



³³ CITY OF VINCENT

MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

5 April 2022

Table of Contents

1	Declaration of Opening / Acknowledgement of Country.....	4
2	Apologies / Members on Leave of Absence.....	4
3	(A) Public Question Time and Receiving of Public Statements	4
	(B) Response to Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice.....	14
4	Applications for Leave of Absence	19
5	The Receiving of Petitions, Deputations and Presentations	19
6	Confirmation of Minutes	21
7	Announcements by the Presiding Member (Without Discussion)	21
	7.1 Strategic Community Plan	21
8	Declarations of Interest	21
	Reports	23
	Items Approved "En Bloc":	23
	9.3 Proposed Amendment No. 9 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 - No. 21 (Lot: 373; D/P: 1939) Eucla Street, Mount Hawthorn.....	24
	9.4 Amendment to the City's Trees of Significance Inventory to include the Jacaranda Tree at No. 54 Lincoln Street, Highgate	25
	10.1 Outcome of Advertising and Adoption of Amendments to Memorials in Public Places and Reserves Policy	26
	10.2 Outcome of advertising and adoption of amendments to Parking Permits Policy	27
	11.1 Repeal of Council Logo Policy	28
	11.2 Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 February 2022 to 28 February 2022	29
	11.3 Investment Report as at 28 February 2022	30
	11.4 Financial Statements as at 28 February 2022	31
	12.1 Advertising of Amended Policy - CEO Annual Performance Review	32
	12.2 Advertising of Amended Policy - Policy No. 4.1.09 - Flying and Displaying of Flags and Banners.....	33
	12.3 Report and Minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on 16 March 2022	34
	12.4 Outcome of Advertising and Adoption of Code of Conduct Behaviour Complaints Management Policy and Appointment of Complaints Officer	35
	12.7 Information Bulletin	36
	12.6 Vincent Underground Power Project (VUPP) – WITHDRAWN BY ADMINISTRATION	37
	Reports With Discussion	38
	12.5 Responses to motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on 2 February 2022	38
	10.3 Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Kiosk	56
	10.4 North Perth Traffic Calming - Public Consultation Results	58
	9.1 No. 128A (Lot: 2; STR: 80812) Harold Street, Mount Lawley - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Grouped Dwelling.....	62
	9.2 No. 4 (Lot: 235; D/P: 1237) Ethel Street, North Perth - Proposed Two Grouped Dwellings.....	64
13	Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given.....	67

14 Questions by Members of Which Due Notice Has Been Given (Without Discussion).....67

15 Representation on Committees and Public Bodies67

16 Urgent Business67

17 Confidential Items/Matters For Which the Meeting May be Closed67

18 Closure67

**MINUTES OF CITY OF VINCENT
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AS E-MEETING AND AT THE
ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIC CENTRE,
244 VINCENT STREET, LEEDERVILLE
ON TUESDAY, 5 APRIL 2022 AT 6.00PM**

PRESENT:	<p>Mayor Emma Cole Cr Susan Gontaszewski Cr Ron Alexander Cr Alex Castle Cr Suzanne Worner Cr Jonathan Hallett Cr Ross Ioppolo Cr Ashley Wallace</p>	<p>Presiding Member South Ward (electronically) North Ward North Ward (electronically) North Ward South Ward (electronically) South Ward South Ward</p>
IN ATTENDANCE:	<p>David MacLennan Andrew Murphy</p> <p>Peter Varris</p> <p>Virginia Miltrup</p> <p>Sarah Hill</p> <p>Craig Wilson Jay Naidoo</p> <p>Tara Gloster</p> <p>Wendy Barnard</p>	<p>Chief Executive Officer (electronically) Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment (electronically) A/Executive Director Strategy & Development Executive Director Community & Business Services (left at 11.21pm during discussion of motion 5 of Item 12.5) A/Manager Parks (electronically) (left at 8.30pm during discussion of motion 4 in Item 12.5) Manager Engineering (electronically) Manager Development & Design (electronically) Manager Policy & Place (electronically) (left at 10.00pm during discussion of motion 10 in Item 12.5) Council Liaison Officer</p>
Public:	Approximately 14 members of the public.	

1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole declared the meeting open at 6.00pm and read the following Acknowledgement of Country statement:

“The City of Vincent would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and pay our respects to Elders past, present and emerging”.

2 APOLOGIES / MEMBERS ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Dan Loden was an apology for this meeting.

3 (A) PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND RECEIVING OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS

The following questions and statements were received at the meeting. This is not a verbatim record of questions and statements made at the meeting.

3.1 Marie Slyth of West Perth – Item 12.5

- Spoke in response to Motion 4
- Offered to contribute towards the cost of the signs at the entrance to the laneway
- Requested that the trees be trimmed soon

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Slyth for her comments.

3.2 Sophie Fernandes of Mt Hawthorn – Item 5

- Spoke in support of the petition to stop the COVID clinic on Fairfield Street
- Acknowledges that the City is unable to overrule the State exemptions, and referred to a communication which stated the location of the clinic is a Local Government decision
- Mentioned the issues raised by the clinic and that the residents are concerned for the street and their wellbeing
- Stated that the City's efforts in engaging with the residents is appreciated

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Fernandes for her comments, and advised that this matter would be discussed under Item 5 of this agenda.

3.3 Tori Anderson of West Perth – Item 5

- Spoke in support of the petition regarding parking on Prospect Place
- Mentioned that the traffic and cars parking on the road has increased
- Mentioned that being forced to park streets away with small children and groceries is frustrating

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Ms Anderson for her comments and advised that this matter would be discussed under Item 5 of this agenda..

3.4 Greg Johnson of Mt Hawthorn

- Spoke about Imagine Vincent the Sequel strategy, and the change of land use approved for specific areas
- Mentioned that Council encourages sustainability and diversity, in particular in the retail precinct, but there is no measurement for those criteria
- Stated that the retail precinct has seen a reduction in comparison shopping, and has not diversified and some of it is unsustainable
- Mentioned that his tenant is a liquor store and a tavern is due to open just down the road
- Stated this came about due to a change of use application to Council, which was a precondition to obtaining a liquor licence
- Mentioned that there was very narrow consultation on this issue, and would like Council to examine that consultation process and advise why it was not wider, particularly in respect to the business retail community in Scarborough Beach Road precinct.
- Stated that within 1km there are 25 licences premises, 9 liquor outlets within 5km. How is the demand for this category shown?
- Requested Council to establish criteria for retail diversity, and what is sustainable?

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, asked Mr Johnson if he was referring to the Copper & Oak applications, and he confirmed that he was. Mayor Cole advised that information on consultation that occurred at that time and information on changes of use generally, and what has happened in that space from a State Government perspective. Mayor Cole offered to meet with Mr Johnson to discuss how that landscape is changing. Mayor Cole thanked Mr Johnson for his comments

3.5 Steve Burke – North Perth – Item 12.5

- Spoke in support of Motion 6 – Beatty Park Reserve
- Stated that the question raised at the last week's briefing, which was "is the drainage only working because residents are clearing the drain?" was not answered
- Stated that cleaning the drains has a huge impact on the performance, and if the residents did not clear them the properties would flood
- Mentioned that the demolition of the pavilion has caused water run-off into their properties
- Queried why a report was commissioned, allocated money for the solution and then no action taken. Who decided to remove it and on what basis?

- Stated that he believed the Councillors were taking advice, and would like to know if the person offering advice was qualified, do they have indemnity insurance, are they the right person to override the expert advice in the report?
- Queried what modelling has taken place?
- Stated that if the park is waterlogged, and further rain falls the water runs off into their properties. He also stated that the deciduous trees are about to start shedding their leaves, which will clog the drains.
- Queried why do the requirements for the development application on this agenda specify water must be contained, but the Council does not have to comply with the same rules.

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Burke for his comments.

3.6 Ray Stevenson of North Perth – Item 12.5

- Spoke in support of Motion 6 – Beatty Park Reserve
- Provided a short background on the matter

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Stevenson for his comments.

3.7 Andrew Main of North Perth - Item 10.4

- Mentioned that North Perth Traffic Calming has been a long term issue, but does not want it rushed now
- Stated that the current proposal to close Alma Street at Leake Street will cause rat running on other streets, and other options could be considered
- Suggested that the City make it possible to turn down View Street, not residential Streets
- Queried the placement of plateaus, believes that the recommendation is flawed
- Queried why Council is not concerned about using non-native trees

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Main for his comments.

3.8 Ian Merker of Highgate – Item 9.2

- Spoke against the recommendation
- Mentioned the issues the development will create
- Urged Council to reject the recommendation

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Merker for his comments.

3.9 Lawrence Game of Mt Lawley – Item 9.1

- Stated he is the owner of the property
- Spoke in support of the recommendation
- Mentioned that he is trying to create more space and privacy in the courtyard
- Mentioned that he was unaware of a recent site meeting

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Kane for his comments.

3.10 Anthony Rechichi of Tuart Hill – Item 9.1

- Stated he is the architect for the development
- Mentioned that the issues raised have been addressed
- Stated that the bulk and scale issues were mostly due to the swimming pool over the garage, which has been updated
- Stated that the overshadowing issue has been addressed by adding screens that obscure 75% of the view. They cannot be seen through or over.

- Suggested that rotating the swimming pool would not work as a beam and possibly a column would be required and the garage door would not be accessible

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Rechichi for his comments.

3.11 Vern Gardam of Mt Lawley – Grosvenor Road pedestrian trial

- Complained that the Grosvenor Road pedestrian street trial has not been dealt with in a timely manner
- The street trial was for business to better utilise public spaces, improve outdoor dining areas and street closures
- The last update was in March 2020 and was not clear
- Concerned that changes to the loop will result in increased times to exit the loop safely in the direction he wishes to travel
- Mentioned danger from trucks making deliveries and collecting garbage, there is not enough space for trucks to turn
- Stated that a 12 month trial road closure was too long
- Queried who authorised this and by what authority and what are the terms of the agreement with RAC and why was Grosvenor Road chosen ahead of Barlee Street?
- Mentioned that the word “resident” does not appear in the quarterly update on the Vincent Rebound plan.
- Mentioned that the closure did not appear on the News portion of the City website, and asked that the ratepayers and residents are kept informed and to survey what they want.

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Gardam for his comments and offered to meet with him to discuss this. Mayor Cole mentioned that the City is holding a short trial for some street events. No works or infrastructure works are planned.

Manager Policy & Place advised that this action is in the Beaufort Street Place Plan. Grosvenor Road was deemed less disruptive to traffic and drop off zones. The timing of the trial has not been confirmed, but a six week period is being considered. This will be workshopped with Council before a decision is made.

Mayor Cole enquired about community advertising and awareness.

Comments were received on the Beaufort Street Place Plan, as this action was included in it. Considerable engagement with the Beaufort Street community has taken place to understand what they would like to see from this trial. Once the timing is confirmed further consultation will take place with the residents of those streets and the wider community.

Mayor Cole noted that Mr Gardam had significantly exceeded his 3 minute allocated time to ask his question and requested that he take his seat. Mr Gardam thanked Mayor Cole for the offer to speak with him, but advised that the public are caught by what is to happen and the scope of this item.

Mayor Cole advised that not all City documents are detailed, this is a forecast for the future, which doesn't necessarily mean the communication plan is in place, that the dates are in place, all of that will follow. There will be consultation on this and she again offered to meet with Mr Gardam to discuss this in more detail with Manager Policy & Place who could give an indication of what the project would look like and what activities are intended to happen in that space.

3.12 Islam Bouyahia of Perth - Item 10.3

- Stated he is the owner and operator of What the Flip food truck
- Submitted additional petition signatures against the kiosk to add to the signatures presented at the December 2021 meeting
- Mentioned that he has submitted multiple petitions and attended many Council meetings, but he has never been offered the chance to meet with Council or Administration
- Mentioned that the City's survey showed that 77% of respondents wanted both the food vans and the kiosk

- Mentioned that he didn't believe that the tender was correct, that is why he did not apply

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, thanked Mr Bouyahia for his comments, and expressed that she is sorry that he is so distressed, and that she has read his email and is concerned about some of the contents, as the decisions being made are not personal. Council does not hold any ill will towards him and appreciate his business and what has been achieved. This has been made clear all through the process.

3.13 Thomas Young of Highgate – Item 10.3

- Spoke against the recommendation
- Mentioned that questions were raised at the meeting in July 2014, as to the kiosk's commercial viability and long term sustainability.
- What has changed that Council now believe this is viable?
- Stated that Devco has quoted \$14,841 to fit out the kiosk. Did Council get a potential quote for a fit out in 2014 for the possibility this would come up? If so, why was the public not informed?
- Stated that the budget of \$50,000 would have ruled out many tenderers, if they had been aware that the fitout would be much cheaper many more people could have tendered, specifically the owner of What the Flip.
- What will the remainder of the budget be used for?
- The ratepayers are paying for this, not the tenderer, this is outrageous.

The Presiding Member, Emma Cole, and advised that the fit out is basic works to the storage shed to bring it to a basic standard, this includes tiling the floor, providing a sink and putting in a ceiling. The lessee will still need to do a fit-out and have contracted an architect to design this. Mayor Cole suggested that the use of the word "fit-out" in respect to the work the City is undertaking is misleading.

The following written questions and statements were submitted prior to the Meeting.

Steve Irvin of Subiaco – Item 9.2

Sorry I cannot be at the Council meeting in person, I am in isolation and I am advised by the City that unfortunately there is no mechanism for me to attend the meeting remotely.

We presented initial proposals to the City Of Vincent in December 2020 and have since been working very collaboratively with the City and their advisors, such as the Design Review Panel, to review and address any items which had been raised as concerns over the course of the planning approval process. We have also continued to listen to items raised by the neighbours following the numerous community consultations and have actively addressed key issues.

As a result, the proposals have undergone significant changes and improvements to the proposals when compared to previous versions, with considerably less scale/bulk/overshadowing and considerable increase in 'usable' open space and high quality landscaping to mitigate any negative impacts on the neighbouring properties. The open space provisions and lot setback are generally in excess of the minimum DTC requirements of the R-Codes.

The proposed dwellings have been designed to a high standard that will contribute to the future context of the locality while remaining sensitive to the character-style housing within the area. This ensures the development will positively contribute to the established Ethel Street streetscape.

The proposed development lot is designated as an Urban R40 zone, with 2 storey building height. Clause 26(6) of the LPS2, states that a maximum of two dwellings will be permitted per lot. In accordance with the Local Planning Scheme No.2 and Local Planning Strategy, the proposed two-storey sub-division development supports the City's vision of providing higher density development to meet density target set by the State Government, and therefore should be supported for approval.

Dudley Maier of Highgate

1. Will the City publish the full minutes of the Rebound Roundtable meetings so that businesses can actually see what is said on their behalf by Town Team members who purport to represent the interests of businesses in the City's Town Centres?
2. How often has the Community Reference Committee met, on what dates, what issues were considered, and how many members attended each meeting?
3. Given that the Administration have stated that a small sub-meter will be installed as part of the Hyde Park Kiosk distribution board, is there any opportunity for the City to charge the tenant the actual cost of power? Given that the installation of a sub-meter is a minor cost why didn't the Administration recommend full electricity cost recovery?
4. What exactly will the \$1-2 million proposed to be put into the Underground Power Reserve be spent on? If it is collected via general rates does it indicate that the Administration are not recommending a user pays-method of funding underground power?
5. Item 12.6 identifies three areas for underground power and indicates that this covers 5,336 service meters, plus that the preliminary estimated cost is \$17,600,000. What is the preliminary cost for each of the areas, and how many service meters are in each area (i.e. what is the split up of the 5,336 and \$17,600,000 by area)
6. Can you confirm that Vincent employees get 5 weeks annual leave with one of those weeks called 'special leave'? What is 'special leave – is it a benefit that can be taken as either time off or as 'pay'? Did Vincent employees get 4 days 'special leave' in 2020-2021 in lieu of an across the board pay rise? Did Vincent employees receive an across the board 1.5% pay rise this financial year as foreshadowed in the budget report to council on 22 June 2021 (Item 11.7 - page 555)? What was the meaning of '*salary benchmarking increase for all City of Vincent Staff*' as shown on page 7 of the January Monthly Financial Statements?
7. Given that the Administration has stopped including CAPEX Actual vs Budget graphs in the monthly financial statements because they were incorrect and misleading, and that the YTD CAPEX budgets for February to June are not available, how will the City provide accurate information in reports such as the 'Statement of Financial Activity by Program', and other such reports?
8. Given that the design for the Hyde Park Toilet Block West does not adhere to CPTED principles what mechanisms will the Administration put in place to protect the community, including vulnerable homeless people, once the construction is complete? Given the significant nature of the changes proposed was a Development Application submitted and advertised for community comment?
9. In reference to the IAP2 engagement spectrum, what level of community engagement will take place for the proposed blocking of Grosvenor Road (i.e. Inform, Consult, Involve or Collaborate)? Why did the community have to find out about this through the RAC member magazine?
10. The last sentence of the Minutes of the AGM states that each person who moved a motion will be contacted and advised when the response is going to a Council Meeting. Did this ever happen, if so when? If not, why not?
11. Did the City get a refund of the \$16,500 paid to the Rotary Club of North Perth for the cancelled Hyde Park Fair?

Suzanne Burke of North Perth – Item 12.5

I am addressing to Motions 6 and 9 from the 2022 AGM.

Motion 9 – That Beatty Park Reserve be reclassified from District Open Space to Neighbourhood Open Space.

We are alarmed that the COV has not implemented the key recommendation from the 2017 Hydraulic Report to build a small retaining wall to protect properties. All works to mitigate flooding were undertaken PRIOR to the 2017 Hydraulic report.

Let's be clear. Without the wall, the homes at Emmerson St have **NO PROTECTION** from water inundation from the Charles St side of Beatty Park Reserve. All works undertaken so far to mitigate flooding only protect homes from the Beatty Park Leisure Centre side of the reserve.

Since the **HYDRAULIC REPORT**, the COV has made 3 significant changes at the park that have **increased** the flooding risk and have increased the amount of water coming from the east (Charles St) side of the park.

These are:

1. **Removal of the pavilion** which acted as a barrier to much of the water coming from Charles St.
2. **The gravel garden has created a hard surface run-off** from Charles St to the reserve. The kerb at Charles St is almost flush with the road, so nearly all water from the arterial road cascades onto the park. We have video proof of this. The nearest drain for Charles St itself is on Vincent St.
3. **Introduced woodchips which float on water and block drains**. These wood chips will come into play if/when the intersection of Charles and Vincent occurs (this is one of the likely scenarios modelled in the Emerge report).

The July 2021 storm demonstrated that:

The Claisebrook drain got dangerously close to full capacity. Had the rain lasted just another 5 to 10 minutes it would have stopped draining altogether and water would have topped over the drains into our homes (only 1 drain out of the 5 or so was still draining, the rest were full).

1. The **ONLY** reason the drains worked at all, is because residents cleared the debris from drains during the event. Had the debris blocked the drains they would not have drained. Had we been away on holidays or slept thru the event (this event was during daylight hours), the drains would have blocked (at least partially) and we would have flooded. The proposed wall and the fence would trap the debris and ensure the drains would cope. We cannot continue to put ourselves at risk going out in inclement weather. This is not sustainable for us – physically or mentally. The claim by admin that the drains drained well is false. They did not attend during the event, they did not witness the drains to see what was happening. They did not witness several residents out in the rain desperately clearing drains and fighting to protect their properties.
2. After the resident's placed sandbags at the park, we witnessed at least 6 more overtopping events that month. The sandbags slowed the water coming to the drains proving that a small wall would work.
3. The statistics of a 1 in 100 year event are redundant once the park becomes waterlogged. On July 4th the park became completely sodden. Subsequently, even minor rain events in the following days and weeks caused water to cascade across the park into the drains. This is because, once the park is waterlogged, the water run-off increases and more minor rain events start to present a greater threat than normal. Effectively, a routine rainy day can then produce the same run-off as the 1 in 100 event. This is exactly what we witnessed in July 2021 on many occasions in the wee hours of night.

Qualified Hydraulic Engineers made the recommendation for the wall. The COV budgeted for this and it was approved as **URGENT** and **HIGH RISK**. The design has already been done. I have since heard arguments regarding the data in the report etc, discussions around the frequency of storms and 1 in 100 years and the like. In the end, you need to ask, why spend \$25k on a report by professional Hydraulic Consultants and agree with the key recommendation, only to argue years after the fact that it is not required?! Why is the report outcome not being accepted? Why is it even being questioned? It was approved as 'high risk' and 'urgent' when the money was approved. How has this changed?

Last year we were told by the Director of Engineering that there was little to no water coming from the Charles St side and the wall was not required. (1) He was not qualified to make this judgement, and (2) We have now provided proof that clearly refutes that. We have video evidence of a large amount of water coming across the park from all angles and particularly from the Charles St side where there is no barrier in place. It begs the question: Why are resident's forced into this sort of fight? This has been going on for too long. All we asking is for what we were promised. It is estimated that the wall would cost in the vicinity of \$25k.

Do we really need to wait for the car crash to unfold, that is, see our homes inundated with COV water before any action will be taken?

We have been told that Lynton St takes priority. Is this because they got a kayak out and canoed down the street and made the news? If so, well played by them. So, I have an idea. Next time Beatty Park Reserve turns into a river at one end and a lake at the other, I will don my bathers and grab my boogie board. Perhaps a middle-aged woman going beserk at the park in her bathers mid-winter skurfing and splashing around might bring some attention to our cause?!!!

Motion 9 – Reclassification of Beatty Park Reserve

When the pavilion was demolished in 2018 the park became unsuitable for organised sports. Without changerooms and toilets, players are now performing what they would have done behind closed doors in the open. They are urinating and changing from work clothes to exercise clothes, out in the open, in full view of everyone. In view of residents, in view of children at the playground, in view of park users and more.

When the pavilion was being demolished I asked what the plan was for sports at the reserve. I received an email from admin – and I can provide proof – that sports at the reserve would cease. But it has been allowed to continue despite the social problems that this has brought to the area. Why was I told it would stop?

We have measured the reserve and it is 1.3 hectares. Admin claim it is 2.6 hectares. I would suggest that this is based on out-of-date data. In 2013 when the leisure centre carpark was expanded, there was loss of green space as the carpark grew. Can I ask that you get your measuring tape out and check the facts by taking accurate measurements.

It is not reasonable to expect 30 or 50+ people (we have seen up to 90) for a couple of hours at a time (juniors or adults), to NOT have a requirement for accessible bathrooms. Should they feel the need, the current solution is to walk thru the carpark, up the hill, explain your situation at admin (in my experience anything different to the norm is met with confusion and delay) and walking all the way thru BPLC to go to the toilet. It is simply too inconvenient. Hence the public urination. If you have kids, would your child be able to hang on for this long?

I also dispute that concurrent use is possible. When sporting teams are there, their marker cones are often at the edge of the gardens, leaving no room for us to walk past with our dogs without either encroaching on their playing area or walking in the garden. This will eventually lead to conflict (in fact already has) and creates a safety issue. I know that at least one other resident has complained about soccer balls landing all over the playground, making it unsafe for concurrent use in that part of the park too. The observations taken by admin staff do not take into consideration the people that are not there. I don't go to the park when sports are being played. It is not enjoyable. I wonder how many other residents feel the same. Plenty I suspect.

In addition to this, it seems that COV are unwilling or unable to manage numbers at the park. Several years ago we were informed by COV admin (in writing) that due to the size of the park it would have a limit of 30 players imposed. Now we regularly see 40 to 60, 80 or even 90 players. This creates noise, parking pressure and has to be breaching some sort of health and safety protocols. Admin refuse to do anything about this and refute that a limit should be imposed. It is little wonder that residents get frustrated when they are promised something and it is then backed down on.

Please reference **Table 9 in the COV Open Space Strategy** where you will very quickly find that BPR is no longer compliant as a sporting field by the COV own definition due to its small size and lack of suitable amenities. The current management of the situation by admin is not working. The introduced social problems continue. Where will it end? The COV created the problem, now you can solve it.

Let's just pause for a moment. Step away from the problems at hand and consider this. We have a great opportunity to turn this park into passive recreation space and make it something interesting for all members of the community. The aquatic centre is used by people of all ages, young and old, there are aged care homes nearby that we could engage with to find out their needs. The reserve sits right on the intersection of Charles and Vincent with massive volumes of traffic and the park has high visibility. The COV has the opportunity to be innovative and creative with this space. With better community use and reach across all age groups, it might just help raise the profile of the aquatic centre too.

Samantha Torrens and Mark Mallabone of Mt Lawley – Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

For complete transparency, we disclose that Samantha is also a Western Power employee.

Bob & Jan Gadsdon of Mt Lawley – Item 12.6

My wife and I understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We are ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, such as:

1. Improved power reliability and capacity,
2. Increased urban tree canopy,
3. improved streetscape aesthetic and,
4. Less outages due to vehicle accidents or tree branches falling on lines.

We look forward to Council approving this initiative and providing support to ratepayers to this modern infrastructure proposal.

W. Tolhurst & A. Vosper of Mt Lawley - Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Andy Fawcett of Mt Lawley – Item 12.6

I understand council is debating undergrounding power in my Lawley. I wanted to express my support to underground the power on the basis of aesthetics, reliability, and improving the neighbourhood

Kym and Justin Miller of Mount Lawley – Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

John Lewis of Mount Lawley – Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

We are very much in favour of the underground power supply, we look forward to council to bring this to fruition.

Yoshimi Hashimoto-Politis of Mount Lawley – Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Celia O'Grady of Mount Lawley – Item 12.6

I live in Grosvenor Rd Mt Lawley. I urge you to accept the Western Power offer to install **underground power** in this area.

The heat of the last few months has demonstrated how much we need the shade canopies of large trees. I have lived in this area for 30 years, and have watched with dismay the removal of mature trees as backyards are sold off for housing, and the annual mutilation of street trees to protect the overhead electricity wires. Just look at the Stirling Council areas of Mt Lawley, where there is underground power and preservation of back yard trees, to get an idea of what could be achieved in Vincent. Please accept the offer for underground power and allow our street trees to recover.

Lesley Thomas of Mount Lawley – Item 12.6

I am a resident at 123 Grosvenor Road, Mt Lawley.

I have read that the City of Vincent Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to facilitate underground power at the Council's Ordinary Council Meeting on Tuesday the 5th of April 2022.

I as a ratepayer and resident in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate my strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide for the following reasons:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Helen McNally of North Perth - Item 12-6

I understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

My family and I are ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Wendy Campbell of Perth – Item 12.6

I understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

I am a residential and commercial ratepayer, and a resident in the area covered by the project.

I would like to indicate my strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Spiro Politis - Item 12.6

We understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

We're ratepayers and residents in the area covered by the project, and would like to indicate our strong support of the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance

Frank Breheny – Item 12.6

I understand that Council will consider a MoU to participate in Tranche 2 of Western Power's NRUPP to underground power at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 5 April.

As a ratepayer and resident in the area covered by the project, and I would like to indicate my support for the proposal and the benefits it will provide, namely:

- improved power reliability and capacity
- increased urban tree canopy
- improved street appearance
- improved street lighting which currently is unsafe.

Administrations' responses will be provided in the Agenda for the 17 May 2022 Ordinary Council Meeting. There being no further speakers, Public Question Time closed at approximately 7.00pm.

(B) RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

David Collins of Leederville – Item 9.4

As a long term resident of the area in question and an interested and willing community participant, I would like to make the following observations regarding the proposed works to be undertaken in the green parkland area at the bottom of Wylie and Bennelong Place.

- with the expansion of residential buildings into this area (townhouses off Brentham St currently under construction), a large proportion of the community that use this area are concerned of it just becoming another built up area, especially with the council scoping costs to put a footpath the whole length of this green area. This has been suggested before and is in line with the City's Greening Strategy but why can't the council think outside the box and actually use the space on offer to turn it into a green corridor with large trees planted. This is a great opportunity to link this area to central Leederville, rather than just putting in more lights and concrete paths. There is such an opportunity here - with the green way in this area predominately used by residents of the area to walk to/from Leederville and for walking dogs out to Brentham Street Reserve. Think outside the box, a green corridor has huge benefits for biodiversity, climate mitigation and general health and well-being.
- 100k doesn't seem like it is much value for money for a new playground. Leave the playground as it is - it is fine and doesn't need 100k of rate payers money put into it. Furthermore, the playground in question is used by a lot of adults in the area to exercise as it has the appropriately heightened metal bars to exercise off of. What consultation was undertaken with these members of the community?

Finally, a proper community consultation on what actually benefits this community should be initiated. New drinking fountains and a footpath through a green area is not exactly what we want, I would expect more from the city of Vincent council.

The City's proposal provides an opportunity for people of all abilities to be able enjoy and navigate the green corridor through from Wylie Place to Bourke Street. The initial proposal includes lighting, footpath and an estimated \$25,000 towards planting and reticulation to surround the new pathway.

The current playground is limited to upper primary school aged children and the replacement will provide a K-Y6 play opportunity incorporating landscaping elements and benches. Community consultation will occur with the local community and the adjoining school. This will be done prior to designing the playground, so that the City will be informed of any needs and requests regarding the specifics of the play equipment.

The consultation for the play equipment will also address the surrounding area improvements. This will provide the City with feedback regarding the drinking fountains and landscaping.

3.2 Dudley Maier of Highgate

1. Does Medibank still have the naming rights for Leederville Oval? If not, when did they relinquish them, and what has the Administration done to find another organisation willing to pay for the naming rights? How much did Medibank pay in the last year they had naming rights?

The sponsorship agreement with Medibank expired on 31 December 2016. Medibank advised they were not intending to continue the sponsorship due to a change in corporate direction, and also discontinued sponsorship of the West Coast Eagles and the City to Surf at the same time. In the final year the payment was \$103,421.35

A tender process was prepared in late 2016 and inviting tenders for the naming rights sponsorship prior to the finalisation of the WAFL fixtures in mid-January 2017. This tender was unsuccessful as no submissions were received.

The City then worked with the WAFL clubs in 2017 to prepare a sponsorship booklet for all parties to use when approaching likely sponsors.

In 2018 the sponsorship booklet was again revised, reflecting feedback from the market, and sent to relevant stakeholders and potential sponsors. The CEOs of the two WAFL clubs agreed to utilise their commercial networks to promote the naming rights and seek prospective sponsors. The WA Football Commission also agreed to utilise their commercial networks and existing sponsorship arrangements to promote the opportunity. Feedback at the time was that securing sponsorship in the economic climate was challenging, and administration explored the option of appointing an Agent to market the naming rights opportunity more directly. These options were actively explored over the next 12 months.

In early 2020 Administration identified a potential naming rights sponsor and prepared a detailed proposal. However, this was put on hold by the other party in response to the COVID pandemic in March 2020.

In 2021/22 the City prioritised the seeking of grant funding for Leederville Oval, which we were recently advised was successful, and added a further \$340,000 to the capital works budget to support this lighting upgrade. Leederville Oval lighting upgrade will allow the venue to be better utilised and provide a superior offering to sell to potential sponsors. With the lighting upgrade now assured, the sponsorship proposal is being refreshed. The City will be working with the two football clubs on the proposal and may seek an agent to help identify and secure potential sponsors.

2. The CEO didn't properly answer my questions about the promotion of the AGM. My questions were about whether he thought the meeting was 'adequately promoted', not whether the minimum requirements were met, and whether placing ads at the back of local newspapers constituted an efficient and cost-effective means of communicating with the community. His response simply said that promotional requirements were met. So I ask again, does he feel that the promotion was adequate, rather than just meeting the bare minimum requirements, and was it an effective and cost effective use of ratepayers' money?

The Annual Meeting of Electors was attended by 22 electors, the same number as those attending the 2021 Meeting. Other past attendances are 2020 – 11, 2019 – 11, 2018 – 4 and 2017 – 4. The Annual Meeting of Electors is a statutory requirement and while the advertising and promotion for the Annual Meeting of Electors was beyond the minimum statutory requirements the City acknowledges that there are opportunities for improvement in the timing, placement and content of promotional material for the meeting. The City is pursuing these currently so that it will be better prepared for future meetings.

Promotion for this year's meeting initiatives were:

1. **The City of Vincent Webpage**
News story - 21 December 2021
Added as an event to our events page - 19 January
2. **Facebook**
Posts - 9 January and 14 January
Posted promotion and added as an event - 19 January

3. **Public notice placed in both Community Newspapers**
Perth Now 27 Jan
The Voice 22 Jan
4. **Public notice on display**
Display in the Library building - 19 January
Display in the City Administration building - 19 January
5. **E-mail Invitation**
Invite sent via email to all residents subscribed to our e-mailing list -19 January
6. **Newspaper Advertisement**
Inclusion in the January monthly newspaper advert - 29 January
7. **Promotional screens**
Screen at Beatty Park - 28 January
Screen at the Library - 28 January
8. **Invite sent to list of key stakeholders including:**
Town Teams - 25 January
Community Engagement Panel - 25 January
Community Groups - 25 January

All of these materials contained information on how to contact the City for more information at governance@vincent.wa.gov.au

In respect to outcomes, fifteen motions were carried at the meeting with nearly all those in attendance taking the opportunity to raise matters with the City. This suggests that the promotion was cost effective.

3. The Local Government Property Local Law contains a clause which defines, in part, a garden on a verge as being 'waterwise or native' [9.4 (a) (i)]. However, the terms 'waterwise' and 'native' are not defined. Does the City believe that they will be able to mount a successful prosecution if a verge garden contains plants that are both exotic (i.e. not native) and not waterwise without those terms being clearly defined? If it is unlikely to mount a successful prosecution, is the inclusion of this clause little more than a feelgood statement rather than an enforceable requirement? Did the City seek legal advice on the validity of this clause?

Failure to install or maintain a verge in accordance with the local laws is subject to a modified penalty of \$250.

The City has obtained legal advice on the Local Law and comments from the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, neither of which identified this definition as contentious or ambiguous.

4. The Mid-Year Budget Review (Item 11.4) shows the budget for the 'Leederville Oval Stadium – Electrical renewal – 3 boards' being reduced from \$298,088 to \$198,088. Following an aborted tender, the City has committed \$119,953 on the project. Will the City spend \$198,000 this financial year as implied by the budget review? If not, why wasn't the budget amended in the mid-year review?

The balance of the funds associated with the project was reallocated as part of the mid-year review process & the funds will be expended on other projects.

5. Why does the administration no longer include the monthly budget verses capital expenditure graphs in the monthly financial statements? Can you provide me with the total YTD capital expenditure budget for the months of February to June 2022.

The monthly budget verses capital expenditure graphs were withdrawn as they were incorrect and misleading, in that they suggested capex spend is evenly distributed across the year.

The YTD capex expenditure budget for the months of February to June 2022 is not available. Projects are being closely managed by the CEO to ensure delivery in complex market conditions that are impacting the cost, supply, and resourcing of projects. An agile approach is being taken across the Capital Budget portfolio, and the planned project spend is being adjusted on a week-to-week basis to manage project constraints as they arise.

6. Did the City specify the installation of an electrical sub-meter in the recent tender for the Hyde Park kiosk? If not, why not?

The electrical sub-meter was not included in the recent tender. Nevertheless, a small sub-meter will be installed on the Kiosk distribution board as part of the project & funded through the sustainability budget at minor cost.

7. How many Place Maker FTEs are there, including any supervisory positions, and what is the 2021-2022 employee cost budget for those positions? What did those employees do in 2021?

There are six FTE Place Planners who are supervised by 1 FTE Coordinator Place. The employee cost of this team is \$628,624.88 per annum. These employees are responsible for the City's five town centres as well as the Pickle District and supporting the businesses and community within these areas. This includes visiting the towns centres and the businesses to understand what support they need. Throughout 2021 this included facilitating parklet applications, developing and distributing the City's business e-news, assisting businesses navigate the various state government COVID-19 directions and ensuring that economic activity continued throughout what was a challenging year for some of Vincent's businesses. It also included reaching out to the community and visitors to understand what they want to see in their town centres.

The Place Planners are also responsible for the City's Place Plans. During 2021, the Place Planners drafted the [Leederville Town Centre Place Plan](#), [Beaufort Street Town Centre Place Plan](#), and [Pickle District Place Plan](#) managing the consultation of these plans, amending the plans based on community feedback to ensure they reflect the community's needs and aspirations and having these plans finalised and endorsed by Council. The Place Planners also reviewed the existing [Mount Hawthorn Town Centre Place Plan](#), [North Perth Town Centre Place Plan](#) and [Vincent Town Centre Place Plan](#). The Place Planners are responsible for the delivery of many actions within these Place Plans, some of the actions that were completed in 2021 include:

- Installing planter boxes in the Leederville and North Perth Town Centres.
- Naming of Electric Lane in Leederville through Landgate
- Reviewing the hire fees and creating free online booking options for town centre public spaces.
- Implementing lighting improvements in Mount Hawthorn Town Centre car parks.
- Receiving a WAPOL anti-graffiti grant and utilising this along William Street.
- Working with the City's engineering team to coordinate the Cleaver Street upgrade in the Pickle District.
- Applying for many grants to help facilitate improvement within the town centres. A successful grant was through RAC to complete a trial pedestrian zone on Grosvenor Road which will be delivered in 2022.
- Initiating the Containers for Change program and developing a prototype. This will be installed in trial location in 2022.

In addition to this, the Place Planners manage the City's recreation, arts, transport, economic development, business engagement and place measurement portfolios. This included the following outputs in 2021:

- Manage the City's [Accessible City Strategy](#) including drafting the strategy, managing the consultation of this strategy, amending the strategy to ensure it reflects community comments and is best practice and having this strategy finalised and endorsed by Council.
- Commence the actions within the City's Accessible City Strategy including:
 - o Research, analysis and development of the City's Wayfinding Plan.
 - o Advocacy efforts to progress the City of Vincent to 40km/hr within residential areas.
 - o Draft and initial consultation of the City's Vibrant Public Spaces Policy.
- Manage the City's [Asset Management Sustainability Strategy](#) including drafting the strategy, managing the consultation of this strategy, amending the strategy to ensure it reflects community comments and having this strategy finalised and endorsed by Council.

- *Manage the City's arts portfolio including:*
 - o *Deliver 13 arts projects across the City through the Covid-19 grant funding.*
 - o *Coordinate an art piece for Pride WA.*
 - o *Coordinate the Beatty Park Leisure Centre tile artwork.*
 - o *Develop and distributing an expression of interest for [Arts Rebound: Town Centre Artworks](#), coordinating the assessment of these artworks, having these approved by Council and awarding the contracts to the successful artists.*
 - o *Coordinate the Arts Advisory Group and the associated meetings.*
 - o *Deliver the City of Vincent film project with Revelation Film Festival.*
 - o *Review and assessment of all Percent for Art Applications.*
 - o *Work with the other inner City local governments on the Making Space for Culture project to enable creative spaces in the inner city.*
 - o *Review of the City's Arts Development Action Plan 2020.*
- *Manage the [Britannia North West Reserve Development Plan](#) and [Litis Stadium Changeroom Design](#) including:*
 - o *Work the City's Design Review Panel to develop the plan, managing the consultation of this plan, amending the plan based on community feedback and having this plan finalised and endorsed by Council.*
 - o *Manage the design of the changerooms of Litis Stadium based on the endorsed Britannia Reserve Development Plan including working the City's Design Review Panel to develop the changerroom design, managing the consultation of this design, amending the design based on community feedback and having this design finalised and endorsed by Council.*
 - o *Work with the federal government to understand and begin the process for using this plan and design to obtain the \$3 million grant funding.*
- *Coordinate the Mount Hawthorn Skate Park Working Group and the associated meetings.*
- *Manage the [Woodville Reserve Landscape Plan](#) including developing the plan, managing the consultation of this plan, amending the plan based on community feedback and having this plan finalised and endorsed by Council.*
- *Manage the Haynes Street Reserve Development Plan including working with the current tenants of the site, amending the transition plan based on tenant requirements and having this approved by the attorney general.*
- *Managed the [Vincent Rebound Plan](#) including coordinating all implementation items across the City.*
- *Coordinate the Rebound Roundtable and the associated meetings with town team representatives.*
- *Successfully applied for the [Small Business Development Corporation - Small Business Friendly Approvals Program](#).*

8. At the February Council meeting, the Director was asked about the budget for the Monmouth Street Park. His response at the meeting included that “the \$10,000 was already on the budget”, “when council made the decision not to sell the land administration were instructed to use the budget” and “it was already on the budget”. The decision not to sell the land was made in March 2021. The only budget entry is ‘new capital’ in the 2021-22 budget, after it was decided not to sell the land. On 9 February I sent the Director an email asking him to justify what he had told the council. I still have not had a response. Did Director mislead the council in his response to a councillor’s question?

In March 2021 when Council approved the continued use of 10 Monmouth Street as public open space, \$10,000 was listed in the Capital Works Programme for 2021/22 for the Monmouth Street project as noted in the Council decision. Therefore the project was “budgeted for” albeit it in a capital works program that is subject to annual Council approval. Subsequently, in February 2022 when Council made the decision to proceed with eco-zoning at 10 Monmouth Street the existence of the project budget was confirmed in the report to Council. The Director does not accept that he misled Council when providing the answer which was given in good faith.

Wayne Bayliss of North Perth

- Asked why the petition is being ignored?
- Queried how the refurbishment money would be recovered if the kiosk operator went bankrupt
- Would you allow the food trucks back in in that circumstance?

Council acknowledged the petition at the December 2021 Council meeting and the sentiments of the petitioners were recognised in Council's debate on the whether to accept the tender for the kiosk in Hyde Park. The City expects to recover the pre-fit out costs for the kiosk through the current rental (or rental from future lessees should that be the circumstance). Should the Hyde Park kiosk not have a tenant the return of mobile food vendors would be subject to further consideration and a Council decision.

4 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Worner, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That Mayor Emma Cole's request for leave of absence 11 – 14 April 2022 inclusive be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

5 THE RECEIVING OF PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- 5.1 Petition with four (4) signatures received from Victoria Anderson of West Perth requesting that Council re-zone parking on Prospect Place, West Perth from a 2 hour zone to a permit only zone.

PETITION

COUNCIL DECISION

That the petition be received and a report be prepared.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

- 5.2 Petition with thirty six (36) signatures from Sophie Fernandez of Mt Hawthorn requesting that Council prohibit Western Diagnostic Pathology from operating a COVID testing drive through clinic at 391 Oxford Street, Mt Hawthorn.

PETITION

COUNCIL DECISION

That the petition be received and that a report be prepared.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

The following information regarding Fairfield Street was circulated to residents on 4 April 2022 and the Mayor spoke to this. The CEO has written to the Chief Health Officer to raise concerns and to ask them to

consider the impact this is having, noting that other testing locations tend to be in industrial areas. The Mayor requested that Ms Fernandez provide her with a copy of the communication she mentioned in her public statement, as it contradicts the advice the City has received from the Health Minister's office and the planning team's investigations.

What's happening?

The City of Vincent is aware of a number of issues impacting Fairfield Street residents related to the Western Diagnostics COVID Drive-Through Testing Clinic located on Oxford Street. These include:

- Car engines / motors are audible to residents from prior to 6:00am
- Often talking, shouting and car horns are audible from prior to 6:00am
- Driveways are frequently blocked, and residents are unable to access / leave
- Residents suffering from air pollution due to car fumes and cannot open their doors and windows.

The City of Vincent has advised Western Diagnostics that we do not consider the extended hours of operation of the Mount Hawthorn Clinic from 6.00am to 6.00pm to be appropriate so close to residential areas. We have requested Western Diagnostics review their **operating hours** to match more closely business operating hours of 9am to 5pm.

The City of Vincent has also offered to work with Western Diagnostics to identify a more suitable **alternate location** for the drive through clinic within the City of Vincent.

We are liaising closely with Western Diagnostic Pathology and their **traffic management** team to ensure the traffic is managed with as little impact on residents as possible. Rangers are **patrolling the site daily** to ensure traffic is being managed. A City of Vincent digital message board has also been placed on site reminding drivers not to block driveways and to queue quietly.

» Please note

Western Diagnostic Pathology has been supporting the State Government and WA's healthcare system in providing drive through locations throughout the metropolitan area to test as many people as possible.

Western Diagnostics Pathology is exempt by the State Government from the need to obtain planning approval for its COVID-19 drive-through testing clinic at No. 391 Oxford Street, Mount Hawthorn.

The exemption applies to medical and health related activity associated with a response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. The City is unable to override the State Government exemption including conditions such as the clinic's operating hours.

We have advised the State Government of issues that have been experienced by Fairfield Street residents and requested their support to address them.

» Further enquiries

We sincerely regret the inconvenience this has been causing you. We are doing all we can to assist in managing this situation and to offer potential solutions to Western Diagnostic. However, we do not have the ability to cease the operation, as requested by residents.

6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Gontaszewski

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on 8 March 2022 be confirmed.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

7 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Presiding Member Emma Cole made the following announcement:

7.1 STRATEGIC COMMUNITY PLAN

The Strategic Community Plan is in the process of being revised, residents are being engaged through "[Imagine Vincent, the Sequel](#)". This is about looking about the past four years of the plan and how our priorities stack up and what the community think about that and what is the feedback on priorities for the future.

The City held 8 pop-ups at popular locations like Hyde Park, the Mezz North Perth Plaza, Beaufort Street and Leedy Open Street, which was held in Electric Lane. One workshop was held, but the attendance was not great. The response to engaging people as they are out and about is much better. The Native plant sale was an excellent opportunity last Saturday to speak to residents, as they were happy to chat as they queued.

There is a workshop on Thursday, 7 April 2022 from 6 – 8pm, in the City's Function Room, which is offered online and in person, noting that not everyone feels this is the right time to come to public meetings. The workshop is still open for registration.

A pop up will be held at Kyilla Markets on Saturday, 9 April 2022 and further pop ups will take place throughout May. All locations are listed on the Imagine Vincent website. To date there have been 250 face to face conversations and 76 surveys completed in the first few weeks of the consultation. The City hopes to contact a lot more people during April and May. If you would like to be involved at any point we would love to hear from you.

8 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 8.1** Cr Ron Alexander declared a proximity interest in Item 10.3 Proposed Lease of Hyde Park Kiosk to Veggie Mama Pty Ltd and Item 12.5 Responses to motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors 2 February 2022 (specifically motion 13). The extent of his interest is that he lives opposite Hyde Park. He is seeking approval to participate in the debate and participate in the vote.
- 8.2** Cr Jonathan Hallett declared a proximity interest in Item 12.6 Vincent Underground Power Project (VUPP). The extent of his interest is that his partner's property is within the Project Zone. This is an interest in common with a significant number of ratepayers covering an estimated 5,336 electricity service meters to properties in the City of Vincent. He is seeking approval to participate in debate and vote in the matter.
- 8.3** Cr Suzanne Worner declared a proximity interest in Item 12.6 Vincent Underground Power Project (VUPP). The extent of her interest is that her property is within the Project Zone. This is an interest in common with a significant number of ratepayers covering an estimated 5,336 electricity

service meters to properties in the City of Vincent. She is seeking approval to participate in debate and vote in the matter.

- 8.4** Cr Ron Alexander declared a proximity interest in Item 12.6 Vincent Underground Power Project (VUPP). The extent of her interest is that his property is within the Project Zone. This is an interest in common with a significant number of ratepayers covering an estimated 5,336 electricity service meters to properties in the City of Vincent. He is seeking approval to participate in debate and vote in the matter.
- 8.5** Cr Ashley Wallace declared a proximity interest in Item 12.6 Vincent Underground Power Project (VUPP). The extent of her interest is that his property is within the Project Zone. This is an interest in common with a significant number of ratepayers covering an estimated 5,336 electricity service meters to properties in the City of Vincent. He is seeking approval to participate in debate and vote in the matter.
- 8.6** Mayor Emma Cole declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.2 Authorisation of Expenditure from 1 February to 28 February 2022. The extent of her interest is that it has come to her attention that the City of Vincent has purchased artwork from Charmaine Cole, who she am related to, through the Authorisation of Expenditure Report and responses to questions in the Briefing Notes. She has not discussed this with her cousin and did not participate in any decision-making.

The Chief Executive Officer advised that item 12.6 has been withdrawn as the meeting would lose quorum in the absence of the four declaring members.

At 7:15 pm, Cr Ron Alexander left the meeting.

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Ioppolo, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That Council APPROVE Cr Ron Alexander's request to participate in the debate and vote on Item 10.3 Proposed Lease of Hyde Park Kiosk to Veggie Mama Pty Ltd and Item 12.5 Responses to motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors 2 February 2022 (specifically motion 13), as his interest is so trivial or insignificant as to be unlikely to influence a disclosing member's conduct in relation to the matter.

LOST (2-5)

For: Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner and Cr Hallett

(Cr Alexander was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 7:26 pm, Cr Ron Alexander returned to the meeting.

REPORTS

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, advised the meeting of:

- (a) **Items which are the subject of a question, comment or deputation from Members of the Public, being:**
Items 12.5, 10.4, 9.2, 9.1 and 10.3.
- (b) **Items which require an Absolute Majority decision which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment, being:**
Nil
- (c) **Items which Council Members/Officers have declared a financial or proximity interest, being:**
Items 10.3 and 12.5.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, requested Council Members to indicate:

- (d) **Items which Council Members wish to discuss which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment or require an absolute majority decision and the following was advised:**

Nil

The Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole therefore requested the Acting Executive Director to advise the meeting of:

- (e) **Unopposed items which will be moved “En Bloc”, being:**
Items 9.3, 9.4, 10.1, 10.2, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4 and 12.7
- (f) **Confidential Reports which will be considered behind closed doors, being:**
Nil

ITEMS APPROVED "EN BLOC":

The following Items were adopted unopposed and without discussion “*En Bloc*”, as recommended:

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

**That the following unopposed items be adopted “En Bloc”, as recommended:
Items 9.3, 9.4, 10.1, 10.2, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4 and 12.7**

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

9.3 PROPOSED AMENDMENT NO. 9 TO LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME NO. 2 - NO. 21 (LOT: 373; D/P: 1939) EUCLA STREET, MOUNT HAWTHORN

Ward: North

- Attachments:
1. Location Map 
 2. Proposed Scheme Amendment Map 
 3. Applicant's Scheme Amendment Report and Justification 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **ADOPTS** Amendment No. 9 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2, included as Attachment 2, pursuant to Section 75 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*;
2. **ADVISES** the Western Australian Planning Commission that Amendment No. 9 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 is considered a standard amendment pursuant to Regulation 35(2) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* as the amendment:
 - Is consistent with the City's Local Planning Strategy on the basis that it does not represent an expansion of the commercial area into the residential area given that it provides consistent zoning over the entire landholding and better reflects the long-standing approved commercial use of the subject site;
 - Is consistent with the intent of the Urban zone under the Metropolitan Region Scheme to provide for a range of commercial and residential activities;
 - Would have minimal impact on land in the scheme area that is not the subject of the amendment as a future commercial development would be assessed against the relevant planning framework to ensure that it responds to the surrounding context appropriately;
 - Does not result in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts on land in the scheme area; and
 - Is not a complex or basic amendment;
3. **REFERS** Amendment No. 9 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 to the Environmental Protection Authority, pursuant to Section 81 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*; and
4. Subject to the approval of the Environmental Protection Authority, **ADVERTISES** Amendment No. 9 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2 for public comment for a period of 42 days, pursuant to Regulation 47(2) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

9.4 AMENDMENT TO THE CITY'S TREES OF SIGNIFICANCE INVENTORY TO INCLUDE THE JACARANDA TREE AT NO. 54 LINCOLN STREET, HIGHGATE

Attachments: 1. No. 54 Lincoln Street, Highgate - Tree Photograph 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council APPROVES an amendment to the City's Trees of Significance Inventory to include the Jacaranda tree (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*) at No. 54 Lincoln Street, Highgate.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

10.1 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO MEMORIALS IN PUBLIC PLACES AND RESERVES POLICY

Attachments: 1. Memorials in Public Places and Reserves Policy (2.1.5) 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council **ADOPTS** the Memorials in Public Places and Reserves Policy as per Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.1




Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

10.2 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING AND ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO PARKING PERMITS POLICY

- Attachments:
1. Attachment 1 - Summary of Submissions on Policies - Parking Permits Policy 
 2. Attachment 2 - Parking Permits Policy - amended 2022 - clean copy 
 3. Attachment 3 - Parking Permits Policy - amended 2022 - marked up 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. NOTES the submissions received in relation to the Parking Permits Policy at Attachment 1;
2. ADOPTS the Parking Permits Policy at Attachment 2.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.2

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.1 REPEAL OF COUNCIL LOGO POLICY

Attachments: 1. Attachment 1 - Council Logo Policy 4.1.11 

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES the repeal of Council Logo Policy 4.1.11, at Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.1




Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.2 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1 FEBRUARY 2022 TO 28 FEBRUARY 2022

- Attachments:
1. Payments by EFT and Payroll February 22 
 2. Payments by Cheque February 22 
 3. Payments by Direct Debit February 22 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 1 February 2022 to 28 February 2022 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2 and 3 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll	\$4,630,613.38
Cheques	\$745.11
Direct debits, including credit cards	\$662,798.34
Total payments for February 2022	\$5,294,156.83

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.2

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.3 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 28 FEBRUARY 2022

Attachments: 1. Investment Statistics as at 28 February 2022 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 28 February 2022 as detailed in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.3

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.4 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 28 FEBRUARY 2022

Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 28 February 2022 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 28 February 2022 as shown in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.4

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.1 ADVERTISING OF AMENDED POLICY - CEO ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Attachments: 1. CEO Annual Performance Review Policy (marked up) 

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES the proposed amendments to the Chief Executive Officer Annual Performance Review Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.1

Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.2 ADVERTISING OF AMENDED POLICY - POLICY NO. 4.1.09 - FLYING AND DISPLAYING OF FLAGS AND BANNERS

Attachments: 1. Flying and Displaying of Flags and Banners Policy (Marked Up) 

RECOMMENDATION

That Council APPROVES the proposed amendments to the Flying and Displaying of Flags and Banners Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.2

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.3 REPORT AND MINUTES OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 16 MARCH 2022

- Attachments:
1. Audit Committee Minutes 16 March 2022 
 2. Attachments to Audit Committee Meeting 16 March 2022 - Confidential

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting of 16 March 2022, as at Attachment 1, and NOTES the recommendations.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.3

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.4 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING AND ADOPTION OF CODE OF CONDUCT BEHAVIOUR COMPLAINTS MANAGEMENT POLICY AND APPOINTMENT OF COMPLAINTS OFFICER

Attachments: 1. Code of Conduct Behaviour Complaints Management Policy 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **ADOPTS** the Code of Conduct Behaviour Complaints Management Policy at Attachment 1; and
2. **AUTHORISE** the appointment of the Executive Manager Corporate Strategy and Governance as a complaints officer for the purpose of Division 3 of the City of Vincent Code of Conduct for Council Members, Committee Members and Candidates.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.4
















Moved: Cr Wallace, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.7 INFORMATION BULLETIN

- Attachments:
1. Minutes of the Children and Young People Advisory Group (CYPAG) 19 January 2022 
 2. Minutes of the Reconciliation Action Plan Working Group (RAPWG) 24 January 2022 
 3. Minutes of the WALGA Central Metropolitan Zone Meeting held on 17 February 2022 
 4. Minutes of the Tamala Park Regional Council Meeting held on 17 February 2022 
 5. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Arts Advisory Group held on 23 February 2022 
 6. Statistics for Development Services Applications as at the end of March 2022 
 7. Register of Legal Action and Prosecutions Monthly - Confidential
 8. Register of State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Appeals - Progress report as at 18 March 2022 
 9. Register of Applications Referred to the MetroWest Development Assessment Panel - Current 
 10. Register of Applications Referred to the Design Review Panel - Current 
 11. Register of Petitions - Progress Report - March 2022 
 12. Register of Notices of Motion - Progress Report - March 2022 
 13. Register of Reports to be Actioned - Progress Report - March 2022 
 14. Council Workshop Items since 16 February 2022 
 15. Council Meeting Statistics - March 2022 
 16. Council Briefing Notes - 1 March 2022 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Information Bulletin dated March 2022.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.7





Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.6 VINCENT UNDERGROUND POWER PROJECT (VUPP) – WITHDRAWN BY ADMINISTRATION

- Attachments:
1. Invitation to participate - Tranche 2 - NRUPP 
 2. NRUPPT2 Presentation 
 3. Memorandum of Understanding 
 4. Project Summary 

RECOMMENDATION:**That Council:**

1. **NOTES** the invitation from Western Power for the City of Vincent to participate in Tranche 2 of the Network Renewal Underground Pilot Program (NRUPP) at Attachment 1.
2. **NOTES** the proposed project areas would underground power at 5,336 electricity service meters in 1) North Perth/Mount Lawley, 2) North Perth/Mount Hawthorn and 3) Perth/Highgate as per the boundaries identified in the maps contained in Attachment 2.
3. **NOTES** Western Power advice that the overhead network assets in these areas are coming to the end of their service life for replacement and renewal. The poles and wires would be replaced like for like with an expected serviceable life of 40-50 years in the event the City does not co-partner in an underground power project.
4. **AUTHORISES** the CEO to sign the Memorandum of Understanding at Attachment 3 to enable Western Power to commence detailed design of the project.
5. **NOTES** the project summary at Attachment 4 will be included as a new Strategic Project in the Corporate Business Plan 2023/24-2027/28.
6. **REQUESTS** Administration to prepare a preliminary business case on participation in NRUPP Tranche 2 as part of the preparation of the Annual Budget and update to the Corporate Business Plan (noting that the final business case would be finalised following 12 months of detailed design by Western Power which will confirm estimated costs for the project).
7. **APPROVE** utilisation of \$222,000 in the Underground Power Reserve to provide for two FTE over 12 months for project management and community engagement work to undertake this significant project.

MOTION

Item withdrawn.

REPORTS WITH DISCUSSION

The Mayor proposed that each motion be decided individually and asked Council Members if had any motions they wished to discuss. The remaining motions were moved en-bloc.

12.5 RESPONSES TO MOTIONS CARRIED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD ON 2 FEBRUARY 2022

Attachments: Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.5

Moved: Cr Worner, Seconded: Cr Castle

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motions 7, 8, 14 and 15 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Ioppolo **Seconded:** Cr Gontaszewski

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 1 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Hallett Seconded: Cr Castle

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 2 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

- **DIRECTS the CEO to provide the Tree Selection Tool publicly on the City website.**

REASON:

Tools or instruments that guide decision-making by the City should wherever possible be made transparent to the community so that assumptions can be clarified and support continuous improvement. The Tree Selection Tool was generated through a process of community engagement and there is significant community interest in the levers that contribute to increased canopy and greening.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 2

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Item 11 in Motion 2 be changed to supported:

That the City have a goal of at least 75 percent of street trees planted from this year onwards will be Australian native tree species.

AMENDMENT LOST (1-7)

For: Cr Castle

Against: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 3

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council RECEIVE a further report on the review of the Street Tree and other relevant greening policies to reflect the aim of at least 75% of street trees planted being Australian Native tree species going forward.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and

Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 2 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, with the following additions:

- **DIRECTS the CEO to provide the Tree Selection Tool publicly on the City website; and**
- **Council receive a further report on the review of the Street Tree and other relevant greening policies to reflect the aim of at least 75% of street trees planted being Australian native tree species going forward.**

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Gontaszewki Seconded: Cr Wallace

That Council:

1. NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 4 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

AMENDMENT 1

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Worner

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

REQUESTS that Administration undertake further investigation into additional signage, repainting of the carriageway and the addition of a safety mirror. To be reported to Council no later than June 2022.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 2

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Ioppolo

REQUESTS that Administration contact Main Roads regarding the suitability of a reduced enforceable limit in the laneway. To be reported to Council no later than June 2022.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION

That Council:

NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 4 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, with the following additions:

- **REQUESTS that Administration undertake further investigation into additional signage, repainting of the carriageway and the addition of a safety mirror. To be reported to Council no later than June 2022; and**

- **REQUESTS that Administration contact Main Roads regarding the suitability of a reduced enforceable limit in the laneway. To be reported to Council no later than June 2022.**

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, **Seconded:** Cr Alexander

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 6 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

AMENDMENT 1

Moved: Cr Alexander, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

1. Note page 11 of the Emerge Report : Summary and Recommended Option 5.
2. A) Agree to complete Option 5 as recommended on page 11 "Option 5: Increased barrier within Beatty Park and connection to the downstream of Leisure Centre drainage line."
B) Fund this Option 5 and all works required from any recent identified savings.
3. Develop a policy that clearly defines the City's responsibilities in this space and identifies when it becomes a force majeure issue.
4. Please advise why the monies were taken out of the 2017/18 budget and who was responsible.

REASON:

This flooding issue has been recognised and traumatised the residents for many years. Solutions have been recommended through the emerge Report and should be carried out urgently. The city states that any water run-off from residents Homes/Blocks should be contained on that block. Yet the city's property at Beatty Park Reserve does not contain the rain run-off and it should. It certainly shouldn't inundate local residents' homes. Time for action !

AMENDMENT LOST (0-8)

For: Nil

Against: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 9:25 pm, Cr Jonathan Hallett left the meeting. (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9:30 pm, Cr Jonathan Hallett returned to the meeting. (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9:33 pm, Cr Ashley Wallace left the meeting. (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9:36 pm, Cr Ashley Wallace returned to the meeting. (during discussion of the amendment).

AMENDMENT 2

Moved: Cr Alexander, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

1. Note page 11 of the Emerge Report : Summary and Recommended Option 5.
2. A) Agree to complete Option 5 as recommended on page 11 "Option 5: Increased barrier within Beatty Park."
B) Fund part of Option 5 and all works required from any recent identified savings, at a capital budget provision of \$50,000, in the 2021/22 budget.
3. Develop a policy that clearly defines the City's responsibilities in this space and identifies when it becomes a force majeure issue.
4. Please advise why the monies were taken out of the 2017/18 budget and who was responsible.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

AMENDMENT 3

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, **Seconded:** Cr Worner

That a recommendation be added as follows:

4. **REQUESTS that:**

1. **The CEO investigates the scope and cost of a suitably qualified consultant to assess and model the capacity of the City's existing drainage network to cope with increasing major rain events and the need to assess climate mitigation;**
2. **Includes a scope of works is inclusive of cost estimates, a risk-based assessment and a program of prioritised works and recommendations over a 10-year period; and**
3. **Provides a report back to Council by December 2022.**

REASON:

The City of Vincent has undertaken \$260,000 in drainage works at Beatty Park Reserve and surrounds and has indicated to residents who have properties adjoining the Beatty Park Reserve carpark that the extensive drainage infrastructure put in place at Beatty Park Reserve, Farr Avenue and Emmerson Street provide appropriate drainage for that area. However, residents remain very concerned about flooding risks and impacts to their properties.

In order to satisfy the evidence-basis for any additional works, it would be beneficial and informing to have updated flood modelling to assess the existing measures, the impact of removal of the pavilion and addition of eco-zoned gardens and changing rain fall patterns and recommendations on further works.

The City of Vincent's drainage network, as a whole, also needs to be considered in the context of increasing major weather events and climate adaptation and mitigation measures. This amendment seeks support for Administration to investigate scoping and costing a project to assess the capacity of the City's drainage network, a risk-based assessment and program of prioritised works for consideration over next ten-year horizon.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION

That Council **NOTES** and **ENDORSES** the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 6 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, with the below additions and changes:

1. Note page 11 of the Emerge Report : Summary and Recommended Option 5.

2. A) Agree to complete Option 5 as recommended on page 11 “Option 5: Increased barrier within Beatty Park.”
3. B) Fund part of Option 5 and all works required from any recent identified savings, at a capital budget provision of \$50,000, in the 2021/22 budget.
4. Develop a policy that clearly defines the City's responsibilities in this space and identifies when it becomes a force majeure issue.
5. Please advise why the monies were taken out of the 2017/18 budget and who was responsible.

5. REQUESTS that:

1. The CEO investigates the scope and cost of a suitably qualified consultant to assess and model the capacity of the City's existing drainage network to cope with increasing major rain events and the need to assess climate mitigation;
2. Includes a scope of works is inclusive of cost estimates, a risk-based assessment and a program of prioritised works and recommendations over a 10-year period; and
3. Provides a report back to Council by December 2022.

CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 9 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Hallett

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, **subject to the response to Motion 9.1 reading as follows:**

Recommendation

~~Not supported.~~ Supported in part

Reason for the Recommendation

Lot number 1618 (220 Vincent St) North Perth, is 5.762 ha which is Zoned; Public Purpose - Civic Uses and Public Open Space. Lot number 1618 is between 5ha - 15+ha and District Open Space. Within the Lot there is an area zoned "Civic Uses" which is currently the Beatty Park Leisure Centre site at 3.162ha, and the area zoned "Public Open Space" at 2.60ha.

A district level public open space is appropriate as it consists of sufficient spaces to accommodate a variety of concurrent uses, including organised sports, children's play, picnicking, exercising dogs, social gatherings and individual activities. Local Government has a responsibility to provide for the wellbeing of its community including the provision of community and recreational facilities. This is achieved through the provision of sportsgrounds, swimming pools, leisure centres and parks.

Administration will correct the error in Table 11 within the Public Open Space Strategy and modify the existing area size of the reserve to encompass both the Beatty Park Leisure Centre and the green space.

REASON:

This was an error in the initial Public Open Space Strategy, and it is not unreasonable to correct such an error now that we have been made aware of it. The PDF of the existing document could be edited and re-published on the City's website.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 9:51 pm, Cr Ross Ioppolo left the meeting (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9.52 Peter Varris left the meeting (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9:54 pm, Cr Ross Ioppolo returned to the meeting (during discussion of the amendment).

At 9.56pm Peter Varris returned to the meeting (during discussion of the amendment).

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General

Business motions carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, subject to the response to Motion 9.1 reading as follows:

Recommendation

Supported in part

Reason for the Recommendation

Lot number 1618 (220 Vincent St) North Perth, is 5.762 ha which is Zoned; Public Purpose - Civic Uses and Public Open Space. Lot number 1618 is between 5ha - 15+ha and District Open Space. Within the Lot there is an area zoned "Civic Uses" which is currently the Beatty Park Leisure Centre site at 3.162ha, and the area zoned "Public Open Space" at 2.60ha.

A district level public open space is appropriate as it consists of sufficient spaces to accommodate a variety of concurrent uses, including organised sports, children's play, picnicking, exercising dogs, social gatherings and individual activities. Local Government has a responsibility to provide for the wellbeing of its community including the provision of community and recreational facilities. This is achieved through the provision of sportsgrounds, swimming pools, leisure centres and parks.

Administration will correct the error in Table 11 within the Public Open Space Strategy and modify the existing area size of the reserve to encompass both the Beatty Park Leisure Centre and the green space.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

PROCEDURAL MOTION - MEETING TO PROCEED PAST 10PM

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the meeting proceed past 10pm.

CARRIED (7-1)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Cr Ioppolo

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Ioppolo, **Seconded:** Cr Wallace

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 10 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

At 10:05 pm, Mayor Emma Cole left the meeting.

At 10:08 pm, Cr Alex Castle left the meeting.

At 10:10 pm, Cr Alex Castle returned to the meeting.

At 10:11 pm, Mayor Emma Cole returned to the meeting.

Moved: Cr Ioppolo

AMENDMENT

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 10 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, subject to the below change:

~~Requests that the council consider the failure to adequately promote the AGM when undertaking the next CEO performance review.~~

REQUEST Council recognise the importance of community consultation including the role the AGM contributes to that end.

Council commits to ensuring the next iteration Corporate Business Plan reflects the significance of effective community consultation and that the CEO Performance Review Committee considers this as part of setting the CEO's KPIs for 2022/23 in consultation with the CEO.

MOTION LAPSED DUE TO LACK OF SECONDER

Moved: Cr Ioppolo

Part 4 be supported instead of not supported.

MOTION LAPSED DUE TO LACK OF SECONDER

COUNCIL DECISION

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 10 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (7-1)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, and Cr Wallace

Against: Cr Ioppolo

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Ioppolo **Seconded:** Cr Alexander

That Council **NOTES** and **ENDORSES** the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 11 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Wallace **Seconded:** Cr Alexander

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 12 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

Moved: Cr Alexander **Seconded:** Cr Ioppolo

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 12 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, **subject to:**

1. **Motion 12 being amended to replace part 2 as follows:**
 2. **That the Code of Conduct be amended to include the following Clause and that the amended document be advertised for community comment:**
 24. ***Maintaining the Integrity of the Representative System because there can be reputational damage to the local government if community members believe that decision making is compromised by the formation of cliques or voting blocs which make decisions based on group alliances rather than on the merit of a particular proposal:***
 - (a) ***A council member must not use local government resources, including local government promotional material, to promote any particular candidate.***
 - (b) ***A council member must not communicate with the community in a way that may reasonably be seen as being an official communication of the local government to promote any particular candidate.***
 - (c) ***Subclauses (a) and (b) do not apply where any communication is, or may reasonably be seen to be, private in nature.***

REASON:

1. The underlying issue is the confidence that the community has in the decision-making process. If they think they see voting blocks, they may assume that decisions are made for the wrong reasons.
2. When people start to doubt the quality of decision making it can cause reputational damage for the City, and lead people to become disengaged.
3. It can discourage people from standing for Council as they may see themselves constantly getting outvoted and hence feel it's a waste of time.

AMENDMENT LOST (2-6)

For: Cr Alexander and Cr Ioppolo

Against: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett and Cr Wallace

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 12 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (6-2)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett and Cr Wallace

Against: Cr Alexander and Cr Ioppolo

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 11:07 pm, Cr Susan Gontaszewski left the meeting (during discussion of motion 3)

At 11:09 pm, Cr Susan Gontaszewski returned to the meeting (during discussion of motion 3)

At 11:10 pm, Peter Varris left the meeting (during discussion of motion 3)

At 11:12 pm, Peter Varris returned to the meeting (during discussion of motion 3)

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Ioppolo

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 3 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Moved: Cr Worner, **Seconded:** Cr Hallett

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 5 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Ioppolo, **Seconded:** Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

Strike out supported in part and replace with “Administration agree that minor trimming is required, and is to take place in April 2022.”

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 5 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report, with the following amendment:

Strike out supported in part and replace with “Administration agree that minor trimming is required, and is to take place in April 2022.”

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 11:23 pm, Cr Ron Alexander left the meeting due to his proximity interest.

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski Seconded: Cr Castle

That Council NOTES and ENDORSES the responses provided by Administration to the General Business motion 13 carried at the Annual Meeting of Electors held on Wednesday 2 February 2022 for the reasons outlined in the report.

CARRIED (7-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Alexander was absent from the Chamber and did not vote)

10.3 TENDER IE179/2022 HYDE PARK WEST TOILET REFURBISHMENT AND CAFE KIOSK**Attachments: 1. Tender Evaluation Worksheet - Confidential****RECOMMENDATION:****That Council**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Fit-Out; and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submission of Devco Holdings for Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Fit-Out, for both Separable Portion 1 - Toilet Refurbishment and Separable Portion 2 - Cafe Fit-Out.**

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Wallace**That the recommendation be adopted.**

At 11:32 pm, Cr Ross Ioppolo left the meeting.

At 11:33 pm, Cr Jonathan Hallett left the meeting.

At 11:33 Virginia Miltrup left the meeting and did not return.

At 11:37 pm, Cr Jonathan Hallett returned to the meeting.

At 11:52 pm, Cr Ross Ioppolo returned to the meeting.

AMENDMENT**Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Hallett****That the recommendation be amended as follows:**

- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submission of Devco Holdings for Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Fit-Out, for ~~both Separable Portion 1 - Toilet Refurbishment and Separable Portion 2 - Cafe Fit-Out; and~~**
- 3. DEFERS consideration of Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet refurbishment and café fit out Separable Portion 1 Toilet refurbishment, pending a further review of the sightlines and safety elements of the design.**

AMENDMENT LOST (0-7)**For:** Nil**Against:** Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace**(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)****(Cr Alexander was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)****COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.3**

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the evaluation process for Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Fit-Out; and
2. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of Devco Holdings for Tender IE179/2022 Hyde Park West Toilet Refurbishment and Cafe Fit-Out, for both Separable Portion 1 - Toilet Refurbishment and Separable Portion 2 - Cafe Fit-Out.

CARRIED (5-2)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Castle, Cr Worner and Cr Hallett

Against: Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace






(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Alexander was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

At 11:58 pm, Cr Ron Alexander returned to the meeting.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Cole, apologised for not mentioning during her announcements that this is the Manager Engineering's last Council Meeting. She advised that the Manager has been with the City since 1998 and mentioned that it has been a pleasure working with the Manager, due his knowledge of the streets, parks and drains he is a source of good advice. Mayor Cole mentioned that the Manager is approachable and always willing to help. The Manager has worked in areas of the greatest demand such as traffic management. Mayor Cole expressed her sincere thanks for his contribution.

10.4 NORTH PERTH TRAFFIC CALMING - PUBLIC CONSULTATION RESULTS

- Attachments:**
1. **Camelia Street Petition - Confidential**
 2. **North Perth Traffic Calming - Consultation Letter** 
 3. **North Perth Common - View Street, Fitzgerald Closure - Consultation Input From Residents of North Perth - Confidential**
 4. **Plan 3484-CP-01B - Proposed Traffic Calming Measures - Alma Road, Alfonso & Leake Streets, North Perth** 
 5. **North Perth Traffic Calming - Online Survey - Confidential**
 6. **Project Detailed Report - North Perth Traffic Calming** 
 7. **Summary of Comments - Public Meeting Road Safety & Amenity Improvements in Relation to Petition** 
 8. **Plan 3715-CP-0 – Proposed Location of Raised Intersection Plateaus and Diagonal Diversion** 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the North Perth Traffic Calming public consultation inclusive of the trial median closure at View and Fitzgerald Streets.
2. **RESCINDS** clause 3 of Council's decision for Item 10.4 of the Ordinary Meeting of 18 September 2018, and
3. **APPROVES**
 - 3.1 **The installation of a raised intersection plateaus at:**
 - 3.1.1 **Claverton and Alfonso Streets**
 - 3.1.2 **Claverton and Camelia Streets**
 - 3.1.3 **Alma Road and Vine Street**
 - 3.1.4 **Alma Road and Persimmon Street**
 - 3.1.5 **Alma Road and Camelia Street**
 - 3.1.6 **Leake Street and Raglan Road**
 - 3.1.7 **Leake Street and Grosvenor Road, and**
 - 3.1.8 **Leake Street and Chelmsford Road**
4. **CONSULTS** with the residents and businesses about 12 month trial of a 'diagonal diversion' at the intersection of Alma Road and Leake Street, as shown on Plan 3715-CP-0, Attachment 8.
5. **RECEIVES** a further report on the results of the consultation in August 2022.
6. **NOTES** that the trial closure of the median strip in Fitzgerald Street, at View Street, will be discussed as part of a report to Council in May on possible changes and improvements in North Perth Common piazza.
7. **ADVISES** the respondents and petitioners of the Council decision.

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Gontaszewski

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Hallett, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the North Perth Traffic Calming public consultation inclusive of the trial median closure at View and Fitzgerald Streets.
2. **RESCINDS** clause 3 of Council's decision for Item 10.4 of the Ordinary Meeting of 18 September 2018, and
3. **APPROVES**
 - 3.1 The installation of a raised intersection plateaus at:
 - 3.1.1 Claverton and Alfonso Streets
 - 3.1.2 Claverton and Camelia Streets
 - 3.1.3 Alma Road and Vine Street
 - 3.1.4 Alma Road and Persimmon Street
 - 3.1.5 Alma Road and Camelia Street
 - 3.1.6 Leake Street and Raglan Road
 - 3.1.7 Leake Street and Grosvenor Road, and
 - 3.1.8 Leake Street and Chelmsford Road
4. **CONSULTS** with the residents and businesses about:
 - 4.1 a 12 month trial of a 'diagonal diversion' at the intersection of Alma Road and Leake Street, as shown on Plan 3715-CP-0, Attachment 8; and
 - 4.2 **reducing the speed limit to 40 kph on local roads within the area bounded by Charles, Fitzgerald, Angove and Vincent Streets.**
5. **RECEIVES** a further report on the results of the consultation in August 2022;
6. **NOTES** that the trial closure of the median strip in Fitzgerald Street, at View Street, will be discussed as part of a report to Council in May on possible changes and improvements in North Perth Common piazza; and
7. **ADVISES** the respondents and petitioners of the Council decision.

REASON:

The City of Vincent has adopted the Accessible City Strategy with a target to introduce 40km speed limit on local roads by 2023. Residents in the area have raised issues about traffic speeds and the need calm traffic. This reduction in speed will work in tandem with the traffic calming measures.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.4

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the North Perth Traffic Calming public consultation inclusive of the trial median closure at View and Fitzgerald Streets.
2. **RESCINDS** clause 3 of Council's decision for Item 10.4 of the Ordinary Meeting of 18 September 2018, and
3. **APPROVES**
 - 3.1 The installation of a raised intersection plateaus at:
 - 3.1.1 Claverton and Alfonso Streets
 - 3.1.2 Claverton and Camelia Streets
 - 3.1.3 Alma Road and Vine Street
 - 3.1.4 Alma Road and Persimmon Street
 - 3.1.5 Alma Road and Camelia Street
 - 3.1.6 Leake Street and Raglan Road
 - 3.1.7 Leake Street and Grosvenor Road, and
 - 3.1.8 Leake Street and Chelmsford Road
4. **CONSULTS** with the residents and businesses about:
 - 4.1 a 12 month trial of a 'diagonal diversion' at the intersection of Alma Road and Leake Street, as shown on Plan 3715-CP-0, Attachment 8; and
 - 4.2 reducing the speed limit to 40 kph on local roads within the area bounded by Charles, Fitzgerald, Angove and Vincent Streets.
5. **RECEIVES** a further report on the results of the consultation in August 2022;
6. **NOTES** that the trial closure of the median strip in Fitzgerald Street, at View Street, will be discussed as part of a report to Council in May on possible changes and improvements in North Perth Common piazza; and
7. **ADVISES** the respondents and petitioners of the Council decision.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and



Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

Craig Wilson left the meeting at 12.02pm and did not return.

9.1 NO. 128A (LOT: 2; STR: 80812) HAROLD STREET, MOUNT LAWLEY - PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO GROUPED DWELLING**Ward:** South

- Attachments:**
1. Location Plan 
 2. Final Development Plans 
 3. Superseded Plans - Plans Originally Submitted 
 4. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response 
 5. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response 
 6. Determination Advice Notes 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, APPROVES the development application for alterations and additions to grouped dwelling at No. 128A (Lot: 2; S/P: 80812) Harold Street, Mount Lawley in accordance with the plans in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 6:

1. Development Plans

This approval is for alterations and additions as shown on the approved plans dated 21 February 2022. No other development forms part of this approval;

2. Boundary Walls

The surface finish of boundary walls facing an adjoining property shall be of a good and clean condition, prior to the use of the terrace, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City. The finish of boundary walls is to be fully rendered or face brick, or material as otherwise approved, to the satisfaction of the City;

3. External Fixtures

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennae, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive to the satisfaction of the City;

4. Visual Privacy

Prior to use of the terrace, all privacy screening shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall be visually impermeable and is to comply in all respects with the requirements of Clause 5.4.1 of the Residential Design Codes (Visual Privacy) deemed to comply provisions, to the satisfaction of the City;

5. Colours and Materials

Prior to the lodgement of a building permit, a schedule detailing the colour and texture of the building materials, including privacy screening, must be submitted to and approved by the City. The development must be finished, and thereafter maintained, in accordance with the schedule provided to and approved by the City, prior to use of the terrace; and

6. Stormwater

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve.

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

DEFERRED

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Castle

That Item 9.1 No. 128A (Lot: 2; STR: 80812) Harold Street, Mount Lawley - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Grouped Dwelling be deferred for further information.











CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Cole, Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Castle, Cr Worner, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo and Cr Wallace

Against: Nil

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

9.2 NO. 4 (LOT: 235; D/P: 1237) ETHEL STREET, NORTH PERTH - PROPOSED TWO GROUPED DWELLINGS**Ward: South**

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Map 
 2. Lodged Development Plans 
 3. Final Development Plans 
 4. Administration Streetscape Analysis 
 5. Administration Shadow Diagram 
 6. Urban Design Study 
 7. Environmentally Sustainable Design Report and Template 
 8. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response 
 9. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response 
 10. Determination Advice Notes 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, APPROVES the application for Two Grouped Dwellings at No. 4 (Lot: 235; D/P: 1237) Ethel Street, North Perth in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 3, subject to the following conditions, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 10:

1. Development Plans

This approval is for Two Grouped Dwellings as shown on the approved plans dated 21 February 2022 and 31 March 2022. No other development forms part of this approval;

2. Boundary Walls

The surface finish of boundary walls facing an adjoining property shall be of a good and clean condition, prior to the occupation or use of the development, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City. The finish of boundary walls is to be fully rendered or face brick, or material as otherwise approved, to the satisfaction of the City;

3. External Fixtures

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennae, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive to the satisfaction of the City;

4. Visual Privacy

Prior to occupancy or use of the development, all privacy screening shown on the approved plans shall be installed and shall be visually impermeable and is to comply in all respects with the requirements of Clause 5.4.1 of the Residential Design Codes (Visual Privacy) deemed-to-comply provisions, to the satisfaction of the City;

5. Colours and Materials

5.1 Prior to first occupation or use of the development, the colours, materials and finishes of the development shall be in accordance with the details and annotations as indicated on the approved plans which forms part of this approval, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City; and

5.2 The metre boxes are to be painted the same colour as the wall they are attached to so as not to be visually obtrusive, to the satisfaction of the City;

6. Landscaping

All landscaping works shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans dated 21 February 2022 and 31 March 2022;

7. Stormwater

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve;

8. Sight Lines

Walls, fences and other structures truncated or reduced to no higher than 0.75 metres within 1.5 metres of where walls, fences, other structures adjoin vehicle access points where a driveway meets a public street and where two streets intersect, with the exception of:

- One pier at max width of 0.4 metres x 0.4 metres and height of 1.8 metres, with decorative capping permitted to 2.0 metres;
- Infill that provides a clear sight line; and
- If a gate is proposed:
 - When closed: a minimum of 50 percent unobstructed view;
 - When open: a clear sightline;

Unless otherwise approved by the City of Vincent; and

9. Car Parking and Access

9.1 The layout and dimensions of all driveway(s) and parking area(s) shall be in accordance with AS2890.1;

9.2 All driveways, car parking and manoeuvring area(s) which form part of this approval shall be sealed, drained, paved and line marked in accordance with the approved plans prior to the first occupation of the development and maintained thereafter by the owner/occupier to the satisfaction of the City; and

9.3 No good or materials shall be stored, either temporarily or permanently, in the parking or landscape areas or within the access driveways. All goods and materials are to be stored within the buildings or storage areas, where provided.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2

DEFERRED

Moved: Cr Gontaszewski, Seconded: Cr Hallett

That item 9.2 No. 4 (Lot: 235; D/P: 1237) Ethel Street, North Perth - Proposed Two Grouped Dwellings be deferred to consider changes in relation to the front setback that will make a less dominant contribution on the streetscape.

LOST BY MAYORAL CASTING VOTE (5-4)

For: Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo

Against: Mayor Cole (2), Cr Castle, Cr Worner and Cr Wallace

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Hallett

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED BY MAYORAL CASTING VOTE (5-4)

For: Mayor Cole (2), Cr Castle, Cr Worner and Cr Wallace

Against: Cr Gontaszewski, Cr Alexander, Cr Hallett, Cr Ioppolo

(Cr Loden was an apology for the Meeting.)

13 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

14 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

Nil

15 REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES

Nil

16 URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

17 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

Nil

18 CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member, Mayor Emma Cole, declared the meeting closed at 12.23pm with the following persons present:

PRESENT:	Mayor Emma Cole Cr Susan Gontaszewski Cr Ron Alexander Cr Alex Castle Cr Suzanne Worner Cr Jonathan Hallett Cr Ross Ioppolo Cr Ashley Wallace	Presiding Member South Ward North Ward North Ward North Ward South Ward South Ward South Ward
IN ATTENDANCE:	David MacLennan Andrew Murphy Peter Varris Jay Naidoo Wendy Barnard	Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment A/Executive Director Strategy & Development Manager Development & Design Council Liaison Officer
Public:	One member of the public.	

These Minutes were confirmed at the 17 May 2022 meeting of Council as a true and accurate record of the Council Meeting held on 5 April 2022.

Signed: Mayor Emma Cole



Dated: 17 May 2022