compliance issue
- Stated that they are subject to smells 10 hours a day, 6 days a week
- Mentioned that the classification of the property is incorrect, as it is not a fast food location

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Goy for her comments and stated that the question as to whether the fast food classification is appropriate will be asked when the item is discussed,

3.7 Lynley Coen of Perth – Item 9.3

- Mentioned that she is a long term resident
- Stated that the odour does not allow her to sit outside
- Mentioned that she is aware that the City has been taking action, but it has not been effective

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Coen for her comments.

3.8 Antoinette Geagea of Perth – Item 9.3

- Stated that the smell has a big impact on their lifestyle
- Mentioned that Amy Street is used for delivery drivers to collect food
- Mentioned that delivery services are parking in their driveways
- Mentioned that the definition of fast food is not correct
- Mentioned that the City should visit to determine the effect it has on the residents

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Ms Geagea for her comments.

3.9 Dudley Maier of Highgate – 6.19

1. What council workshops have been held since 22 June 2021, and what items were presented at each workshop?
2. Who collects the waste from this Admin Building and if it is a private contractor, when did they start collecting it?
3. Have all businesses been contacted, as requested by Council, before their bins were removed, and what documentary evidence of this has been retained?
4. In early 2020 Marie Slythe and members of the Cleaver community nominated to have parts of their area recognised as a Character Retention Area. It is 18 months later and this still hasn't been progressed – people are starting to give up. When will the staff look at this? Why has it been delayed – is it because of staff shortages or other priorities?

10.3 Banks Reserve Pavilion

As I mentioned in my email to council members, I ask that you do not make a de facto decision on the pavilion demolition tonight, and give Leon Pericles and the community a chance to demonstrate the alternative of keeping the pavilion in some form.

There is no rush to demolish the pavilion – you're just going to replace it with grass. And there is no shortage of grass or open public space in the area – there is no benefit.

Of concern is the fact that the staff continually understate the utilisation of the pavilion by ignoring the fact that it was not available to the broader community between 7:30am and 4:30 pm, Monday to Friday.

I also question the assertion that the venue should go because it runs at a loss. Other halls run at a loss; the library runs at a massive loss, as does Beatty Park – but you're not getting rid of them.

What is particularly distressing is the fact that the staff suggest that if people from the Banks Precinct want to do something like yoga they can drive to Leederville or Mt Hawthorn. Also of concern is the fact that they did not address the Lycopodium condition report as requested by the mayor.

Leon has demonstrated broad community support. He has 446 signatures which is more than the 387 signatures for the retention of the dental clinic – and you did a 180 degree turn around on that one. I'd ask you to do the same here.

Leon Pericles is well known in the arts community and should be given a chance to develop a proposal. For all you know it might become the arts hub that a lot of people have been suggesting for a number of years. I think he should be given the chance – he may not succeed but give him the chance.

You have nothing to lose and everything to gain.

The Executive Director Strategy & Development advised that there have been many nominations for character retention and they are being processed in the order they were received. The Cleaver Precinct nomination will be with Council in the next month for decision.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Maier for his comments

3.10 Ross Ioppolo of Leederville – Item 10.3

- Stated that the Administration has the authority to signpost the area
- Why could that decision not have been made by Administration before the petition was necessary?

- Why do current regulations not prohibit verge parking?

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Ioppolo for his comments and advised that these questions would be asked during discussion of the item.

3.11 Nick Cianci of Leederville – Item 9.1

- Stated he lives next to 14 Franklin Street
- Stated that the removal of the current house is well regarded
- Mentioned that he believes the house sizes are too large

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Cianci for his comments.

3.12 Ian Rawlings of Mt Lawley – Item 10.3

- Spoke against the demolition
- Mentioned that Banks precinct is isolated from the rest of Vincent and access to other facilities is difficult
- Stated that elderly residents may find it difficult to find alternatives
- Stated that it is a valued asset and will be sorely missed.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole thanked Mr Rawlings for his comments.

The following questions and statements were submitted prior to the Meeting:

3.13 Ross Ioppolo of Leederville – Item 9.4

1. Regarding Council's response to Question 2 below, it implies that the City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 prohibition for unattached trailers is not enforceable due to changes to the Road Traffic Code 2000 in Dec 2020 and June 2021. Can we please confirm the legal precedent here as it pertains to the authority of the main legislation governing parking in the City of Vincent? My construction is as follows:
 - The authority of City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 is provided by the Local Government Act 1995.
 - Local Government Act 1995 Part 3 provides for function of local government to include legislative powers to make local laws (Clause 3.5) in addition to the power to make local laws conferred to it by any other Act (Clause 3.5(3)), but noting that a local law made under this Act is inoperative to the extent that it is inconsistent with this Act or any other written law (Clause 3.7).
 - Road Traffic Code 2000 including amendments effective up to and including 23 Jun 2021 Clause 8(2) provides that 'Where ... the parking or stopping of a vehicle constitutes an offence against local laws in force in a government district under ... the Local Government Act 1995, the parking or stopping of that vehicle does not constitute an offence against the provisions of this Code'. The interaction of these pieces of legislation recognises that they act independently provided there is no inconsistency.
 - I cannot identify any legislative amendments to the Road Traffic Code 2000 in Dec 2020 and June 2021 as documented in the relevant Gazette's that indicated why any amendment to the Road Traffic Code 2000 would render City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 prohibition for unattached trailers parked on verge to be rendered unenforceable.
 - Can you please provide further clarity on the nature of the amendment to which you refer below?

2. UNATTACHED TRAILERS - City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) prohibits amongst other things, the parking of trailers on a verge, unattached to a

motor vehicle at any time or unattached to commercial vehicles for unloading or loading purposes AND provided no obstruction is caused. On this basis:

a. Please confirm based on Council's understanding of its own laws and the Administration's current enforcement protocols, that unattached trailers parked on verges that blocks line-of-sight to oncoming traffic is illegal?

b. Why has an ongoing breach of these clauses on Vincent Street, Leederville between Oxford and Loftus not been proactively enforced?

c. Please provide a compliance report documenting the number of citations issued in the City of Vincent that contravenes City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) over the last 12 months?

d. If relying on an alternative authority as to why Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) is ineffective to enforce unattached trailers, please provide the relevant Act, Division, page and paragraph, and if multiple sections or Acts have been considered, please provide the references for each Act.

The Road Traffic Code 2000 along with the associated Regulations are amended regularly with the last times being December 2020 and June 2021 which resulted in the unattached trailers being parked on a verge clause being removed and as a result of this the City is unable to enforce the offences under the Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law. The Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law is currently being reviewed/amended with these clauses being removed as part of the process.

2. Regarding Council's response to Question 3 below, the response misconstrues the question. You have limited your response to a situation where a resident is parked across their own driveway and while acknowledging this would break the law, that discretion would be shown in this circumstance by not issuing an infringement. Please kindly clarify your position by reconsidering the question where a resident is parked on the verge and creating an obstacle to a line-of-site to oncoming traffic for other residents egressing from their driveways, both within and outside of a 10 metres distance threshold .. where parking does not transcend across the residents driveway.

VERGE PARKING CREATING AN OBSTACLE - City of Vincent Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clause 4.5(2)(c) provides that unless a sign indicates otherwise, a person shall not park a vehicle so that any portion of the vehicle is obstructing a right of way, private drive or carriageway or so close as to deny a vehicle reasonable access to or egress from the right of way, private drive or carriageway. Furthermore, Clause 4.5(2)(k) prohibits verge parking within 10 metres of a property line, irrespective of whether an obstruction is caused. It would appear also that as an alternative argument, Clause 5.8, the definition of 'Carriageway' and Local Government Act 1995 Clauses 1.4 and 1.6 definitions of 'Thoroughfare' and 'Obstruct' combine to effectively ban vehicles parking back-to-back where it results in a vehicle parking next to an obstruction or if it further obstructs traffic. With this context:

a. Please acknowledge this Council and the Administration's understanding as it is relevant to regulation and enforcement, that the above clauses, either individually or collectively, prevent parking on a verge where this creates an obstruction, in terms of an obscured line-of-sight to oncoming traffic for residents egressing from a driveway onto the street?

b. Why has an ongoing breach of these clauses on Vincent Street, Leederville between Oxford and Loftus not been proactively enforced?

c. Please provide a compliance report documenting the number of citations issued in the City of Vincent that contravenes City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clause 4.5(2)(c), Clause 4.5(2)(k) and/or the interaction between Clauses 5.8, 1.4 and the Local Government Act 1995 Clauses 1.4 and 1.6 over the last 12 months? It is acknowledged that 4.5(2) (c) could be used in this instance, however, it would not be in the public interest for the City to issue infringements to ratepayers/residents who are obstructing their own driveways.

The City works collaboratively with all residents to find alternative parking solutions or to get the vehicles moved and the issuing of infringement notice in any case would be a last resort. In this case City Officers have met with the resident on several occasions in an attempt to solve the issue. Infringement stats for clause 4.5(2)(c) for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 are 35 infringements issued (Denying access to private drive or ROW). Infringement stats for clause 4.5(2)(k) for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June

2021 are 10 infringements issued (Park within 10m of intersection). It should be noted that neither of these offence clauses relate to parking issues on a verge.

Two further questions, tabled on the presumption that Council accepts the recommendation of the Administration in response to resident's petition to ban verge parking on the south side of Vincent Street, between Oxford and Loftus Streets, Leederville.

While a ban is a relief and a most welcome outcome, it is good governance to confirm the following from a constructive perspective:

3. Why has it taken such lengths (numerous rangers coming out, repeated correspondence to Administration, video evidence/photos and residents petition) to resolve this issue including Council involvement if the Administration ultimately agrees there is a safety risk and it had the delegated authority from the outset to exercise discretion to signpost the relevant area as a no verge parking zone?
4. What are the learnings for Residents, Administration and Council from the process of resolving this issue that could lead to a more efficient engagement and resolution process going forward?

The following question was submitted prior to the Meeting and were read out by the A/Executive Manager Corporate Strategy & Governance.

3.14 Chris Kings-Lynne of Mount Hawthorn

My question is: what is going to be done about the regular floods occurring from 89 to 97 East St, Mount Hawthorn?

We have reported floods in 2010, twice in 2016, 2017 and now twice in 2021. The floods in 2010, 2016 and 2021 entered and destroyed the interior of properties.

There is obvious stormwater overflow from the higher areas surrounding that is being offloaded to our street: from Purslowe, Egina, Berryman and Federation. We have observed that drainage is missing or inadequate and usually blocked in those uphill streets.

The Executive Director Infrastructure and Environment advised that the City has met with Mr Kings-Lynne and undertaken a hydrology report to identify ways to solve the issues.

Administrations' responses to questions will be provided in the Agenda for the 17 August 2021 Ordinary Council Meeting.

There being no further speakers, Public Question Time closed at approximately 6.32pm.

(B) RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

These questions were received at the 22 June 2021 Ordinary Council Meeting.

3.1 Norelle O'Neill of Mt Hawthorn – Item 9.5

Unfortunately, I will not be able to attend tonight's Full Council Meeting but wish to submit the following questions regarding 'Item 9.5 Britannia Reserve Development Plan'

- What mechanisms does the City have in place to ensure that residents and ratepayers have equal representation and input to that of the Floreat Athena Football Club (FAFC), which can muster its members, most of whom are neither residents nor ratepayers of Vincent, to push-through their preferred options.

The community consultation process will ensure that all key stakeholders are able to provide their input and feedback into the development on the site. This will be done through the various mediums outlined in the engagement plan. Council would be provided with information on whether submissions are from residents/ratepayers of Vincent or not.

- Will all council members/staff of the City of Vincent register their membership of the FAFC as a conflict of interest?

Council members and staff involved in planning or decision making should disclose the extent of their interest.

- The 'key stakeholders' are not listed in the draft consultation proposal. Can all stakeholders be identified prior to commencement of the consultation process?

The key stakeholders as outlined in the project engagement plan are listed below:

- Residents surrounding Britannia Reserve.
- Users of Britannia Reserve.
- Members of the Floreat Athena Football Club.
- Member of the local sporting groups that use the Britannia Reserve ovals, including soccer and cricket clubs.
- Elected Members.

- Can additional change-room building design options also be provided, as the example given is absolutely without architectural merit and does not suit the surrounding area, at all.

The concept will be amended following community consultation to reflect the community feedback received. This will then be brought to Council to seek approval to progress this to detailed design. The detailed design process will go through the City's procurement process which involves receiving multiple proposals and scopes. This detailed design will also be approved by Council in order to obtain the Federal Government grant funding.

- Can views from the front of the facility, namely from Britannia Road, also be included in the illustrations? Will the wire fence and hedge facing the street be removed? If so, what will be in its place?

This will be determined through the detailed design process. Removal of the wire fence and hedge facing Britannia Road has not been proposed in the draft concept but the City welcomes feedback on the site elements including the fence and hedge.

- NO mature native tree at the site is to be removed (yet again!) for any reason. Have a look at the mature-tree graveyard along the bike track borders to get an understanding of what is really happening in our environment. The 'bund' can be lowered without killing off more native wildlife habitat.

The City has engaged an arborist so that we understand how removal of part of the bund can be achieved while maintaining the majority of vegetation and trees on the site. The removal of part of the bund is not planned in the current works proposed, but as a possible future element which the City is seeking feedback on. Feedback regarding the impact this would have on the site, both regarding vegetation and the lowering of the bund to create permeability, is encouraged.

- What is the perimeter, or distance from the Reserve and Stadium, of those residents to be consulted as part of the 'consultation process'? By its own admission the City has previously failed to consult sufficient residents impacted by developments, can we be assured this will not happen again?

A letter or postcard will be distributed to owners and occupiers within a 400 metres proximity of the reserve. This will be in conjunction with other engagement methods including an online survey, news item on the City's website, social media campaign and signage and engagement on the reserve itself.

- Can there be a fresh start and the facility, which has deep Aboriginal historical significance, be returned to its original and real name 'Galup' in line with the City's Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP), which acknowledges that the 'former Lake Monger Velodrome (now Litis Stadium) ...is a significant Noongar site'?

The City's RAP also states:

“The City of Vincent acknowledges the significance of the land and waters within our Council and the importance they have to Noongar people and their wellbeing. The Noongar people are the Traditional Owners of the land and water which sustains each of us and the City is committed to working with the Noongar community to ensure tradition, protocol and culture are upheld, respected and preserved. We endeavour to work, act, communicate and live respectfully by acknowledging and celebrating the significance Noongar people, land, water and culture play in our history, our lives today and into the future.” (City of Vincent Reconciliation Action Plan 2019-2021)

Prior to the construction of the freeway and infill at Britannia Reserve the whole area, to Brentham Street and beyond, was part of the connected and significant waterway that provided not only food, shelter and social connection but was deep cultural significance to the Nation’s First People.

The area is ‘Galup’, it is *not* FAFC, *not* Litis Stadium, *not* Britannia Reserve and most certainly does not belong to any of the people currently deciding its future. We should acknowledge the true owners by returning the name it was known by for centuries.

The RAPWG discussed renaming or dual-naming of Vincent locations with their Noongar names at their recent meeting. Community representatives encouraged the City to seek advice from Noongar elders, and to expect differing views as to the best name as some locations have different Noongar identities. This will be considered further through the Reconciliation Action Plan.

3.2 Ross Ioppolo of Leederville – Item 5.4

I am here to advocate meaningful community engagement between the City of Vincent and its resident stakeholders. I believe in transparency and good governance, in abiding by the laws and codes set by local government, the enforcement of breaches, and pro-active and expeditious reform by Council, where current laws no longer serve the interests of residents and the wider community.

I am here to table a petition to ban verge parking on Vincent Street Leederville, between Oxford and Loftus on the grounds that it is unsafe. This is a major arterial and verge parking creates obstructions to a clear line-of-sight to oncoming traffic when residents egress from their driveways.

The safety of residents must be our first priority. Verge parking should not be used to alleviate parking shortages if it compromises the safety of residents and the safety of any motorist driving within the City of Vincent.

31 of the 33 signatories to the petition reside on Vincent Street, between Oxford and Loftus. We are saying that verge parking in front of our own dwellings is dangerous, and we know because this is a safety issue we try and mitigate daily, sometimes several times a day. Like Russian Roulette, it’s an accident that will most certainly happen in time, if not rectified.

After 6 months of engagement with the Administration, we now present this to Council seeking an expeditious resolution, by whatever means necessary, whether that be through effective enforcement, exercising the City’s right to signpost this street with no verge parking or Council changing the current law if needed, to ban the use of verges for parking on this stretch of road.

To the handful of neighbours that utilise this verge, I hope and trust you recognise that our communities first priority must be safety over a workaround solution to a lack of parking space at your residence. Let’s remove the danger and implore Council to create policy and law for a holistic and long-term solution to parking shortages within the City of Vincent, to be addressed on a street by street basis if needed.

I understand my questions supplementing the petition have been circulated to Council and will be answered in the minutes to this meeting or at the next Council Meeting. These questions are intended to, in the interests of transparency, understand Council and Administration’s current and possible future intention re the use of verges for parking.

1. **DANGEROUS VERGE PARKING** - Does Council and/or any individual Councillor NOT recognise that verge parking in the example provided on Vincent Street between Oxford and Vincent (shown here https://1drv.ms/v/s!AmIpegs_3D697hkcVxUNSqZJQcdk?e=Kor2ti) is dangerous because it blocks the line-of-sight to oncoming traffic for residents egressing from the driveway as shown?

The City’s administration is currently investigating this matter before it arrives at a conclusion as to whether a safety issue exists and if so how best to resolve the issue. A petition has also been received

and the formal outcome of the investigation will be reported to Council in response to the petition and petitioners will be informed (including Mr Ioppolo).

2. **UNATTACHED TRAILERS** - City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) prohibits amongst other things, the parking of trailers on a verge, unattached to a motor vehicle at any time or unattached to commercial vehicles for unloading or loading purposes AND provided no obstruction is caused. On this basis:
- Please confirm based on Council's understanding of its own laws and the Administration's current enforcement protocols, that unattached trailers parked on verges that blocks line-of-sight to oncoming traffic is illegal?
 - Why has an ongoing breach of these clauses on Vincent Street, Leederville between Oxford and Loftus not been proactively enforced?
 - Please provide a compliance report documenting the number of citations issued in the City of Vincent that contravenes City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) over the last 12 months?
 - If relying on an alternative authority as to why Clauses 4.11(1)(b) and (3) is ineffective to enforce unattached trailers, please provide the relevant Act, Division, page and paragraph, and if multiple sections or Acts have been considered, please provide the references for each Act.

The Road Traffic Code 2000 along with the associated Regulations are amended regularly with the last times being December 2020 and June 2021 which resulted in the unattached trailers being parked on a verge clause being removed and as a result of this the City is unable to enforce the offences under the Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law. The Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law is currently being reviewed/amended with these clauses being removed as part of the process.

3. **VERGE PARKING CREATING AN OBSTACLE** - City of Vincent Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clause 4.5(2)(c) provides that unless a sign indicates otherwise, a person shall not park a vehicle so that any portion of the vehicle is obstructing a right of way, private drive or carriageway or so close as to deny a vehicle reasonable access to or egress from the right of way, private drive or carriageway. Furthermore, Clause 4.5(2)(k) prohibits verge parking within 10 metres of a property line, irrespective of whether an obstruction is caused.

It would appear also that as an alternative argument, Clause 5.8, the definition of 'Carriageway' and Local Government Act 1995 Clauses 1.4 and 1.6 definitions of 'Thoroughfare' and 'Obstruct' combine to effectively ban vehicles parking back-to-back where it results in a vehicle parking next to an obstruction or if it further obstructs traffic.

With this context:

- Please acknowledge this Council and the Administration's understanding as it is relevant to regulation and enforcement, that the above clauses, either individually or collectively, prevent parking on a verge where this creates an obstruction, in terms of an obscured line-of-sight to oncoming traffic for residents egressing from a driveway onto the street?
- Why has an ongoing breach of these clauses on Vincent Street, Leederville between Oxford and Loftus not been proactively enforced?
- Please provide a compliance report documenting the number of citations issued in the City of Vincent that contravenes City of Vincent's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007 Clause 4.5(2)(c), Clause 4.5(2)(k) and/or the interaction between Clauses 5.8, 1.4 and the Local Government Act 1995 Clauses 1.4 and 1.6 over the last 12 months?

It is acknowledged that 4.5(2) (c) could be used in this instance, however, it would not be in the public interest for the City to issue infringements to ratepayers/residents who are obstructing their own driveways. The City works collaboratively with all residents to find alternative parking solutions or to get the vehicles moved and the issuing of infringement notice in any case would be a last resort. In this case City Officers have met with the resident on several occasions in an attempt to solve the issue.

Infringement stats for clause 4.5(2)(c) for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 are 35 infringements issued (Denying access to private drive or ROW).

Infringement stats for clause 4.5(2)(k) for the period 1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 are 10 infringements issued (Park within 10m of intersection).

It should be noted that neither of these offence clauses relate to parking issues on a verge.

4. **REGISTERS AS REGULATORS** - The City of Vincent website under accountability and governance states at <https://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/council/governance/accountability-governance.aspx> "We're working towards building a better Vincent and improving transparency and accountability in how we operate is core to our goal. This page serves as a central repository of all the City's publicly available registers, disclosures of interests and fee/allowance/salary information" ... and "Council has chosen to publish a range of registers online that relate to its role as a regulator. The registers in this section are required to be kept by the City and made available for public inspection".

The Administration advises that the statutory register documents have been removed, as the documents are no longer required to be public. Please advise:

- a. What were the names of the registers or a generic description of the nature of the content that may have been disclosed under such registers, which were previously determined to be in the interests of the public re the City of Vincent's role as regulator? Were any of these registers related to the regulation of parking?
- b. What are the reasons and/or events as to why the Administration or Council has since determined that such registers be retracted from public view?

Building Approvals Register was moved to:

<https://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/develop-build/building/building-approvals-register.aspx>

Register of Food Businesses

This register was removed as it is not required to be online.

Register of Lodging Houses

This register was removed as it is not required to be online.

5. **LEGAL LIABILITY** - It would seem reasonable that the City of Vincent would wish to expeditiously prevent verge parking where it is reported as dangerous. What are Council's understanding of the City of Vincent and Council's culpability for any accident causing property damage, injury or fatality from dangerous verge parking that could have been mitigated through enforcement of existing laws or the exercise of its powers to erect signs prohibiting verge parking where it is reasonable to consider it unsafe? I refer to the legal precedent set in the 'Pyrenees Case' (Pyrenees Shire Council v Day; Eskimo Amber Pty Limited v Pyrenees Shire Council).

The City has not sought specific legal advice on liability for traffic incidents resulting from verge parking, so cannot comment on this specific issue. In this case the matter is being investigated and if appropriate action will be taken by the City.

6. **ILLEGAL VERGE PARKING UNDER THE ROAD TRAFFIC ACT** - The Road Traffic Code (2000) requires that, inter alia:

165. Stopping on path, dividing strip, nature strip or painted island

The driver of a vehicle (other than a bicycle, an EPT or an animal) shall not stop so that any portion of the vehicle is on a path, dividing strip, painted island, or a nature strip adjacent to a length of carriageway in a built-up area, unless — (a) the driver stops in an area, to which a parking control sign applies and the driver is permitted to stop at that place under these regulations; or (b) the driver is permitted to do so under a local law. Modified penalty: 1 PU.

Section 3 defines 'nature strip' and "means an area between a carriageway and the front boundary of adjacent land, but does not include a path;"

166. Obstructing access to and from path, driveway etc.

(1) A driver shall not stop a vehicle so that any portion of the vehicle is in front of a path, in a position that obstructs access by vehicles or pedestrians to or from that path, unless — (a) the driver is dropping off, or picking up, passengers; or (b) the driver stops in a parking bay and the driver is permitted to stop in the parking bay under these regulations. Modified penalty: 1 PU.

If for whatever reason, Rangers feel that enforcement prohibiting verge parking under City of Vincent's own parking laws was not defensible in a Court of Law, does Council and Administration believe that its obligations extend to report breaches of the Road Traffic Code to the Western Australian Police Force so that it could be an effective deterrent to prohibit verge parking in such circumstances?

Whilst the reference to 'nature strip' correctly covers the verge, verge parking is permissible under the City's Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law in section (4.11 – Parking on verges). Furthermore, parking is currently not restricted at this location nor is the parking resulting in the obstruction of a path by pedestrians.

7. **NEW PARKING REGULATIONS** - In the City of Vincent's public notice disclosed in the Ordinary Council Meeting dated 23 March 2021 and included in Item 12.3 Attachment 1, the City of Vincent states that a new Parking Local Law is being drafted over the next 6 months which is intended to replace the Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law 2007.
- a. What is the inherent perceived shortcoming of the incumbent legislation precipitating such a review?
 - b. Please confirm whether Council is considering limiting or validating its ability to permit verge parking in the City of Vincent including vehicles such as unattached trailers, caravans and boats?
 - c. May we have an update to the estimated timeframe for the provision of this proposed new legislation?

This is currently in review as per the schedule and this will include the removal of offences relating to unattached vehicles such as trailers, caravans and boats, as these have been removed from the Road Traffic Code, so they are no longer enforceable. The amended Parking and Parking Facilities Local Law is intended to be presented to Council in the coming months, which as part of the process will be advertised for public consultation and comment.

8. **INTERNAL REVIEW** - What is the nature, scope and estimated timing to deliver a report of the Administration's current internal review of parking impacts (verge and on road) on the south side of Vincent Street between Loftus and Oxford? Does the scope include an independent third party, safety study about verge parking on this stretch of road and the risk to body and life?

The matter is currently being investigated as a result of a complaint and a petition being received with the intention of reporting the outcome of the investigation to Council in July 2021.

3.3 Dudley Maier of Highgate

1. What Council Workshops have been held since 13 April 2021, and what items were presented at each workshop?

There have been two workshops since 13 April 2021, items presented that can be listed publicly were:

25 May 2021

- *Transition to Ceasing Commercial Waste service*
- *Leederville Skate Park Upgrade*
- *Marketing and Communications Action Plan*
- *CEO KPIs 2020 – 2021: 7 Strategic Projects Monthly Update*
- *Car Port Additions*
- *Review of Character Retention and Heritage Areas Policy*
- *Governance Framework – Proposed Updates and proposed repeal of Council Member and Employee Business Dealings Policy*
- *Consideration of amendment to Council Member Contact with Developer Policy (4.2.15)*
- *Complaints Management Framework – Code of Conduct for Elected Members, Committee Members and Candidates*
- *Barlee Street Car Park Update*
- *Annual Review of Council Delegations*

29 June 2021

- East Perth Precinct Connectivity
 - CEO KPIs 2020 – 2021: 7 Strategic Projects Monthly Update
 - Waste Services – Three Bin FOGO Service (as from October)
 - Perth Greater CBD Transport Plan – Phase One Update
 - Vibrant Public Spaces – Engagement Results
 - 2021 Garden Competition – Proposed Changes to Format
2. Can you confirm that the City indicated to the East Perth and Subiaco Football Clubs that the City would submit an Expression of Interest (EOI) to hold the WAFL Grand Final at Leederville Oval?
 3. Can you confirm that the City did not submit an EOI as promised?
 4. Can you confirm that both the local Leederville business community and the Football Club stood to significantly benefit financially if the Grand Final was held at Leederville? What is the City's estimate of these financial benefits?
 5. Will the CEO take personal responsibility for not submitting the EOI? If not, who is personally responsible?
 6. If the answer to the previous question does not specify the persons responsible, or is otherwise evasive, does the CEO agree that this will show that the claim that the Administration is accountable is questionable and that it sets a bad example for the rest of the Administration?

In response to questions 2-6:

The WAFL invited the City of Vincent (with SFC and EPFC) to submit an EOI to host the WAFL Grand Final in 2020. We presented a joint proposal to the WAFC but were unsuccessful. The WAFC again invited all WAFL clubs to bid to host the 2021 Grand Final.

The City of Vincent met with SFC and EPFC and agreed to prepare a joint EOI similar to 2020. We estimated the collective contribution would be roughly \$70,000 of cash and in-kind contributions, requiring strong commitment from the clubs in order to proceed. The City sent a detailed EOI to both SFC and EPFC on 20 April 2021 for their consideration and feedback, and received no response prior to the WAFC deadline.

7. Will the City staff and Council make a submission on the proposed development on the corner of Alma and Fitzgerald Streets when submissions are sought by the State Development Assessment Unit, just as other Local Governments have done in the past? If not, why not?

There has been no formal planning application (Form 17b) submitted to the State Development Assessment Unit (SDAU) proposing development at the corner of Alma Road and Fitzgerald Street in North Perth.

Should a Form 17b application be lodged to the SDAU, the City of Vincent would be referred the application from the SDAU and would provide a submission. The City's Administration would prepare a recommendation report on the development proposal to Council for its endorsement and submission to the SDAU.

8. Can you confirm that the answer to my previous question about the advertising of the annual budget was incorrect and misleading and that the only information provided when the differential rates were advertised for comment were the rates themselves and a document about the objects and reasons for differential rates? Why was an incorrect answer provided which made it sound as if the City complied with their policy, when in fact it hadn't?

The City was compliant with both Policy 4.1.5 Community Consultation and the statutory requirements of the Local Government Act. Additionally, clause 4 of this policy gives the CEO authority to determine the type and extent of community consultation, in liaison with the Mayor, which has occurred. Administration disagrees with Mr Meier's suggestion that the previous response was incorrect or misleading.

9. The information about the Barlee Street carpark occupancy does not contain the numbers for Friday and Saturday nights. Why didn't the staff undertake further counts on these nights once the COVID lockdown ceased? There were about 5 or 6 Fridays and Saturdays since then.

The figures for Friday and Saturday night were included in the report to Council.

3.4 Lachlan Wells of Leederville

In recent times, Oxford St in Leederville has lost some popular independent restaurants, including Greens & Co, Theo and Co, Sweetlips and Little Caesars to name a few. Meanwhile, over the same period, the number of chain restaurants, such as Grill'd, Guzman y Gomez, Baskin-Robbins and San Churro, appears to have increased. While these are convenient options, I'm concerned that if the balance falls too far in favour of chain restaurants, Oxford St will struggle to attract visitors who come here as a dining destination.

In light of the large number of current vacancies on Oxford St, has the council considered any measures to restrict or reduce the likelihood of chain venues and retailers taking over these leases, and encourage independent businesses to return?

The City works to streamline planning processes in order to encourage independent businesses to set-up in the City. The City ensures that independent operators are supported through the required processes to encourage them to base themselves within the City.

The Leederville Precinct Structure Plan, which is currently being advertised, supports development in the town centre which would also support the independent businesses market by increasing the demand in the local walkable catchment.

4 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

5 THE RECEIVING OF PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Leon Pericles submitted a petition with 446 signatures requesting that Council stop the demolition of Banks Reserve Pavilion.

The Banks Reserve Pavilion, which sits in a rare and unique position on the one of the most beautiful walks on the Swan River, is slated for demolition by the City of Vincent Council. We are appealing to the community to support our demand that it NOT be demolished but instead be given new life to support the health and wellbeing of our community.

We urgently need to make a call to stop the demolition. It would be devastating to remove a beautiful and functional community space. The cost to replace such a space means we will never see a new community building built and the opportunity for revitalisation will be lost.

This building has been successfully used for years: for example as a children's preschool for many years earning the council more than enough income to pay for any upkeep. The council could easily find tenants and uses for this versatile building. Community support is so great that we have already compiled a very long list of respected professionals that will donate their time and expertise to launching the space with free classes, seminars and workshops.

Just a few of the VIPs who have committed to giving their time in support of building this community hub: Artist Leon Pericles, Film Producer Celia Tate, TV Presenter Calinda Anderson, Former Head of Music at UWA Mark Coughlan, Former CEO of Perth Zoo John De Jose, Businessman Steven Drake-Brockman, former Politician Peter Foss, Artist Kylie Rath, Bookshop Owner Robert Muir, the list goes on and will only grow.

This building should be viewed as an opportunity with enormous potential and a very profitable future for the community once the small maintenance is carried out. There are so many potential uses for the space that can only benefit our community's wellbeing and support it financially: childcare centre, community meeting spaces, coffee shop, exercise classes, art groups, health and wellness, book clubs, music, Men & Women's

Shed, choirs, bridge club, or just a place to sit and have a drink with locals at the end of the day. The outside walls are just aching for a public art mural. It could become a community hub that brings the neighbourhood together contributing to the strength and growth of the area.

Significant funds are being directed to large scale sporting and recreation facilities. We are asking for a small portion of these funds to be directed to community and the arts!!!!

It is our understanding that the City of Vincent has slated the Pavilion for demolition in June 2021. It is URGENT that we call for action and stop the demolition.

PETITION**COUNCIL DECISION**

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the petition be received and dealt with by Council as Item 10.3.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**COUNCIL DECISION**

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Fotakis

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on 22 June 2021 be confirmed.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

7 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Presiding Member Emma Cole made the following announcement:

7.1 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

The City is commencing public consultation on Britannia Reserve West masterplan, which it has been working on for a number of years. The City has been working with the Club to reach agreement on a Federal Government grant that is promised, but not yet secured. The concept, which has been agreed by the Club and Council, will be released for community consultation. An EOI for artists to provide Percent for Art funded sculpture in Leederville and a lighting installation in Northbridge has been released. Oxford Street is about to get some new red asphalt in the Town Centre.

8 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 8.1 Cr Sally Smith has declared an impartiality interest in Item 12.2 Report and Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on 6 July 2021. The extent of her interest is that her husband is a member of the Audit Committee.
- 8.2 Cr Sally Smith declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.1 Event and Festival Funding 2021/2022. The extent of her interest is that she worked with one of the applicants. She also worked with the director of the Revelation Film Festival at UpBeat Events, and serves on the Arts Advisory Council with her.
- 8.3 Cr Sally Smith declared an impartiality interest in Item 9.1 No 14 (Lot: 119; D/P: 1223) Franklin Street, Leederville – Proposed Three Grouped Dwellings. The extent of her interest is that she knows the owner of 12 Franklin Street as a school parent through her son's junior and senior school years.

- 8.4 Cr Joanne Fotakis declared an impartiality interest in Item 9.1 No 14 (Lot: 119; D/P: 1223) Franklin Street, Leederville – Proposed Three Grouped Dwellings. The extent of her interest is that she was an employee of the same employer as the owner of 12 Franklin Street.
- 8.5 Cr Joanne Fotakis declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.1 Event and Festival Funding 2021/2022. The extent of her interest is that she knows and served on Council with a member of one of the applicants. She also serves on the Arts Advisory Group with one of the Festival directors.
- 8.6 David MacLennan declared an impartiality interest in Item 9.3 Response to Petition relating to Odours from 7Grams Chicken, Nos 212-214 Lake Street, Perth. The extent of his interest is that he is acquainted with one of the petitioners. The report to Council was authorised by the Executive Director Strategy & Development – not the CEO.
- 8.7 David MacLennan declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.1 Event and Festival Funding 2021/2022. The extent of his interest is that he is a former Board Member of Open House Perth – but he was not involved in the application assessment process. He will not have any involvement in the processing or assessment of any application made by Open House Perth.
- 8.8 Cr Joshua Topelberg declared an impartiality interest in item 9.3 Response to Petition relating to Odours from 7Grams Chicken, Nos 212-214 Lake Street, Perth. The extent of his interest is that he has previously provided images to the Director of Strategy & Development relating to the installation of the new flue.

REPORTS

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, advised the meeting of:

- (a) **Items which are the subject of a question, comment or deputation from Members of the Public, being:**
Items 9.1, 9.3, 10.3, 10.4
- (b) **Items which require an Absolute Majority decision which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment, being:**
Items 11.1.
- (c) **Items which Council Members/Officers have declared a financial or proximity interest, being:**
Nil.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, requested Council Members to indicate:

- (d) **Items which Council Members wish to discuss which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment or require an absolute majority decision and the following was advised:**

COUNCIL MEMBER	ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED
Cr Loden	10.1
Cr Topelberg	10.2, 12.2

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole therefore requested the Chief Executive Officer, David MacLennan, to advise the meeting of:

- (e) **Unopposed items which will be moved “En Bloc”, being:**
Items 9.2, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 12.1 and 12.3
- (f) **Confidential Reports which will be considered behind closed doors, being:**
Nil

ITEMS APPROVED "EN BLOC":

The following Items were adopted unopposed and without discussion "*En Bloc*", as recommended:

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Fotakis, Seconded: Cr Castle

**That the following unopposed items be adopted "En Bloc", as recommended:
Items 9.2, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 12.1 and 12.3**



CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

9.2 DRAFT WOODVILLE RESERVE LANDSCAPE PLAN

- Attachments:
1. Summary of Key Stakeholder Engagement 
 2. Draft Woodville Reserve Landscape Plan 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. NOTES:

- 1.1 the Summary of Key Stakeholder Engagement included as Attachment 1; and
 - 1.2 that the Draft Woodville Reserve Landscape Plan will be presented back to Council for endorsement following Community Consultation; and
2. **APPROVES** the Draft Woodville Reserve Landscape Plan included as Attachment 2 for community consultation in accordance with the City's Policy No. 4.1.5 – Community Consultation.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2

Moved: Cr Fotakis, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

11.2 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 31 MAY 2021

Attachments: 1. Investment Statistics as at 31 May 2021 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 31 May 2021 as detailed in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.2

Moved: Cr Fotakis, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

11.3 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 31 MAY 2021

Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 31 May 2021 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 31 May 2021 as shown in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.3

Moved: Cr Fotakis, **Seconded:** Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

11.4 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1 MAY 2021 TO 31 MAY 2021

- Attachments:
1. Payments by EFT and Payroll May 21 
 2. Payments by Cheque May 21 
 3. Payments by Direct Debit May 21 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 1 May 2021 to 31 May 2021 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll	\$4,187,669.03
Cheques	\$1,195.05
Direct debits, including credit cards	\$145,244.67
Total payments for May 2021	\$4,334,108.75

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.4

Moved: Cr Fotakis, **Seconded:** Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

12.1 ELECTED MEMBERS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT - 2020/2021

Attachments: 1. Elected Member Professional Development - 2020/2021 

Recommendation:

That Council NOTES the professional development completed for the 2020/2021 financial year as recorded in the Elected Member Professional Development Register and at Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.1






Moved: Cr Fotakis, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

12.3 INFORMATION BULLETIN

- Attachments:
1. Minutes Children and Young People's Working Group (CYPAG) 16 June 2021 
 2. Minutes Reconciliation Action Plan Working Group (RAPWG) 28 June 2021 
 3. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Sustainability and Transport Advisory Group Minutes - 27 May 2021 
 4. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 27 May 2021 
 5. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 24 June 2021 
 6. Minutes of the Tamala Park Regional Council Meeting held on 17 June 2021 
 7. Statistics for Development Services Applications as at June 2021 
 8. Register of Legal Action and Prosecutions Monthly - Confidential
 9. Register of State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Appeals - Progress report as at 8 July 2021 
 10. Register of Applications Referred to the MetroWest Development Assessment Panel - Current 
 11. Register of Applications Referred to the Design Review Panel - Current 
 12. Register of Petitions - Progress Report - June 2021 
 13. Register of Notices of Motion - Progress Report - June 2021 
 14. Register of Reports to be Actioned - Progress Report - June 2021 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Information Bulletin dated July 2021.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.3



Moved: Cr Fotakis, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (8-0)

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

REPORTS WITH DISCUSSION**10.3 RESPONSE TO PETITION - DEMOLITION OF BANKS RESERVE PAVILION**

Attachments: 1. 1 July 2021 Email Update 
 2. 16 July 2021 Email Update 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. NOTES the update on the Banks Reserve Pavilion rationalisation project;
2. NOTES that the demolition of the pavilion is a project that is scheduled to occur in 2021; and
3. NOTES that the provision of a community meeting space will be considered as part of the detailed design of the proposed Banks Reserve Plaza.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.3

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Loden

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

9.3 RESPONSE TO PETITION RELATING TO ODOUR FROM 7GRAMS CHICKEN, NOS. 212-214 LAKE STREET, PERTH

Attachments: Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES the response to petition relating to odour from 7Grams Chicken.

Moved: Cr Topelberg, Seconded: Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Topelberg, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be added as follows:

2. REQUESTS a report to Council no later than October 2021.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3

That Council:

1. NOTES the response to petition relating to odour from 7Grams Chicken.
2. REQUESTS a report to Council no later than October 2021.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

10.4 RESPONSE TO PETITION - VERGE PARKING ALONG VINCENT STREET

Attachments: 1. **Petition - City of Vincent 22 June 2021 Council Meeting - Ross Ioppolo - Confidential**

RECOMMENDATION:**THAT COUNCIL:**

1. **RECEIVES** the report on the in response to a petition received by the City on 21 June 2021 in relation to verge parking on Vincent Street;
2. **NOTES** the outcome of a safety assessment and the implementation of a 'No Parking on Verge' restriction on the southern side of Vincent Street, between Loftus and Oxford Streets, and
3. **NOTES** that the residents and petitioners will be informed of the outcome of the investigation.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.4

Moved: Cr Loden, Seconded: Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be adopted.










CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

9.1 NO. 14 (LOT: 119; D/P: 1223) FRANKLIN STREET, LEEDERVILLE - PROPOSED THREE GROUPED DWELLINGS**Ward:** North

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Map 
 2. Development Plans 
 3. Superseded Plans from First Round of Advertising 
 4. 3D Perspectives 
 5. Applicant's Written Justification 
 6. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response 
 7. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response 
 8. Life Cycle Assessment Report 
 9. Determination Advice Notes 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, APPROVES the application for Three Grouped Dwellings at No. 14 (Lot: 119; D/P: 1223) Franklin Street, Leederville, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 9:

1. Development Plans

This approval is for Three Grouped Dwellings as shown on the approved plans dated 23 July 2021. No other development forms part of this approval;

2. Boundary Walls

2.1 The surface finish of boundary walls facing an adjoining property shall be of a good and clean condition, prior to the occupation or use of the development, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City. The finish of boundary walls is to be fully rendered or face brick, or material as otherwise approved, to the satisfaction of the City;

2.2 The following walls of Units 1 and 2 must be constructed simultaneously:

- Unit 1: the first floor wall abutting Unit 2; and
- Unit 2: the first floor wall abutting Unit 1;

These walls must be constructed and finished as per the approved plans prior to the first occupation or use of either Unit 1 or Unit 2;

3. External Fixtures

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennae, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive to the satisfaction of the City;

4. Visual Privacy

Prior to occupancy or use of the development, all privacy screening shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall be visually impermeable and is to comply in all respects with the requirements of Clause 5.4.1 of the Residential Design Codes (Visual Privacy) deemed-to-comply provisions, to the satisfaction of the City;

5. Colours and Materials

Prior to the lodgement of a building permit, a schedule detailing the colour and texture of the building materials, demonstrating that the proposed development complements the surrounding area, must be submitted to and approved by City. The development must be

finished, and thereafter maintained, in accordance with the approved schedule prior to occupation, to the satisfaction of the City;

6. Landscaping

6.1 All landscaping works shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans to the City's satisfaction, prior to the occupancy or use of the development and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

6.2 Prior to occupancy or use of the development, two additional 100 litre *Corymbia eximia* (Yellow Bloodwood) street trees shall be planted within the Franklin Street verge adjoining the development site, at the full expense of the applicant, to the satisfaction of the City;

7. Stormwater

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve;

8. Sight Lines

No walls, letterboxes or fences above 0.75 metres in height to be constructed within 1.5 metre of where:

- **Walls, letterboxes or fences adjoin vehicular access points to the site; or**
- **A driveway meets a public street; or**
- **Two streets intersect;**

unless otherwise approved by the City;

9. Car Parking and Access

9.1 The layout and dimensions of all driveway(s) and parking area(s) shall be in accordance with AS2890.1;

9.2 All driveways, car parking and manoeuvring area(s) which form part of this approval shall be sealed, drained, paved and line marked in accordance with the approved plans prior to the first occupation of the development and maintained thereafter by the owner/occupier to the satisfaction of the City;

9.3 No goods or materials being stored, either temporarily or permanently, in the parking or landscape areas or within the access driveways. All goods and materials are to be stored within the buildings; and

9.4 Prior to the first occupation of the development, redundant or "blind" crossovers shall be removed and the verge and kerb made good to the satisfaction of the City, at the applicant/owner's full expense;

10. Right of Way Widening

A 0.5 metre wide right of way widening is to be provided, constructed and drained to the specifications of the City at the landowner/applicant cost along the northern boundary of the subject land (refer advice note 15). The right-of-way is to be accurately illustrated on any future Deposited Plan or Survey-strata plan and vested in the Crown under Section 152 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*, such land to be ceded free of cost and without any payment of compensation by the Crown; and

11. Garage Doors

Garage doors are not permitted to be installed to the front of the Unit 1 or Unit 2 garages (shown as 'carports' on the approved plans) facing Franklin Street unless a further approval is

granted by the City.

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Hallett, **Seconded:** Cr Fotakis

That a new Condition 6.1 be inserted as follows:

6. Landscaping

- 6.1 Prior to commencement of the development, an amended landscaping plan shall be submitted to the City replacing the proposed Jacaranda tree species on-site with *Corymbia eximia* (Yellow Bloodwood);**

REASON:

To replace the proposed Jacaranda trees with a native species that provides a similar aesthetic and purpose. This would support the City's Greening Plan and ensure indigenous trees are used wherever possible.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, **APPROVES** the application for Three Grouped Dwellings at No. 14 (Lot: 119; D/P: 1223) Franklin Street, Leederville, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 9:

1. Development Plans

This approval is for Three Grouped Dwellings as shown on the approved plans dated 23 July 2021. No other development forms part of this approval;

2. Boundary Walls

2.1 The surface finish of boundary walls facing an adjoining property shall be of a good and clean condition, prior to the occupation or use of the development, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City. The finish of boundary walls is to be fully rendered or face brick, or material as otherwise approved, to the satisfaction of the City;

2.2 The following walls of Units 1 and 2 must be constructed simultaneously:

- Unit 1: the first floor wall abutting Unit 2; and
- Unit 2: the first floor wall abutting Unit 1;

These walls must be constructed and finished as per the approved plans prior to the first occupation or use of either Unit 1 or Unit 2;

3. External Fixtures

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennae, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive to the satisfaction of the City;

4. Visual Privacy

Prior to occupancy or use of the development, all privacy screening shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall be visually impermeable and is to comply in all respects with the requirements of Clause 5.4.1 of the Residential Design Codes (Visual Privacy) deemed-to-comply provisions, to the satisfaction of the City;

5. Colours and Materials

Prior to the lodgement of a building permit, a schedule detailing the colour and texture of the building materials, demonstrating that the proposed development complements the surrounding area, must be submitted to and approved by City. The development must be finished, and thereafter maintained, in accordance with the approved schedule prior to occupation, to the satisfaction of the City;

6. Landscaping

6.1 Prior to commencement of the development, an amended landscaping plan shall be submitted to the City replacing the proposed Jacaranda tree species on-site with *Corymbia eximia* (Yellow Bloodwood);

6.1 All landscaping works shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans to the City's satisfaction, prior to the occupancy or use of the development and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

6.2 Prior to occupancy or use of the development, two additional 100 litre *Corymbia eximia* (Yellow Bloodwood) street trees shall be planted within the Franklin Street verge adjoining the development site, at the full expense of the applicant, to the satisfaction of the City;

7. Stormwater

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve;

8. Sight Lines

No walls, letterboxes or fences above 0.75 metres in height to be constructed within 1.5 metre of where:

- Walls, letterboxes or fences adjoin vehicular access points to the site; or
- A driveway meets a public street; or
- Two streets intersect;

unless otherwise approved by the City;

9. Car Parking and Access

9.1 The layout and dimensions of all driveway(s) and parking area(s) shall be in accordance with AS2890.1;

9.2 All driveways, car parking and manoeuvring area(s) which form part of this approval shall be sealed, drained, paved and line marked in accordance with the approved plans prior to the first occupation of the development and maintained thereafter by the

owner/occupier to the satisfaction of the City;

9.3 No goods or materials being stored, either temporarily or permanently, in the parking or landscape areas or within the access driveways. All goods and materials are to be stored within the buildings; and

9.4 Prior to the first occupation of the development, redundant or “blind” crossovers shall be removed and the verge and kerb made good to the satisfaction of the City, at the applicant/owner’s full expense;

10. Right of Way Widening

A 0.5 metre wide right of way widening is to be provided, constructed and drained to the specifications of the City at the landowner/applicant cost along the northern boundary of the subject land (refer advice note 15). The right-of-way is to be accurately illustrated on any future Deposited Plan or Survey-strata plan and vested in the Crown under Section 152 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*, such land to be ceded free of cost and without any payment of compensation by the Crown; and

11. Garage Doors

Garage doors are not permitted to be installed to the front of the Unit 1 or Unit 2 garages (shown as ‘carports’ on the approved plans) facing Franklin Street unless a further approval is granted by the City.

CARRIED (7-1)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Cr Topelberg

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

10.1 TENDER IE114-2021 SUPPLY AND LAYING OF KERBING**Attachments: 1. Evaluation Worksheet - RFT IE114-2021 - Confidential****RECOMMENDATION:****That Council**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE114-2021 Supply and Laying of Kerbing, and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submitted by The Trustee for Allstate Kerbing and Concrete Trust for Tender IE114-2021 for the Supply and Laying of Kerbing**

Moved: Cr Loden, Seconded: Cr Fotakis**That the recommendation be adopted.**

This item was postponed for questions on the confidential attachment. The decision is at page 41.

10.2 E-PERMITS IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT

Attachments: Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES the progress in the implementation of the E-Permit system.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.2

Moved: Cr Topelberg, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

11.1 EVENT AND FESTIVAL FUNDING 2021/2022

- Attachments:
1. Event and Festival Detailed Summary 
 2. Sakura Healing Festival - Confidential
 3. Revelation Film Festival - Confidential
 4. Chinese Calligraphy Workshop - Confidential
 5. Open House Vincent - Confidential
 6. Little Day Out - Confidential
 7. Asian Infusion - Confidential
 8. Sunday Common - Confidential
 9. Longest Table in Leederville - Confidential
 10. Jazz Picnic in the Park - Confidential
 11. New Year's Eve on the Pitch - Confidential
 12. Festival Italia - Confidential
 13. Neon Picnic - Confidential
 14. Hyde Park Fair - Confidential
 15. St Patricks Day Festival - Confidential
 16. First Nations Welcome all Nations - Confidential
 17. Beaufort Street Events - Confidential

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That Council APPROVES an amount of \$199,000 in 2021/2022 for Event Sponsorship as follows:

Event	Amount
Revelation Film Festival Revelation Perth International Film Festival	\$20,000
Open House Open House Vincent	\$10,000
Mt Hawthorn Hub Little Day Out	\$9,000
Kinn and Co Sunday Common	\$15,000
Perth International Jazz Festival Jazz Picnic in the Park	\$15,000
Floreat Athena FC New Year's Eve on the Pitch	\$10,000
WA Italian Club Festival Italia	\$15,000
RTRFM Neon Picnic	\$10,000
Rotary Club of North Perth Hyde Park Fair	\$15,000
St Patricks Day WA Inc St Patricks Day Festival	\$20,000
Noongar Radio First Nations Welcome all Nations	\$15,000
Beaufort Street Network Beaufort Street Festival Events	\$15,000
Allocation to Town Teams Town Centre Events	\$30,000
TOTAL EVENT SPONSORSHIP	\$199,000

2. NOTES that the allocation of all 2021/2022 Festival and Events Sponsorships remains subject to the recipient signing a Sponsorship Agreement with the City of Vincent identifying all related expectations and obligations;
3. APPROVES BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY in accordance with Section 6.8 (1) of the *Local*

Government Act 1995 the expenditure of \$5,000 for the sponsorship of Soundscapes at Beatty Park to be rolled over from the Special Event Funding Round as it was postponed due to COVID-19 restrictions.

Moved: Cr Smith, **Seconded:** Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT 1

Moved: Cr Smith, **Seconded:** Cr Fotakis

That the Recommendation be amended:

1. To increase allocation of funding to Town Team Town Centre Events by \$30,000 to \$60,000.
2. Update total funding in accordance with above modification.

REASON:

I note that Town Teams are yet to submit event applications. I would like to see the City provide more support to Town Teams and therefore request the event funding is increased.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 2

Moved: Cr Smith, **Seconded:** Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

1. To include allocation of \$15,000 for Pride WA Pride Fair Day .
3. Update total funding in accordance with above modification.

REASON:

The City of Vincent is a long term supporter of Pride. This amendment ensures that we have adequate allocation to support Pride Fair Day.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 3

Moved: Cr Smith, **Seconded:** Cr Castle

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

1. To remove allocation of \$15,000 for Kinn and Co Sunday Common Event.

2. Update total funding in accordance with above modification.**REASON:**

Our priority should be to fund community organisation events first, and with a number of events happening in Hyde Park, and the addition of Pride Fair Day in November, Hyde Park is well catered for.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.1

1. That Council **APPROVES** an amount of \$229,000 in 2021/2022 for Event Sponsorship as follows:

Event	Amount
Revelation Film Festival Revelation Perth International Film Festival	\$20,000
Open House Open House Vincent	\$10,000
Mt Hawthorn Hub Little Day Out	\$9,000
Pride WA Pride Fair Day	\$15,000
Perth International Jazz Festival Jazz Picnic in the Park	\$15,000
Floreat Athena FC New Year's Eve on the Pitch	\$10,000
WA Italian Club Festival Italia	\$15,000
RTRFM Neon Picnic	\$10,000
Rotary Club of North Perth Hyde Park Fair	\$15,000
St Patricks Day WA Inc St Patricks Day Festival	\$20,000
Noongar Radio First Nations Welcome all Nations	\$15,000
Beaufort Street Network Beaufort Street Festival Events	\$15,000
Allocation to Town Teams Town Centre Events	\$60,000
TOTAL EVENT SPONSORSHIP	\$229,000

2. **NOTES** that the allocation of all 2021/2022 Festival and Events Sponsorships remains subject to the recipient signing a Sponsorship Agreement with the City of Vincent identifying all related expectations and obligations;
3. **APPROVES BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY** in accordance with Section 6.8 (1) of the *Local Government Act 1995* the expenditure of \$5,000 for the sponsorship of Soundscapes at Beatty Park to be rolled over from the Special Event Funding Round as it was postponed due to COVID-19 restrictions.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

12.2 REPORT AND MINUTES OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 6 JULY 2021

- Attachments:**
1. **Audit Committee Meeting Minutes - 6 July 2021** 
 2. **Attachments to Audit Committee Minutes - 6 July 2021** 
 3. **Audit Log - 6 July 2021 - Confidential**

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **RECEIVES** the minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting of 6 July 2021, as at Attachment 1;
2. **NOTES** the recommendation of the Audit Committee with respect to item 5.2;
3. **ADOPTS** the recommendations of the Audit Committee with respect to items 5.5 and 5.6.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.2

Moved: Cr Topelberg, **Seconded:** Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

PROCEDURAL MOTION**Moved:** Cr Fotakis, **Seconded:** Cr Smith**That the meeting be now closed to consider the confidential attachment to Item 10.1 Tender IE114-2021 Supply and Laying of Kerbing.****CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)****For:** Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith**Against:** Nil**(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)**

At 8.29pm the livestreaming was stopped.

PROCEDURAL MOTION**Moved:** Cr Fotakis, **Seconded:** Cr Castle**That the Council resume an "open meeting"****CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)****For:** Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith**Against:** Nil**(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)**

At 8.34pm the livestreaming recommenced.

10.1 TENDER IE114-2021 SUPPLY AND LAYING OF KERBING - 8.06**Attachments:** 1. Evaluation Worksheet - RFT IE114-2021 - Confidential**RECOMMENDATION:****That Council**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE114-2021 Supply and Laying of Kerbing, and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submitted by The Trustee for Allstate Kerbing and Concrete Trust for Tender IE114-2021 for the Supply and Laying of Kerbing**

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Loden, Seconded: Cr Fotakis

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Castle, Cr Fotakis, Cr Hallett, Cr Loden, Cr Topelberg, Cr Wallace and Cr Smith

Against: Nil

(Cr Gontaszewski was on approved leave of absence for the Meeting.)

13 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN 8.36

Nil

14 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

Nil

15 REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES

Nil

16 URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

17 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

Nil

18 CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, declared the meeting closed at 8.36pm with the following persons present:

PRESENT:	Mayor Emma Cole Cr Alex Castle Cr Joanne Fotakis Cr Jonathan Hallett Cr Dan Loden Cr Ashley Wallace Cr Joshua Topelberg Cr Sally Smith	Presiding Member North Ward North Ward South Ward North Ward South Ward South Ward North Ward
IN ATTENDANCE:	David MacLennan Andrew Murphy Virginia Miltrup John Corbellini Wendy Barnard	Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment Executive Director Community & Business Services Executive Director Strategy & Development Council Liaison Officer
Public:	No members of the public.	

These Minutes were confirmed at the 17 August 2021 meeting of Council as a true and accurate record of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council Meeting held on 27 July 2021.

Signed: Mayor Emma Cole

Dated