

# **MINUTES**

**Ordinary Council Meeting**

**10 February 2026**

## Table of Contents

1	Declaration of Opening / Acknowledgement of Country .....	4
2	Apologies / Members on Leave of Absence .....	4
3	(A) Public Question Time and Receiving of Public Statements .....	5
	(B) Response to Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice .....	8
4	Applications for Leave of Absence .....	17
5	The Receiving of Petitions, Deputations and Presentations .....	18
6	Confirmation of Minutes .....	18
7	Announcements by the Presiding Member (Without Discussion) .....	18
8	Declarations of Interest .....	19
	Reports .....	19
	Items Approved " <i>En Bloc</i> ": .....	20
9.3	No. 88B (Lot: 3; Plan: 88595) Bourke Street Leederville - Proposed Grouped Dwelling and Ancillary Dwelling .....	21
9.7	Proposed Licence for Litis Stadium Changeroom to Floreat Athena Soccer Club Inc .....	23
10.3	Response to Petition - Safety Control Measures - Brentham Street .....	25
10.5	Approval to Advertise Amended Policy - Rights of Way - Standard Amendment .....	26
10.6	RFT IE529/2025 Menzies Park and Birdwood Square Flood Lighting Upgrade .....	27
11.1	Financial Statements as at 30 November 2025 .....	28
11.2	Financial Statements as at 31 December 2025 .....	29
11.3	Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 01 November to 30 November 2025 .....	30
11.4	Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 01 December 2025 to 31 December 2025 .....	31
11.5	Investment Report as at 30 November 2025 .....	32
11.6	Investment Report as at 31 December 2025 .....	33
11.7	Outcome of Advertising - Draft Youth Action Plan 2026 and Beyond Deliverables .....	34
12.1	National General Assembly of Local Government (NGA) 2026 .....	35
12.2	Approval to Advertise New Privacy and Information Breach Policy - Standard Amendment .....	36
12.3	Council Recess Period 2025-2026 - Receiving of items dealt with under Delegated Authority between 10 December 2025 and 2 February 2026 .....	37
12.4	Information Bulletin .....	38
10.1	Approval to Advertise Local Area Traffic Management Policy - Standard Amendment – WITHDRAWN BY ADMINISTRATION .....	39
	Reports With Discussion .....	40
10.2	Response to Petition - Vine Street and Hammill Lane Intersection - Safety Concerns .....	40
9.2	Nos. 484 & 488-492 (Lots: 51 & 52; D/P: 29193) Beaufort Street, Highgate - Change of Use from Eating House to Tavern (Amendment to Approved) .....	43
9.1	No. 496 (Lots: 145 & 146; D/P: 2630) Charles Street, North Perth - Change of Use to Unlisted Use (Car Wash and Detailing) (Amendment to Approved) .....	45
9.4	Transport Advisory Group - Appointment of Council Members and Community Representatives .....	48
9.5	Advertising of Draft Public Health Plan 2026 - 2031 .....	49
9.6	Submission on Draft Station Precincts Improvement Plan .....	50

10.4	Approval to Advertise Amended Policy - Verge Treatments - Standard Amendment .....	52
13	<b>Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given.....</b>	<b>55</b>
14	<b>Questions by Members of Which Due Notice Has Been Given (Without Discussion) .....</b>	<b>55</b>
15	<b>Representation on Committees and Public Bodies .....</b>	<b>55</b>
16	<b>Urgent Business .....</b>	<b>55</b>
17	<b>Confidential Items/Matters For Which the Meeting May be Closed .....</b>	<b>55</b>
18	<b>Closure .....</b>	<b>55</b>

**MINUTES OF CITY OF VINCENT  
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING  
HELD AS E-MEETING AND AT THE ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIC CENTRE,  
244 VINCENT STREET, LEEDERVILLE  
ON TUESDAY, 10 FEBRUARY 2026 AT 6:00 PM**

<b>PRESENT:</b>	<b>Mayor Alison Xamon</b>	<b>Presiding Member</b>
	<b>Cr Alex Castle</b>	<b>North Ward</b>
	<b>Cr Nicole Woolf</b>	<b>North Ward</b>
	<b>Cr Con Poulios</b>	<b>North Ward</b>
	<b>Cr Ashley Wallace</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
	<b>Cr Ashlee La Fontaine</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
	<b>Cr Reece Wheadon</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
<b>IN ATTENDANCE:</b>	<b>David MacLennan</b>	<b>Chief Executive Officer</b>
	<b>Peter Varris</b>	<b>Executive Director Infrastructure &amp; Environment</b>
	<b>Rhys Taylor</b>	<b>Executive Director Community &amp; Business Services</b>
	<b>Jay Naidoo</b>	<b>Executive Director Strategy &amp; Development</b>
	<b>Michael Hancock</b>	<b>Manager Development &amp; Design</b>
	<b>Mitchell Hoad</b>	<b>Manager Strategic Planning &amp; Sustainability</b>
	<b>Eamonn Lourey</b>	<b>Coordinator Place</b>
	<b>Prue Reddingius</b>	<b>Manager Public Health and Built Environment</b>
	<b>Lisa Williams</b>	<b>Executive Manager Communications and Engagement (left at 6.32pm prior to Item 10.2)</b>
	<b>Luke McGuirk</b>	<b>Manager Engineering</b>
	<b>Ruth Markham</b>	<b>Manager City Buildings and Asset Management (left at 6.32pm prior to Item 10.2)</b>
	<b>Sarah Hill</b>	<b>Manager Parks (electronically)</b>
	<b>Emma Simmons</b>	<b>A/Executive Manager Corporate Strategy &amp; Governance</b>
	<b>Wendy Barnard</b>	<b>Executive Assistant to the Mayor and Council Support</b>
<b>Public:</b>	Approximately eight members of the public.	

## **1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY**

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon declared the meeting open at 6.00pm and read the following Acknowledgement of Country statement:

“The City of Vincent would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and pay our respects to Elders past and present, acknowledging the City of Vincent has a role to play in working towards reconciliation and justice for First Nations people.”

## **2 APOLOGIES / MEMBERS ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

An apology was received from Cr Sophie Greer.

An apology was received from Cr Suzanne Wornor.

### 3 (A) PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND RECEIVING OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS

The following questions and statements were received at the meeting. This is not a verbatim record of questions and statements made at the meeting.

#### 3.1 Paula Hart of North Perth – Item 10.2

Her full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.2 Christina Nicholson of North Perth – Item 10.2

Her full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.3 Lee Behan of Highgate - Item 9.2

His full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.4 Philip Sarich of North Perth – Item 5

His full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.5 Michaela Carr of North Perth – Item 5

Her full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.6 Gurjant Sangha of North Perth – Item 9.1

His full statement can be found [here](#).

#### 3.7 Lesley Florey of Mt Hawthorn

Her full statement can be heard [here](#).

#### Questions

1. How many vacant residential properties does the City of Vincent own?
2. How many residential lots have been acquired by the City of Vincent for public open space?
3. How many vacant commercial land parcels does the City own?
4. How many commercial properties does the City own?
5. If a landowner has a City of Vincent stormwater pipe running through their property and the City is aware of its existence, and a land transaction occurs without any easement being recorded on the title, due to a lack of transparency, non-disclosure or omission by the City, will the City accept responsibility and negligence and provide compensation to the affected landowner as provided for under the *Local Government Act*?
6. Of the approximately 50 properties with stormwater pipes running through them, how many of the affected residents have been notified?
7. How many of those residents have had easements placed on their properties?
8. How much was the insurance premium paid to LGIS for the 2024/25 period and how much was paid back as a dividend or surplus to the City of Vincent?

The following questions and statements were submitted in writing prior to the meeting.

**Dudley Maier of Highgate**

1. At the meeting of 10 June 2025 I asked the following question concerning a seat and plaque that was installed in Roberston park in 2005, and which was paid for by community members.

*In February 2005 a group of residents paid for a seat to be located in Robertson Park. As well as paying for the seat they paid for a plaque that recognised the work that Chris Hair had done in developing a plan for the park, and recording its history. This plaque was affixed to the plinth upon which the seat was fixed. With the recent work installing a new path in Robertson Park the seat has been (temporarily) set aside and the plinth removed. Has the City retained the plaque, and will it be reinstalling it when the seat is replaced in its final position?*

The response was:

*Yes, the plaque will be reinstalled when the seat is replaced in its final position.*

The work in the park has been completed for some time, but I have been unable to locate the plaque although the seat seems to have been installed on the eastern edge of the dog exercise area. I haven't looked for a couple of weeks so it may have recently been installed.

My questions are:

- why hasn't the plaque been installed as promised; and
  - when will it be installed (as promised).
2. Since October 2022 the City has paid the Diversity Council Australia annual membership fees of approximately \$11,250 (excluding GST). What do Vincent ratepayers get for that expenditure?
  3. A number of recent payments have been made relating to the Perth Inner City Group's investigation of 40 kph traffic calming. Will the other local governments in the group reimburse the city for the expenditure? Why is Vincent participating in this study given where it is with the 40 kph initiative?
  4. Since the beginning of 2023 the city has made a number of payments to the Australian Institute of Company Directors totalling approximately \$36,000. Who attended the courses, what was the course, how much did each course cost, and were attendees required to contribute to the cost of the courses?
  5. How much has the City spent on the artwork that was installed in Leederville last year, including installation/infrastructure costs? How much of that was paid to the artist, and how much to other parties? What was the initial budget?
  6. What is the latest estimated total cost of 'Globe' artwork that was proposed for the intersection of William and Brisbane Streets, including installation? What was the initial budget? When is final installation expected?

**Paul Evans of North Perth – Item 10.2**

As a local resident and homeowner adjacent to the location in question (Vine Street and Hammill Lane Intersection), I wish my comments to be noted prior to any decision being made on the matter.

See my thoughts and feedback on the report and discussion below.

- I recognise that we live in an area that is indeed becoming more densely populated. This is a good thing and creates an opportunity to adapt and flourish in our changing urban landscape.
- If we are wanting to promote community and liveability, we need to adopt a Strategic Community Plan that progressively de-prioritizes the car as a mode of transport, and shifts behaviour towards walking, riding, and public transport.

- I have four young children. One attends Mount Lawley Senior High School, two of them attend North Perth Primary, and the fourth is still a baby.
- I would love for them to be able cross Vine St without risking their lives, but due to the number of near misses and actual accidents at this location, we will often opt to drive instead of walk or ride our bikes, as it is ultimately safer. Unfortunately this is not conducive to inner city living nor progressive development.
- I want my children to grow up in a community where they can walk and ride (and even cross roads!) safely. This means reducing the convenience and speed of car transport - and installing more traffic calming measures.
- I am a Professional Engineer, and fully appreciate the use of datasets and modelling tools (such as the LATM framework) to establish transparent evaluations that inform a decision-making process.
- HOWEVER, in my 20+ years of experience, I have developed the wisdom to understand that modelling tools are just that, tools. There is always nuance, and there are always exceptions.
- The tools should not be used to make the decision on our behalf, thus removing responsibility and accountability from the decision makers.
- There is more to this particular road location than the LATM score alone (as Cr Nicole Woolf clearly appreciated when coming out to the site).
- The passion and relevant local insight provided by the community should hold more influence in the decision-making process. I currently get the impression that it has none.
- All of the comments made at the rear of the Council Briefing Agenda relate to the LATM framework alone - nothing relating to the community concern - suggesting that public sentiment is secondary, or worse yet, treated as irrelevant.
- It is therefore requested that the Councillors / City of Vincent evaluate this decision with some pragmatism and properly consider **implementing the proposals being made by the local residents.**

#### **Owen Davies of North Perth – Item 10.2**

I live at 95 Angove Street, North Perth and use Hammill Lane (East) to access my garage. I enter and exit almost entirely from/to Vine Street.

Vine Street is narrow and has several restrictions on visibility - trees, other traffic, parked vehicles, and when coming out of Hammill Lane - a brick wall on the dog-leg corner. Almost every departure is taken without full confidence nothing is coming from the blind spots and regularly requires stopping suddenly after first deciding to exit. This is made more dangerous due to the comparatively fast speeds cars often appear.

Vine Street is a short road and regularly has cars parked along one side, restricting it to a single car width. Cars accelerate quickly when heading North from Albert St to be the car that has priority to use the road (i.e. on the right-hand side) - and before any car appears from the obscured Northern Angove Street end. Clearing the parked cars and getting to the recess before Hammill Lane is therefore often done accelerating.

From the North, off Angove Street, cars are quicker entering Vine street when turning right; but all entering vehicles often again face a single lane due to parked vehicles. If a car is approaching from Vine Street South and is now oncoming on the left-hand side, there is sometimes limited space/time for the ex-Angove St vehicle. This is made worse with trucks or trailers and no room to pull in. Cars often "spurt" through.

At the 'recess' outside Hammill Lane (i.e. no parked cars) there is a 4-way meeting of traffic, 3 directions of which are without full visibility on a blind corner i.e. the meeting of Vine St North and South traffic, and the exiting Hammill Lane (East). There have been some incidents and near misses at this corner.

I would like to see the use of speed humps on Vine St to slow traffic and a mirror on the lamppost outside the entrance to Hammill Lane (West) for those at the dog-leg to have better visibility.

**Nikki Singleton of North Perth – Item 10.2**

Unfortunately, I am unable to attend the upcoming Council Meeting but have some significant concerns regarding Vine Street in North Perth which I would like to bring to your attention.

I, together with my 3 teenage children all with driving licences, one of whom is on L plates, use Vine Street to access our home which is located off Hamill Lane (West). We've all had some big frights with navigating this road at various times over the past few years. If I can quote my 19-year-old daughter, "Omg the tight spaces you have to try and cram into to let someone past and that horrible corner are both beyond scary".

I have two major concerns with using this street. The first is when we exit Hamill Lane onto Vine Street. The visibility is very poor, due to parked cars, and, when trying to turn either left or right our cars need to edge out slowly to ensure our safety. Unfortunately, the speed at which cars travel along this road, most often on the wrong side of the road due to other parked cars, is too high for the short street and the blind bend that is also present. We've all had some near misses and thankfully no crashes so far.

The other issue is when turning from Angove Street onto Vine Street. The issue particularly occurs when travelling west along Angove Street and turning left onto Vine Street. There are often cars stopped at the stop sign at the Vine Street and Angove Street intersection, at busy times there are often 3 cars. Due to these parked cars located so close to the intersection, the cars trying to turn are usually on the wrong side of the road, preventing access to Vine Street.

Due to the tight turn and poor visibility, we have found that we often have to stop suddenly, causing the cars behind us on Angove Street to come very close to crashing into the rear of our cars. It is really scary, especially as a parent with an L plater. I have often found myself screaming "stop" so urgently or "tight turn, tight turn" when trying to turn left onto Vine Street from Angove. I honestly cannot express significantly enough to you how highly stressful it is navigating this turn at times.

Please can the Council consider some changes to this street to help slow the traffic and make the navigation throughout this area easier? I know that the speeds that cars travel along Vine Street are considered acceptable, but the reality of living around and using this street on a daily basis, are certainly not reflective of the experience of my family. Any help that the Vincent Council can provide would be so greatly appreciated.

Administrations' responses will be provided in the Agenda for the 10 March 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting.

There being no further speakers, Public Question Time closed at approximately 6.16pm.

**(B) RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

The below questions were asked at the 9 December 2025 Council meeting. Administration responses are in italics below.

**Lexi Smith of Perth**

**Further to the Administration's response provided for the 9 December 2025 Council meeting, the following supplementary questions were asked regarding 37-39 Stuart Street, Perth:**

**1. Hazardous Materials Assessment**

The Administration characterised the unauthorised works as "limited to internal partitioning removal" and "low risk." My reports to WorkSafe (references 858656 and 862121) documented potentially hazardous materials being removed from this old building and dumped on the street verge. Before issuing the demolition permit on 21 May 2025, did the City require a hazardous materials survey or require a documented asbestos assessment of the building to be conducted?

*It is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure possible hazards are identified. The documentation bundle submitted as part of the original and revised demolition applications identified the location and condition of asbestos containing material and confirmed a suitably licensed contractor had been engaged to perform the necessary works. Asbestos removal is regulated under the Work Health and Safety (General) Regulations*



2022, and concerns relating to the conduct of persons handling asbestos as part of demolition works should be referred to WorkSafe for investigation.

## 2. Compliance Monitoring Timeline

The building permit application for 37-39 Stuart Street was lodged on Friday, 2 May 2025, after demolition works had already commenced. On what date did the City first become aware that unauthorized demolition works were underway at this site, and was this awareness prompted by my advice to WorkSafe and the City or through the City's own proactive compliance monitoring systems?

*The City was notified of alleged unauthorised works on Friday 2 May and attended site on Monday 5 May 2025. A direction to cease works was provided on 6 May 2025 and complied with.*

## 3. Referral Threshold for Unauthorized Works

Given the Administration's decision that "no further action was warranted" despite unauthorized demolition works commencing without a permit, what threshold of non-compliance or risk does the City apply when determining whether to refer a registered builder to the Building Services Board or LGIRS?

*The alleged unauthorised works were limited to low risk, non-structural works. The City was able to effectively resolve the matter by issuing the direction to cease work, refuse the original demolition application and require the submission of a new application for assessment and approval. The contractor was cooperative and compliant with the City's requests. Subsequently escalation to the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety was not justified.*

### Peter and Suzette Herkenhoff of Highgate – Item 9.2

***Following further contact from Mr Herkenhoff in early January expressing concerns regarding the response to his submitted questions, Administration has provided additional clarification ('Additional Response from Administration') below.***

In all good conscience, will Council exercise the substantial discretion now required to approve the aforementioned application for this land use change in light of the following facts?

- Planning Department provision of misinformation that demonstrates an approval bias and obfuscation of key considerations, including accurate reporting of the majority's objections.

*City Officers have undertaken a merit-based assessment of the proposal in accordance with the relevant planning framework. The Officer Report summarises and responds to objections received during the community consultation period.*

#### ***Additional Response from Administration:***

*The Officer Report presented to Council included a dedicated Summary of Submissions from the community consultation period and Administration Response, and identified the planning issues raised by residents, including amenity, parking, policy compliance and housing supply.*

*The report also clearly identified where the proposal did not meet acceptable development provisions and where discretion was required.*

*Council was not bound by the officer recommendation and ultimately exercised its own planning judgement in refusing the application. The fact that Council reached a different conclusion does not indicate misinformation or bias in the assessment.*

- Irrespective of the unenforceable time limit sought, approval will permanently confer commercial STRA rights on current and subsequent owners of Lot 1, condemning us to known, un-hosted Holiday House risks and impacts in perpetuity.

*The Officer Report's recommendation included a condition of approval limiting the proposed Holiday House to a maximum of 181 nights a year for a 12-month period. The approval would have lapsed at*

*the end of the 12-month period unless a subsequent application was approved extending the timeframe.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The Officer Report recommended a time-limited approval only, with no automatic right of continuation.*

*Any future extension would have required a new development application and assessment against the planning framework in force at that time, including consideration of complaints, parking impacts and how it has operated.*

*Approval as recommended would not have conferred permanent or ongoing commercial use rights.*

- Planning Department failure to inform stakeholders of this critical impact during development of its DRAFT STRA Policy, in consultation material developed and progressively refined -- with the proponents -- to facilitate approval, and the subterfuge employed throughout its recommendation to Council.

*The City advertised the draft Local Planning Policy: Short Term Accommodation for 45 days between 9 May to 23 June 2025. The policy was advertised through the following methods:*

- 194 emails sent to all owners/managers of properties that were registered on the Department of Local Government, Industry, Regulation and Safety's Short-Term Rental Accommodation at the time.
- Emails to key stakeholders including Short Term Accommodation Association Australia, Australia & New Zealand Short Term Rental Association, Airbnb, and Stayz.
- A survey on Imagine Vincent.
- Notices published in the Perth Voice on 1 May 2025 and displayed at the City's Administration Building and Library.
- Promotion in the City's social media pages on 9 June 2025, and in the City's fortnightly e-news on 9 and 22 May 2025, and 5 June 2025.

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The draft STRA Policy and the development application are separate statutory processes.*

*The Officer Report explains that the draft policy was publicly advertised, adopted by Council and treated as a seriously entertained planning instrument under Clause 67 of the Deemed Provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.*

*The application was assessed against both the existing and draft policy framework as required. The outcomes of the policy process did not pre-determine the assessment, and Council exercised its own judgement in determining the application.*

- Approval would not only guarantee long-term impacts to adjoining neighbours and local residents but also incentivise further reductions in the City's long-term housing supply. This is at odds with community sentiment.

*The Officer Report's recommendation limited the approval for a maximum of 12 months, after which the approval would lapse. The application proposed the dwelling would operate as a Holiday House for a maximum of 6 months a year, whilst continuing to function as the owner's primary residence for the remaining 6 months.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The Officer Report assessed the proposal against long-term housing supply considerations, including the part-time nature of the use, the continued residential occupation for part of each year, and the relevance of Amendment No. 13 to Local Planning Scheme No. 2.*

*Concerns about long-term housing supply and neighbourhood impacts were also raised by residents and were taken into account as part of the assessment. Community consultation submissions are a relevant consideration in determining an application, but it is one of a number of matters Council is required to consider under Clause 67 of the Deemed Provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, alongside issues such as amenity, land use compatibility, parking, management measures and the objectives of the zone.*

*All of these matters were before Council when it determined the application. Council ultimately exercised its discretion to refuse the proposal, reflecting its overall planning judgement having regard to the full range of relevant planning considerations.*

- Planning Department failure to advise constituents whether future processes to extend approval would trigger consultation with directly affected parties, or whether such approval becomes a commercial-in-confidence matter between the City and the proponents only.

*In the event the application was approved and an extension was applied for in the future, further consultation would be considered in accordance with the City's Community and Stakeholder Engagement Policy.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*This application was assessed on its own merits and related only to the proposed use as applied for, including the recommended 12-month time-limited approval.*

*Whether consultation would be required for any future extension is not a matter that can be determined as part of the current application, as no such proposal was before Council.*

*If a future application were to be lodged to extend the approval, it would be assessed under the planning framework and policies applying at that time. Based on the City's current planning policy for short-term accommodation, such an application would be subject to further community consultation.*

- Imposition of unacceptable safety and privacy risks, access impacts and encumbrances on our use and maintenance of common property on the strata, over which we have 55 %-unit entitlement, rendering the proposal fatally flawed.

*The Pedestrian Easement is not restrictive in nature and can be accessed by guests and visitors to No. 11 St Albans Avenue. Considerations relating to strata maintenance is a matter for owners.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The Officer Report confirms that the proposal did not alter the physical form or legal function of the easement.*

*Planning considerations relating to access and safety were addressed by recommended conditions requiring the easement to remain unobstructed, limiting how it could be used by guests, and requiring guests to be informed of its purpose as an accessway.*

*Matters relating to strata ownership, maintenance responsibilities or private rights between owners are not regulated through the planning approval and are managed outside the planning system.*

- Planning Department deference to the misnomer of “passive surveillance” and an unformulated, unregulatable “guest screening” process as risk mitigants in the recommendation it authored, coupled with its failed attempt to walk back support for the latter when challenged at the December 2 briefing.

*The Officer Report’s recommendation included a condition for the dwelling to operate in accordance with the Operations Management Plan. Whilst screening of prospective guests is a usual part of the reservation approval process, the City sought to confirm this should not be discriminatory in nature. Conditions of an approval are enforceable in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2005.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*References to passive surveillance in the Officer Report relate to the visibility of the easement and outdoor areas from the street and adjoining properties, which assists in discouraging inappropriate use and supports safe access.*

*Guest screening was described in the Officer Report as part of the applicant’s proposed Operations Management Plan. During the Council Briefing Session on 2 December 2025, Administration was asked to clarify how this measure should be understood and applied in practice. Administration explained that while the operator may screen guests for matters such as booking history and intended use of the dwelling, the City’s assessment and recommendation were not based on personal characteristics of guests, which are not matters regulated through the planning framework.*

*The Briefing Session clarification did not remove or change the management measures proposed. Rather, it clarified how the relevant condition would operate alongside other laws, including anti-discrimination requirements. This clarification was reflected in the determination advice notes to ensure the condition was applied lawfully and as intended.*

- Operation of an incompatible commercial land use in a residential zone is proposed -- without a meaningful framework of enforceable conditions – defying process logic and denying procedural fairness to impacted stakeholders.

*Conditions of an approval are enforceable in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2005.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*A Holiday House is a discretionary (‘A’) use in the Residential Zone under the City’s Local Planning Scheme No. 2.*

*The Officer Report identified conditions that could have been used to regulate the use if approval were granted.*

*Council ultimately decided not to exercise discretion to approve the proposal. This reflects a different planning judgement about its acceptability in this location, rather than an absence of potential conditions and controls.*

- Planning Department misrepresentation of parking constraints in St Albans Avenue and local streets, as reflected in Ranger Services’ December 1 deployment of a consultation to propose further parking restrictions. Notably, the Department claims no residential parking permits need be issued to Holiday House guests. The City’s e-permit system, by design, allows the proponents to confer parking rights on visitors, including Holiday House guests.

*Residential parking permits are restricted for residential purposes only. A Holiday House is a non-residential use and therefore are not permitted to access residential parking permits.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*Conditions of approval in the Officer Report expressly prohibited Holiday House guests from accessing residential parking permits.*

*The application assessment relied on on-street parking survey data for St Albans Avenue from 2025, and the Operations Management Plan requires guests to be informed of parking restrictions.*

*The City's permit system does not override planning conditions. This means the operator must comply with the planning conditions regardless of what the permit system allows. The permit system cannot be used to grant parking rights that are prohibited by a development approval. If the conditions were not complied with, this would constitute a breach of the approval and would be a basis for investigation and enforcement by the City.*

- *Abject Planning Department failure to present a defensible argument that justifies Council exercise of its discretion to confer deemed-to-comply status on a proposal that fails to meet 50% of the Acceptable Development Provisions in a STRA Policy that remains in draft form.*

*A core function of the Western Australian planning framework is the application of discretion on planning proposals. In this regard, an application can propose departures to one or more standards. Where this occurs, consideration of the application is required on its merits against the relevant planning objectives. In this case, the Officer's assessment considered the proposed development to be acceptable.*

***Additional Response from Administration:***

*The planning framework does not assess applications based on a percentage of compliance with acceptable development provisions. There is no threshold that requires refusal because a certain number or proportion of provisions are not met.*

*Where acceptable development provisions are not met, the planning framework requires the proposal to be assessed on its merits against the relevant planning objectives and the matters set out in Clause 67 of the Deemed Provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.*

*The Officer Report identified each departure to the prescribed standard, explained how those matters were considered, and set out a planning basis for exercising discretion.*

*Council considered that assessment and ultimately determined not to exercise discretion to approve the proposal, reflecting its planning judgement about the acceptability of the development rather than the number of departures identified.*

- *A draft Policy that cannot realistically be considered as a "...seriously entertained planning instrument..." when the proposal was subjectively assessed against loosely defined impact management 'Objectives'.*

*In accordance with clause 67(2)(b) of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, a local government must give due regard to any proposed planning instrument that the local government is seriously considering adopting. The draft Local Planning Policy: Short Term Accommodation was adopted by Council to come into effect by 1 January 2026 at its meeting held 12 August 2025.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*Under the planning framework, applications are required to be assessed against the relevant planning policies, including their objectives, in accordance with Clause 67 of the Deemed Provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.*

*The Officer Report applied the draft STRA Policy in that manner. It assessed the proposal against the policy framework and identifying where discretion was required. This assessment followed the prescribed planning process and was not subjective.*

*The draft policy was therefore properly treated as a seriously entertained planning instrument, and Council then exercised its discretion in determining the application.*

- The absence of robust performance metrics to support compliance with these objectives, ensuring the proposed Holiday House management commitments are rendered unenforceable.

*Conditions of an approval are enforceable in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2005.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The recommended conditions required compliance with the Operations Management Plan, complaint record-keeping, caretaker response times and defined operating requirements. These mechanisms provide enforceable controls that allow the City to investigate and respond to any alleged non-compliance during the approval period.*

- Has Council received further Planning Department advice that warrants scrutiny by impacted stakeholders?

*Further advice provided to Elected Members is included in the published Briefing Notes and Alternate Recommendation.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*No additional or separate planning advice has been provided to Council outside the material that is publicly available.*

*Any further advice provided to Elected Members occurred through formal processes, including briefing notes and the alternate recommendation, both of which are published in the Council agenda and minutes.*

*These materials clarify aspects of the assessment, respond to questions raised by Councillors, and assist Council in exercising its decision-making role. They do not introduce new evidence or change the scope of the application, and they are available for as part of the public record.*

- In light of Planning Department misinformation and obfuscation in its recommendation, revealed by stakeholder scrutiny, is Council satisfied with the standard of departmental oversight?

*The Development and Design team have undertaken an assessment in accordance with the process set out in the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.*

**Additional Response from Administration:**

*The assessment of the application was undertaken in accordance with the statutory process prescribed under the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, including community consultation, assessment against the relevant planning framework, and reporting to Council.*

*The Officer Report identified where discretion was required, set out the planning considerations relied upon, and presented a recommendation of approval supported by conditions.*

*Council was not bound to accept that recommendation and ultimately formed a different planning judgement in refusing the application.*

*Differences between the officer recommendation and Council's decision do not indicate a lack of oversight or process failure. They reflect the role of Council as the decision-maker, exercising discretion after having regard to the full range of material before it.*

**Administrative note:**

*Administration has corrected the spelling of Mrs Herkenhoff's name in the December 2025 Council Meeting minutes. The corrected version was reflected prior to confirmation of the minutes.*

**Ben Hodsdon of West Perth**

1. Thank you for organising the bollards on the corner of Fitzgerald and Newcastle street. What are the other upgrades that the City of Vincent and the City of Perth planned for this intersection and what is the planned budget and time line?

*Main Roads Western Australia has approved a \$1.22 million Black Spot project submission from the City of Vincent for safety upgrades at the intersection of Fitzgerald Street and Newcastle Street.*

*The approved scope of works is strongly focused on improving pedestrian and road user safety and includes:*

- *Installation of Walk/Don't Walk pedestrian lanterns on all four crossings, incorporating Flashing Yellow Caution Lights (FYCLs), push-button activation, and audio-tactile indicators.*
- *Removal of right-turn filter movements on Fitzgerald Street in both the northbound and southbound directions to reduce vehicle–pedestrian conflict.*
- *Introduction of parallel pedestrian walk phases with full red arrow control (Timed Red Arrow – Full Control) on the northern crossing.*
- *Introduction of parallel pedestrian walk phases with timed separation on the western, southern and eastern crossings.*
- *Installation of a staggered (set-back) stop line for the southbound right-turn pocket to improve sight distance and intersection safety.*
- *Realignment of pedestrian guidelines and repositioning of pram ramps to improve accessibility and compliance.*
- *Relocation of the traffic signal control box from the south-west corner to support the revised intersection layout.*

*Funding for the project will be delivered through the Black Spot Program, with one-third contributed by the City of Vincent and two-thirds funded by Main Roads Western Australia.*

*Subject to final design and delivery programming, construction is currently scheduled for completion towards the end of the 2026–2027 financial year.*

2. The right of way that runs parallel with Fitzgerald street from Newcastle street is in poor condition. When is the likely upgrade and what budget has been allocated to do this.

*The following laneway has now been included into the City of Vincents renewal program for 2028/2029 financial year, costs are yet to be determine however expected to be below \$50K.*

**Lesley Florey of Mt Hawthorn**

Regarding the two formal complaints lodged against the CEO:

1. Was the CEO Performance Review Panel notified of the two formal and serious complaints that were lodged? If not, why not?

*The complaints about the stormwater drain from Lesley Florey were received and reviewed by the Mayor. The Mayor replied and advised Ms Florey to raise these administrative concerns with the Ombudsman as the City has dealt appropriately with the matter.*

2. Was Price Consulting Group notified of the two serious complaints prior to, or at, the CEO performance review? If not, why not?

*No. The complaints were administrative in nature and did not relate to the CEO's KPIs. The Mayor advised Ms Florey to raise any outstanding administrative concerns with the Ombudsman as the City has dealt appropriately with the matter.*

3. If a landowner has a City of Vincent stormwater pipe running through their property and the City is aware of its presence, but a land transaction, such as a property sale occurs without the easement being recorded on the title, due to a lack of transparency, non-disclosure and omission by the City, will the City accept negligence and provide the landowner with compensation under the Local Government Act?

*This matter was subject to an insurance claim which was denied by LGIS. The City considers the matter closed.*

4. How many residential vacant land properties, excluding state housing, are there in the City of Vincent?

*As of December 2025, we have 368 vacant rateable properties excluding the Department of Housing residential properties.*

5. Is the GHD and contractors mapping now available on POZI? Layers for stormwater pipes are not accessible on the residential view of POZI.

*The City has made available to the public the POZI mapping system and is in process of adding on the required drainage layer which will show the stormwater drainage information, noting that the City is unable to guarantee the information is completely accurate, however it is based on completed surveys to date.*

**The below question was asked at the Annual General Meeting of Electors on 16 December 2025:**

**Ken Hendy of Perth**

Mr Hendy spoke about the anti-social behaviour he is dealing with at his residence and asked what the Council is able to do, and what could the residents do in this situation?

*The Villa Nightclub operates under a historic development approval issued prior to the boundary change between the City of Perth and the City of Vincent, and the site has functioned as a nightclub since the 1980s.*

*Noise emissions, including amplified music, are regulated under the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 and the City's authorised officers can investigate alleged breaches of these regulations. It is recognised that living in an active urban environment naturally comes with higher-than-usual noise levels, and the City must balance maintaining residential amenity with supporting long-established venues that contribute positively to local culture, entertainment and nightlife.*



Since May 2024, the City's Environmental Health Services have received complaints from 3 residents of 177 Stirling Street regarding alleged unreasonable noise being emitted from the venue. The City has undertaken monitoring and assessment of sound levels from affected properties and identified noise exceedances.

The venue owner has been advised of these findings and has engaged an external acoustic consultant to investigate. Noise-attenuation works are currently underway at the owner's expense. The owner is cooperating with the City and has voluntarily agreed to close the venue (est. April 2026) to facilitate the installation of sound-attenuation measures.

Following completion of the current works, the City will assess the effectiveness of installed sound-attenuation measures and work with the owner to establish ongoing management strategies.

The City has contacted Mr Hendy to provide him an update and is also maintaining ongoing communication with residents of 177 Stirling Street through a resident-appointed chair, who is circulating the City's information to interested parties.

It is noted that reports of antisocial behaviour occurring after patrons leave the venue fall outside the City's regulatory authority and are managed by WA Police and, where relevant, the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor under Section 117 of the Liquor Control Act 1988.

#### **4 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

##### **LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

##### **COUNCIL DECISION**

**Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Castle**

That Cr Worner's request for leave of absence from 11 February – 11 March 2026 be approved.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

##### **LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

##### **COUNCIL DECISION**

**Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Wheadon**

That Cr Greer's request for leave of absence from 11 February to 19 May 2026 be approved.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

## 5 THE RECEIVING OF PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- 5.1 Nathan Calleja made a deputation regarding Item 10.2 – Response to Petition – Vine Street and Hammill Lane Intersection – Safety Concerns.

He provided a Powerpoint a presentation and spoke to it. His full presenttion can be heard [here](#).

- 5.2. A petition with 12 signatures has been received from Philip Sarich and Michaela Carr of North Perth requesting Council to take the following actions:

1. Comprehensive review of current parking management
2. Consultation with affected residents
3. Development of targeted parking and traffic management solutions
4. Commitment to maintaining residential amenity

### PETITION

#### COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the petition be received and a report be prepared.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

## 6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

#### COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on 9 December 2025 be confirmed.

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

## 7 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Presiding Member Alison Xamon did not make any announcements.

## 8 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 8.1 Mayor Alison Xamon declared a direct financial interest in Item 9.6. Submission on Draft Station Precincts Improvement Plan. The extent of her interest is she owns and occupies land within the Claisebrook Station Precinct Improvement Plan boundary. As Council's consideration of this item is intended to influence future planning decisions that may reasonably affect the development potential of that land, she will not participate in discussion or decision-making on this item.
- 8.2 David MacLennan, CEO, declared an impartiality interest in Item 9.4 Transport Advisory Group - Appointment of Council Members and Community Representatives. The extent of his interest is that two of the community representatives nominated for the Transport Advisory Group reported indirectly to him at his previous role at the Department of Planning. He has had no involvement in the recommendation of community members to this group or in the preparation of the report.
- 8.3 Cr Con Poullos declared an impartiality interest in Item 9.7 Proposed Licence for Litis Stadium Changeroom to Floreat Athena Soccer Club Inc. The extent of his interest is that his children play football at Floreat Athena Football Club and he is a life member and former President of the Club.

## REPORTS

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, advised the meeting of:

- (a) **Items which are the subject of a question, comment or deputation from Members of the Public, being:**  
Items 9.1, 9.2 and 10.2.
- (b) **Items which require an Absolute Majority decision which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment, being:**  
Nil
- (c) **Items which Council Members/Officers have declared a financial or proximity interest, being:**  
Item 9.6.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, requested Council Members to indicate:

- (d) **Items which Council Members wish to discuss which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment or require an absolute majority decision and the following was advised:**

COUNCIL MEMBER	ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED
Cr Castle	9.4
Cr Woolf	9.5
Cr Wallace	10.4

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon therefore requested the Coordinator Corporate Strategy & Governance, to advise the meeting of:

- (e) **Unopposed items which will be moved "En Bloc", being:**  
Items 9.3, 9.7, 10.3, 10.5, 10.6, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 11.5, 11.6, 11.7, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3 and 12.4
- (f) **Confidential Reports which will be considered behind closed doors, being:**  
Nil

**ITEMS APPROVED "EN BLOC":**

The following Items were adopted unopposed and without discussion "*En Bloc*", as recommended:

**COUNCIL DECISION**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poulios

That the following unopposed items be adopted "En Bloc", as recommended:

Items 9.3, 9.7, 10.3, 10.5, 10.6, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.4, 11.5, 11.6, 11.7, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3 and 12.4

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**9.3 NO. 88B (LOT: 3; PLAN: 88595) BOURKE STREET LEEDERVILLE - PROPOSED GROUPED DWELLING AND ANCILLARY DWELLING****Ward:** North

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Map 
  2. Development Plans 
  3. Arborist Report 
  4. Landowner and Applicants Justification 
  5. Solar Access Diagram 
  6. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response 
  7. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, **APPROVES** the application for Grouped Dwelling and Ancillary Dwelling at No. 88B (Lot: 3; D/P: 88595) Bourke Street, Leederville in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following condition, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 6:

**1. Development Plans**

This approval is for Grouped Dwelling and Ancillary Dwelling as shown on the approved plans dated 16 October 2025. No other development forms part of this approval;

**2. Landscaping & Tree Protection**

- 2.1 Prior to occupation, all landscaping shown in the approved plans, shall be undertaken to the satisfaction of the City, and thereafter maintained, at the expense of the owner;
- 2.2 Prior to commencement of works on site, the street tree(s) within the verge in front of Nos. 88, 88A and 88B Bourke Street must be protected in accordance with Australian Standard AS4970 (Protection of trees on development sites), and maintained for the duration of construction, to the satisfaction of the City;
- 2.3 Prior to the issue of a Building Permit, a Tree Protection Plan, prepared in accordance with AS4970 – Protection of Trees on Development Sites 2025, shall be submitted and approved by the City. The Tree Protection Plan shall be prepared by a suitably qualified Arborist and include details of the construction method for works to be undertaken to protect the Lemon-Scented Gum located at No. 53 Galwey Street, Leederville. The Tree Protection Plan shall include the following details:
  - 2.3.1 Identification of a Tree Protection Zone and Structural Root Zone;
  - 2.3.2 Methodology and construction details for development within the Tree Protection Zone and Structural Root Zone; and
  - 2.3.3 Fencing of the Tree Protection Zone, to be implemented for the duration of works;
- 2.4 The Tree Protection Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the City. The approved Tree Protection Plan shall be implemented for the duration of the construction of the development, to the satisfaction of the City;

**3. Visual Privacy**

Prior to occupation, all privacy screening as shown on the approved plans shall be installed and maintained thereafter, and shall comply in all respects with the deemed-to-comply provision of Part C, Clause 3.10 (Visual Privacy) of the Residential Design Codes Volume 1, to the satisfaction of the City;

**4. Colours and Materials**

The colours, materials and finishes of the development shall be in accordance with annotations shown on the approved plans and the schedule of finishes which forms part of this approval, unless otherwise approved by the City;

**5. Car Parking and Access**

**5.1** All vehicle parking, manoeuvring and access areas including the common property driveway, shall be constructed, paved and drained in accordance with the approved plans and are to comply with the requirements of Australian Standard AS2890.1, to the satisfaction of the City; and

**5.2** The Vehicle turntable is to be installed and operational prior to the occupation of the development and maintained thereafter, to the satisfaction of the City;

**6. Building Design**

**6.1** The surface finish of boundary walls facing an adjoining property shall be of a good and clean condition, prior to the occupation or use of the development, and thereafter maintained, to the satisfaction of the City. The finish of boundary walls is to be fully rendered or face brick; or material as otherwise approved; to the satisfaction of the City; and

**6.2** At least one window to each habitable room shall be operable in design, to the satisfaction of the City;

**7. External Fixtures**

All external fixtures, such as television antennas (of a non-standard type), radio and other antennas, satellite dishes, solar panels, external hot water heaters, air conditioners, and the like, shall not be visible from the street(s), are designed integrally with the building, and be located so as not to be visually obtrusive, to the satisfaction of the City; and

**8. Stormwater**

Stormwater from all roofed and paved areas shall be collected and contained on site. Stormwater must not affect or be allowed to flow onto or into any other property or road reserve.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.3**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

## 9.7 PROPOSED LICENCE FOR LITIS STADIUM CHANGEROOM TO FLOREAT ATHENA SOCCER CLUB INC

- Attachments:
1. Litis Stadium Changerooms Licence Area 
  2. Market Valuation - Confidential

### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council

1. APPROVES the City granting a licence to Floreat Athena Soccer Club Inc (ABN 24 163 514 023) (FASC) for the use of the Litis Stadium changerooms and storage areas located on a portion of Lot: 31 on Plan: 687 known as No. 41 Britannia Road, Leederville and as shown on the plan in Attachment 1, subject to the following key terms:
  - 1.1 Commencement: 1 April 2026
  - 1.2 Expiry: 30 September 2030
  - 1.3 Licence Area: Approximately 383m<sup>2</sup> (subject to survey)
  - 1.4 Licence fee: \$2,550 per annum plus GST including 25% community benefit rebate, indexed by CPI annually on 1 July.
  - 1.5 Bond: \$2,000 to be maintained throughout the duration of the licence term.
  - 1.6 Permitted use:
 

Changerooms: Non-exclusive right to use as changerooms for sporting and recreational activities.

Storage areas: Used only for storage of FASC's sporting equipment only.

The Licence Area must not be used for any business, commercial, illegal or immoral purposes.
  - 1.7 Permitted days of use:
 

Changerooms: Winter season, each year (1 April to 30 September).

Storage areas: All year round
  - 1.8 Insurance: FASC will hold public liability insurance to a minimum value of \$20,000,000 (per claim).
  - 1.9 Indemnity: The club will indemnify the City against loss or damage to property or persons occurring as a result of the use of the Licence Area.
  - 1.10 Maintenance:
 

During the Permitted Days of Use of the licence term, FASC must ensure that the Licence Area is kept clean and free from rubbish after each use and in good repair.

A deep clean of the Licence Area is required at the end of every Winter season.
  - 1.11 Access: The City and public utilities may access the Licence Area at any time in connection with its respective services.
  - 1.12 Inspection: FASC acknowledges and agrees that the City is

entitled to undertake regular inspections to view the state of repair and condition of the Licence Area by giving reasonable notice to FASC.

2. **AUTHORISES** the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate the Licence Agreement with Floreat Athena Soccer Club Inc and **NOTES** that the agreement will be executed in accordance with the City's Execution of Documents Policy.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.7**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)



**10.3 RESPONSE TO PETITION - SAFETY CONTROL MEASURES - BRENTHAM STREET**

Attachments: 1. Petition - Brentham Street Crossing - Road Safety Concerns - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That in response to a petition received on 9 December 2025 in relation to Road Safety issues on Brentham Street, Council:

1. NOTES the request for safety control measures in relation to pedestrians crossing Brentham Street and the outcomes of the formal traffic assessment Administration completed;
2. REQUESTS Administration to apply to Main Roads Western Australia to slow the speed on Brentham Street, from Britannia Road to Bourke Street from 50km/h to 40km/h as part of the Perth Inner City Group slower speeds project; and
3. SUPPORTS Administration on continuing to monitor the area as part of its broader traffic management program and reassess the location should conditions materially change in the future.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.3**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos




That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**10.5 APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE AMENDED POLICY - RIGHTS OF WAY - STANDARD AMENDMENT**

- Attachments:
1. Rights of Way Policy 
  2. Operational Guidelines - Rights of Way 
  3. Summary of Key Changes - Rights of Way Policy 

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council **APPROVES** the draft amended Rights of Way Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, which is proposed to replace Laneways and Rights of Way Policy, (Policy No. 2.2.8)

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.5**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**10.6 RFT IE529/2025 MENZIES PARK AND BIRDWOOD SQUARE FLOOD LIGHTING UPGRADE**

**Attachments: 1. Attachment 1 - Evaluation Worksheet RFT IE529-2025 - Confidential**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

- 1. NOTES the outcome of the evaluation process for Request for Tender IE529/2025; and**
- 2. ACCEPTS the tender submissions of Stiles Electrical Pty Ltd for Request for Tender IE529/2025 Separable Portion 1 Menzies Park Flood Lighting Upgrade and Separable Portion 2 Birdwood Square Flood Lighting Upgrade.**

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.6**

**Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Poullos**

**That the recommendation be adopted.**

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**11.1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 30 NOVEMBER 2025**

Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 30 November 2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 30 November 2025 as shown in Attachment 1.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.1**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “*EN BLOC*” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**11.2 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2025**

Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 31 December 2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 31 December 2025 as shown in Attachment 1.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.2**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos


That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**11.3 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 01 NOVEMBER TO 30 NOVEMBER 2025**

- Attachments:
1. November 2025 - Payments by EFT and Payroll 
  2. November 2025 - Payments by Direct Debits 
  3. November 2025 - Payments by Cheque 
  4. November 2025 - Payments by Fuel Cards 

**Recommendation:**

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 01 November 2025 to 30 November 2025 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2 ,3 and 4 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll		\$6,854,475.14
Cheques	82811	\$ 292.05
Direct debits, including credit cards		\$ 122,280.88
Total payments for November 2025		\$6,977,048.07

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.3**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos





That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**11.4 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 01 DECEMBER 2025 TO 31 DECEMBER 2025**

- Attachments:
1. December 2025- Payments by EFT and Payroll 
  2. December 2025 - Payments by Direct Debit 
  3. December 2025 - Payments by Cheques 
  4. December 2025 - Payments by Fuel Cards 

**Recommendation:**

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 01 December 2025 to 31 December 2025 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2 ,3 and 4 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll		\$13,227,718.44
Cheques	82813-82815	\$ 910.70
Direct debits, including credit cards		\$ 155,587.06
Total payments for December 2025		\$13,384,216.20

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.4**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**11.5 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 30 NOVEMBER 2025**

Attachments: 1. Investment Report as at 30 November 2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 30 November 2025 as detailed in Attachment 1.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.5**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)



**11.6 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2025**

Attachments: 1. Investment Report as at 31 December 2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 31 December 2025 as detailed in Attachment 1.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.6**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**11.7 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING - DRAFT YOUTH ACTION PLAN 2026 AND BEYOND DELIVERABLES**

- Attachments:
1. Draft Youth Action Plan 2026 and Beyond Deliverables 
  2. Summary of Submissions - Administration Response 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council ENDORSES the Youth Action Plan 2026 and Beyond deliverables at Attachment 1.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.7**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**12.1 NATIONAL GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT (NGA) 2026****Attachments: Nil****RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council APPROVES the attendance of Mayor Alison Xamon at the 2026 National General Assembly of Local Government (NGA) in Canberra from 23 – 25 June 2026.**

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.1****Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Poulios****That the recommendation be adopted.****CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “*EN BLOC*” (7-0)****(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)****(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**12.2 APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE NEW PRIVACY AND INFORMATION BREACH POLICY - STANDARD AMENDMENT**

- Attachments:
1. Privacy and Information Breach Policy - updated 
  2. 4.1.31 Privacy Management - current 

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council **APPROVES** the Privacy and Information Breach Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, which is proposed to replace Policy 4.1.31 Privacy Management, at Attachment 2.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.2**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**12.3 COUNCIL RECESS PERIOD 2025-2026 - RECEIVING OF ITEMS DEALT WITH UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BETWEEN 10 DECEMBER 2025 AND 2 FEBRUARY 2026**

**Attachments:** Nil

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council RECEIVES the report on items of business dealt with under delegated authority during the Council recess period, 10 December 2025 and 2 February 2026:

1. New Lease of Portion of No. 4 View Street, North Perth.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.3**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**12.4 INFORMATION BULLETIN**

- Attachments:**
1. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Mindarie Regional Council Meeting held on 18 December 2025 
  2. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Catalina Regional Council Meeting held on 11 December 2025 
  3. Statistics for Development Services Applications as at the end of December 2025 
  4. Register of Legal Action and Prosecutions Monthly - Confidential
  5. Register of Legal Action - Orders and Notices Quarterly - Confidential
  6. Register of State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Appeals - Progress Report as at 22 January 2026 
  7. Register of Applications Referred to the Metro Inner Development Assessment Panel - Current 
  8. Register of Applications Referred to the Design Review Panel - Current 
  9. Quarterly Update - Unrecoverable Parking Infringements Write-Off 
  10. Register of Petitions - Progress Report February 2026 
  11. Register of Notices of Motion - Progress Report - February 2026 
  12. Register of Reports to be Actioned - Progress Report - February 2026 
  13. Council Workshop Items since 19 November 2025 
  14. Council Briefing Notes - 2 December 2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council **RECEIVES** the Information Bulletin dated February 2026.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.4**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (7-0)**

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 6.32pm Executive Manager Communications and Engagement left the meeting and did not return.

At 6.32pm Manager City Buildings and Asset Management left the meeting and did not return.

*The following item was withdrawn by Adminsitration prior to the meeting to allow for futher development of the Policy.*

**10.1 APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE LOCAL AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT POLICY - STANDARD AMENDMENT – WITHDRAWN BY ADMINISTRATION**

**Attachments:**

- 1. Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) Policy**
- 2. Road Safety Management Plan (RSMP)**
- 3. Draft Revised Policy - Local Area Traffic Management - Post Briefing 030226**

**WITHDRAWN BY ADMINISTRATOIN**

**REPORTS WITH DISCUSSION****10.2 RESPONSE TO PETITION - VINE STREET AND HAMMILL LANE INTERSECTION - SAFETY CONCERNS**

**Attachments:**           1.     **Petition - Vine Street and Hammill Lane Intersection - Road Safety Concerns - Confidential**

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.2****RECOMMENDATION:**

That in response to a petition received on 11 November 2025 in relation to Road Safety issues at the intersection of Vine Street and Hammill Lane, Council:

1.     **NOTES** the request for Administration to conduct a formal traffic assessment and implement traffic calming measures to slow traffic, improve sightlines, and enhance the safety of all residents, drivers, and pedestrians using the Vine Street and Hammill Lane intersection; and
2.     **REQUESTS** Administration to advise Main Roads Western Australia of the reported crashes by residents to police relating to four cars being sideswiped at the intersection of Vine Street and Hammill Lane; and
3.     **SUPPORTS** Administration on continuing to monitor the area as part of its broader traffic management program and reassess the location should conditions materially change in the future.

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

**LOST (0-7)**

**For:**            Nil

**Against:**     Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**



**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.2****ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr La Fontaine

**That Council:**

1. **NOTES** the request for administration to conduct a formal traffic assessment and implement traffic calming measures to slow traffic, improve sight lines and enhance the safety of all residents, drivers and pedestrians using the Vine St and Hammill Lane intersection.
2. **SUPPORTS** installation of a raised plateau or, if not deemed feasible, an alternative traffic calming treatment on Vine Street near Hammill Lane to address the blind corner with constrained carriageway, community safety concerns, frequent near misses reported by residents, amenity impacts and vulnerable road users.
3. **AUTHORISES** the CEO to finalise the design and exact location, and to schedule and deliver the works through the City's capital works program.
4. **REQUESTS** the CEO to provide Councillors with an update on proposed timing and the design once known.
5. **SUPPORTS** interim measures on Vine St including refreshing line markings, liaison with Main Roads on warning and advisory signage at the Vine St and Hammill Lane intersection, and review of on-street parking near the corner of Vine St and Angove St to improve sight lines.

**REASON:**

- The Vine St/Hammill Lane intersection is a blind corner with constrained carriageway, and accidents and near misses reported at this location by residents.
- Given its proximity to a daycare and primary school, these roads are regularly used by parents with babies and children.
- This alternate recommendation provides a practical, proportionate response to the resulting traffic safety risk and community concerns: progress an engineered traffic calming treatment through the City's capital works program, alongside interim safety measures.

**ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENT:**

Points 2, 3 and 4 not supported. Point 5 supported and will be undertaken by Administration.

The Traffic Warrant Assessment has considered the matters raised in the petition, including the narrow carriageway, two-way traffic operation, and the influence of on-street parking along the length of the street. The assessment confirms the road functions as a local access road with bidirectional traffic and notes that there are no reported crashes recorded in the Main Roads WA crash database relating to parking. A minimum clear width of approximately three metres is generally maintained between parked vehicles and the opposing carriageway boundary.

The Traffic Warrant and Data Assessment detailed in the report provides the following information –

*“Under the City's LATM warrant criteria:*

- **Scores greater than 50** are classified as *Technical Problem Sites*.
- **Scores between 30 and 50** are classified as *Minor Technical Problem Sites*.
- **Scores below 30** are classified as *Sites with low safety and amenity concerns*.

*With a total score of **16**, Vine Street is classified as a site with low safety and amenity concerns, for which no further action is required at this time under the adopted framework.*

*When assessed against all current traffic investigations across the City:*

- *The Vine Street / Hammill Lane location is ranked **441** out of **638** assessed locations.*
- *This places the site in the lower priority range for further investigation or treatment.”*

Administration advises that higher-priority locations typically involve a combination of elevated speeds, higher crash rates, or network-wide safety risks. The City has been pursuing a precinct-wide traffic modelling approach to understanding and prioritising road safety and traffic management needs. Consequentially Administration focuses its resources on these areas first.

Based on current workloads and prioritisation, it is unlikely that this location would be investigated further or progressed to detailed design within the next 2 to 3 years, unless there is a material change in conditions (such as a significant crash history or altered traffic environment).

Given the low warrant score it is highly unlikely that the City would be able to attract government funding towards traffic management infrastructure in this location. Any intervention would therefore be 100% City funded.

The estimated design and construct for a ‘standard’ plateau is \$120,000. For comparison, the estimated cost for design and construct of the Venn Street / Fitzgerald Street intersection improvement is \$75,000.

Administration commits to pursue discussions with Main Roads WA for consideration of an advisory yellow caution bend / speed sign at the corner as part of the broader review of appropriate warning signage.

Administration will also schedule the repainting of road markings, and The Traffic and Transport team will continue to work with the Rangers team to review parking demand and behaviour, noting that the petition indicated a preference for retaining on-street parking where possible.

**CARRIED (7-0)**



**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**9.2 NOS. 484 & 488-492 (LOTS: 51 & 52; D/P: 29193) BEAUFORT STREET, HIGHGATE - CHANGE OF USE FROM EATING HOUSE TO TAVERN (AMENDMENT TO APPROVED)****Ward:** South Ward

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Plan 
  2. Development Plans 
  3. Traffic Impact Statement and Parking Management Plan 
  4. Updated Venue Management Plan 
  5. Acoustic Report 
  6. Waste Management Plan 
  7. Previous Approval 
  8. Clause 67 Assessment 
  9. Data and Analysis Tables 
  10. Summary of Submissions - Administration Response 
  11. Summary of Submissions - Applicant Response 
  12. Determination Advice Notes 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme, **APPROVES** the application for a proposed Change of Use from Eating House to Tavern (Amendment to Approved) at Nos. 484 & 488-492 (Lots: 51 & 52; D/P: 29193), Highgate in accordance with the plans provided in Attachment 2, by deleting all conditions and replacing them with the following, with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 12:

**1. Development Approval**

This approval relates to a Change of Use from Eating House to Tavern (Amendment to Approved) as shown on the approved plans dated 9 September 2014 and 8 August 2025. No other development forms part of this approval;

**2. Use of Premises**

- 2.1 This approval is for a Tavern as defined in the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2. The use of the subject land for any other land use may require further approval from the City;
- 2.2 The hours of operation of the Tavern shall be limited to 11:00am – 12:00am (midnight) Monday to Sunday, and Public Holidays; or as otherwise permitted under an Extended Trading Permit issued by the Racing Gaming and Liquor Division of the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries; and
- 2.3 The Tavern shall be limited to a maximum of 400 persons (patrons and staff), at any one time;

**3. Venue Management**

- 3.1 The premises shall operate in accordance with the approved Venue Management Plan dated 4 February 2026, at all times, to the satisfaction of the City; and
- 3.2 The Waste Management Plan, dated 5 December 2025, must be implemented at all times, to the satisfaction of the City;

**4. Acoustic Report and Noise Management**

- 4.1 All operational measures and recommendations outlined in the approved Acoustic Report (E-LAB Consulting), dated 9 December 2025, shall be implemented and maintained thereafter, to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers.

- 4.2 The Tavern shall operate in accordance with operational scenarios and recommendations of the approved Acoustic Report at all times, to the satisfaction of the City; and

5. Car and Bicycle Parking

- 5.1 Within 28 days of the date of this approval, the car parking areas on the subject site shall be sealed, drained, paved and line marked in accordance with the approved plans, dated 8 August 2025, and the Venue Management Plan dated 25 November 2025. The car parking area shall be thereafter maintained by the owner(s)/occupier(s) to the satisfaction of the City; and
- 5.2 Within 28 days of the date of this approval, a minimum of 12 long-term bicycle bays shall be provided at the rear of the property and designed in accordance with AS2890.3. The bicycle parking area shall be thereafter maintained by the owner(s)/occupier(s) to the satisfaction of the City.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2**

**Moved:** Cr Castle, **Seconded:** Cr Wheadon

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon



**Against:** Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

**9.1 NO. 496 (LOTS: 145 & 146; D/P: 2630) CHARLES STREET, NORTH PERTH - CHANGE OF USE TO UNLISTED USE (CAR WASH AND DETAILING) (AMENDMENT TO APPROVED)**

**Ward:** North

- Attachments:**
1. Consultation and Location Map 
  2. Development Plans 
  3. Applicant Planning Report 
  4. Applicant Response to Request for Information 
  5. Noise and Operational Management Plan 
  6. Acoustic Report 
  7. Transport Impact Statement 
  8. Main Roads Western Australia Referral Comments 
  9. Summary of Submissions - Administration's Response 
  10. Summary of Submissions - Applicant's Response 
  11. Clause 67 Assessment 
  12. Compliance History 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme:

1. **APPROVES** the development application for a proposed Change of Use to Unlisted Use (Car Wash and Detailing) (Amendment to Approved) at No. 496 (Lots: 145 & 146; D/P: 2630) Charles Street, North Perth, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions:
  - 1.1 All conditions, requirements and advice notes detailed on the development approval 5.2022.13.1 granted on 18 October 2022 continue to apply to this approval except as follows:
    - 1.1.1 Condition 1.3 is modified as follows:

No vehicles, goods or materials are to be parked or stored within the 'Work Free Zone' as shown on the approved plans at any time;
    - 1.1.2 Condition 1.4 is added as follows:

Activity within the 'Work Free Zone' is restricted to the movement of vehicles from the 'Waiting Bays' to the 'Wash Bays' and the 'Wash Bays' to the 'Final Touch-Up Bays'. No other activity is permitted in this area including the parking of vehicles, congregation of staff or other activities associated with the use, to the satisfaction of the City;
    - 1.1.3 Condition 1.5 is added as follows:

This approval is for a Change of Use Unlisted Use (Car Wash and Detailing) (Amendment to Approved) as shown on the approved plans dated received 29 August 2024 and 1 August 2025. No other development forms part of this approval;
    - 1.1.4 Condition 1.6 is added as follows:

Prior to the first use of the additional Wash Bay for the development, a roof plan is to be provided to, and approved by, the City. The roof plan shall show the location of the proposed exhaust fans, consistent with the locations indicated in the Environmental Assessment by ND Engineering (Ref: 2307071 Rev. 4), dated 11 December 2025, to the satisfaction of the City;

**1.1.5 Condition 3.1 is modified as follows:**

The use must at all times be carried out in accordance with the approved Proposed Site/Floor Plan dated 29 August 2024 and 1 August 2025, to the satisfaction of the City;

**1.1.6 Condition 3.2 is modified as follows:**

The development shall comprise of a maximum of six working station bays, comprising of two (2) Wash Bays and four (4) Final Touch-Up Bays, to the satisfaction of the City;

**1.1.7 Condition 3.3 is modified as follows:**

Unless otherwise specified in the Noise and Operational Management Plan (NOMP), vehicles must only be washed or detailed/dried on the property in a bay shown on the Approved Plan as being provided for that purpose;

**1.1.8 Condition 4.1 is modified as follows:**

The use must at all times operate in compliance with the Environmental Assessment by ND Engineering (Ref: 2307071 Rev. 4) dated 11 December 2025. All recommended measures in the report shall be undertaken in accordance with the report, to the satisfaction of the City; and

**1.1.9 Condition 4.3 is added as follows:**

In accordance with the Environmental Assessment by ND Engineering (Ref: 2307071 Rev. 4), dated 11 December 2025, the two hand spray nozzles shall be electronically interlocked with the Proposed Acoustic Roller Doors so that if either of the Proposed Acoustic Roller Doors is open then the power supply to the hand spray nozzles is disabled until both Proposed Acoustic Roller Doors are closed, to the satisfaction of the City;

**1.1.10 Condition 5.1 is modified as follows:**

The use shall at all times operate in compliance with the NOMP dated 5 February 2026;

**1.1.11 Condition 5.4 is modified as follows:**

The bays shown on the Approved Plan must be used in accordance with the use of the bay as set out in the NOMP Plan. No work is to be undertaken on vehicles within the 'Proposed Waiting Bays', the 'Proposed Exit Bays' or the 'Staff Parking' bays, unless otherwise specified in the NOMP;

**1.1.12 Condition 9 'Prior to Use of Additional Wash Bay' is added as follows:**

Prior to the first use of the additional Wash Bay, the line marking and directional signage at the subject site shall be updated onsite to align with the movement of vehicles within the development site, as per the approved plans, to the satisfaction of the City;

**1.1.13 Condition 10 'Main Roads WA' is added as follows:**

- 10.1** No development other than the temporary waiting bay shall be permitted on the land required for future road purposes;
- 10.2** The area required for future road purposes is not to be included in the specific carparking requirement for the development;
- 10.3** All vehicle access shall be restricted to the existing driveway;
- 10.4** All waste collection is to be onsite;
- 10.5** Stormwater discharge shall not exceed pre-development discharge to

**Charles Street Road Reserve; and**

**10.6 The proposed moveable sign does not form part of this approval;**

**1.1.14 Advice Note 3 is modified as follows:**

**The upgrading/widening of Charles Street is not in Main Roads current 4-year forward estimated construction program and all projects not listed are subject to change without notice, and Main Roads assumes no liability for the information provided;**

**1.1.15 Advice Note 7 is added as follows:**

**Lot 145 and 146 (496) Charles Street, North Perth is impacted by Planning Control Area 167 for the future upgrade of Charles Street. The land will be required for road purposes sometime in the future;**

**1.1.16 Advice Note 8 is added as follows:**

**Where a Planning Control Area (PCA) is in place, approval for development is required from the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), as well as under any relevant planning scheme, unless the PCA imposes requirements to the contrary;**

**1.1.17 Advice Note 9 is added as follows:**

**Prior to undertaking works within the Charles Street Road Reserve, a Working on Roads Permit must be obtained from Main Roads; and**

**1.1.18 Advice Note 10 is added as follows:**

**The applicant is required to submit an Application Form to undertake works within the road reserve prior to undertaking any works within the road reserve. Application forms and supporting information about the procedure can be found on the Main Roads website > Technical & Commercial > Working on Roads.**

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1**

**Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Wallace**

**That the recommendation be adopted.**

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**


At 7.02pm Manager Strategic Planning & Sustainability left the meeting.

At 7.02pm Manager Public Health and Built Environment left to the meeting.

At 7.04pm Manager Strategic Planning & Sustainability returned to the meeting.

At 7.04pm Manager Public Health and Built Environment returned to the meeting.

**9.4 TRANSPORT ADVISORY GROUP - APPOINTMENT OF COUNCIL MEMBERS AND COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES**

- Attachments:
1. Transport Advisory Group - Terms of Reference 
  2. Transport Advisory Group - Nominations - Confidential

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council:

1. **APPROVES** the Terms of Reference for the Transport Advisory Group, as at Attachment 1;
2. **APPOINTS** the following Council Members as Council's representatives to the Transport Advisory Group for a term expiring on 16 October 2027;

Members:

1. Mayor Alison Xamon;
2. Cr Con Poullos
3. Cr Reece Wheadon; and

and the Chair of the Advisory Group will be Cr Ashley Wallace; and

3. **APPOINTS** the following community members to the Transport Advisory Group, as detailed in Confidential Attachment 2;
  1. Applicant 1;
  2. Applicant 2;
  3. Applicant 3;
  4. Applicant 4;
  5. Applicant 5;
  6. Applicant 6; and
  7. Applicant 7

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.4**

**Moved:** Cr Castle, **Seconded:** Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

*It was noted that one applicant withdrew their application prior to the Council Meeting, reducing the applicant pool to seven. Council Members were advised of the withdrawal before the meeting,*



**9.5 ADVERTISING OF DRAFT PUBLIC HEALTH PLAN 2026 - 2031**

- Attachments:**
1. Draft Public Health Plan 2026-2031 
  2. Achievements from the Public Health Plan 2020-2025 

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**That Council:**

1. **APPROVES** the draft Public Health Plan 2026–2031, included in Attachment 1, for public consultation for a period of 21 days in accordance with the City's Community and Stakeholder Engagement Policy; and
2. **NOTES:**
  - 2.1 That any submissions received during the advertising period would be presented to Council for consideration; and
  - 2.2 That the draft Public Health Plan 2026–2031 will be subject to further formatting, styling and graphic design as determined by the Chief Executive Officer prior to adoption.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.5**

**Moved:** Cr Woolf, **Seconded:** Cr Castle

**That the recommendation be adopted.**

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

Mayor Alison Xamon advised that, due to her previously declared direct financial interest in the Claisebrook Station Precinct, discussion would be split.

Discussion occurred in relation to the Leederville and Glendalough Station Precincts.

At 7.17pm Mayor Alison Xamon left the meeting and Deputy Mayor Cr Alex Castle assumed the Chair.

No further discussion occurred in relation to the Claisebrook Station Precinct.

#### 9.6 SUBMISSION ON DRAFT STATION PRECINCTS IMPROVEMENT PLAN

- Attachments:**
1. Western Australian Planning Commission Chair Correspondence - Station Precincts Improvement Plan 
  2. Improvement Plan Catchments - Planning Context Maps 
  3. Improvement Plan Catchments - Inclusion Criteria Assessment 

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **ENDORSES** a submission being made to the Western Australian Planning Commission on the draft Station Precincts Improvement Plan being prepared in accordance with Part 8, Division 1, Section 119(3B) of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* advising that it:
  - 1.1 **SUPPORTS** the intent and purpose of the draft Improvement Plan which aligns with the intent of the City's Local Planning Strategy for increased density to be achieved through urban consolidation within activity and town centres, and areas with strong public transport connections;
  - 1.2 **SUPPORTS** the draft Improvement Plan boundaries for Claisebrook and Glendalough with modifications as shown in Attachment 3 to align with the planning framework, strategic redevelopment opportunities, density transition, and infrastructure and public realm upgrade opportunities;
  - 1.3 **REQUESTS** the inclusion of Leederville in the draft Improvement Plan which would align with the intent to create Station Precincts with the boundary aligning with 1.2 and as shown in Attachment 3;
  - 1.4 **SUPPORTS** the draft Improvement Plan purpose and objectives with modifications to:
    - The purpose to facilitate the cessation of incompatible non-residential uses, and to enable the purchase of strategic sites by the Western Australian Planning Commission; and
    - The objectives to ensure the coordination and delivery of key infrastructure and public realm upgrades by the State Government to meet the needs of the future community in these areas; and
  - 1.5 **NOTES** the importance of the Western Australian Planning Commission working with the City and community to ensure that the Improvement Plans and Schemes can support higher density redevelopment in a way which positively responds to the community and local planning framework vision for each area; and
2. **ENDORSES** the Mayor and/or CEO to write to the Western Australian Planning Commission and relevant State Government Ministers to advocate for greater investment in infrastructure to meet the needs of the future community in these areas.

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.6**

**Moved: Cr Poullos, Seconded: Cr Wheadon**

**That the recommendation be adopted.**

**CARRIED (6-0)**

**For:** Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil




**(Mayor Xamon was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)**

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

At 7.18pm Mayor Alison Xamon returned to the meeting and resumed the Chair.

**10.4 APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE AMENDED POLICY - VERGE TREATMENTS - STANDARD AMENDMENT**

- Attachments:
1. Verge Treatment Policy 
  2. Operational Guidelines - Verge Treatments 
  3. Summary of Key Changes - Verge Treatment Policy 

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council **APPROVES** the proposed amendments to the Verge Treatment Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, which is proposed to replace Verge Treatments, Plantings and Beautification Policy (Policy No. 2.2.4).

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the recommendation be adopted.

**AMENDMENT**

Moved: Cr Wallace, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

**Further amend the Verge Treatment Policy at Attachment 1 by:**

1. **RETITLE** the amended clause 2 "Mowing and Cleaning of Street Verges" to "Maintenance of Street Verges"; and
2. **ADD** the following new sub-clause –

**"2.3 Landscaping of verges and medians**

**A verge and median of a main and distributor road within the City that is not otherwise maintained by an adjoining landowner will be landscaped and maintained by the City."**

**;and**

3. **APPROVES** the ~~proposed amendments~~ **amended** Verge Treatment Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, replacing the Verge Treatments, Plantings and Beautification Policy (Policy No. 2.2.4).

**REASON:**

Main and distributor roads represent the City's most visible public corridors and offer significant opportunity for strategic tree other vegetation plantings to achieve urban forest targets. City-led maintenance would ensure consistent implementation of the Sustainable Environment Strategy's urban greening objectives, creating continuous green corridors rather than fragmented residential efforts.

These arterial routes form the City's "front door" and shape community perception. Professional landscaping on main roads creates thriving places that reflect the City's commitment to quality public realm outcomes, directly supporting the Strategic Community Plan's "Thriving Places" pillar for efficiently managed City assets.

Properties fronting busy distributor roads face higher maintenance burdens (noise, pollution, traffic impacts)

while providing less usable verge space for residents. City responsibility would address this inequity and ensure consistent, accessible footpath clearances and amenity along high-pedestrian-volume routes, supporting the "Accessible City" objective.

---

**ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENT:**

Not supported.

The consideration of a proposed increase to service levels would be more appropriate through the annual budget process or through an action outcome from a strategic plan, rather than through an amendment to a Policy.

Administration does not currently have the information to understand the square metres of impacted verge and medians of main and distributor roads. Consequentially, the cost to landscape / ecozone these areas and the ongoing maintenance outlay (beyond tree planting) is unable to be assessed.

The proposed location of the verges and medians will almost certainly require traffic management for establishment and maintenance, which significantly inflates the cost. It is suggested that this could amount to budgetary impacts in the hundreds of thousands.

For example - to tidy and remove weeds in the Loftus Street median (between Vincent Street and Newcastle Street). Works require Main Roads WA approval, can only be conducted at night and will cost an estimated \$15,000 for one visit.

If this was landscaped, requiring perhaps maintenance 4 times per year plus higher service level gardening, cost could be as much as \$80,000 per year. This does not include the cost of reticulation or watering.

---

**AMENDMENT CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)**

**COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.4**

That Council:

Further amend the Verge Treatment Policy at Attachment 1 by:

1. RETITLE the amended clause 2 "Mowing and Cleaning of Street Verges" to "Maintenance of Street Verges"; and
2. ADD the following new sub-clause –

**"2.3 Landscaping of verges and medians**

A verge and median of a main and distributor road within the City that is not otherwise maintained by an adjoining landowner will be landscaped and maintained by the City."

;and

3. APPROVES the amended Verge Treatment Policy, at Attachment 1, for the purpose of community consultation, replacing the Verge Treatments, Plantings and Beautification Policy (Policy No. 2.2.4).

**CARRIED (7-0)**

**For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

**Against:** Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Greer was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 7.19pm Manager Strategic Planning & Sustainability left the meeting.

At 7.21pm Manager Strategic Planning & Sustainability returned to the meeting.

**13 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

Nil

**14 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)**

Nil

**15 REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES**

Nil

**16 URGENT BUSINESS**

Nil

**17 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED**

Nil

**18 CLOSURE**

There being no further business, the Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, declared the meeting closed at 7.39pm with the following persons present:

<b>PRESENT:</b>	Mayor Alison Xamon	Presiding Member
	Cr Alex Castle	North Ward
	Cr Nicole Woolf	North Ward
	Cr Con Poulios	North Ward
	Cr Ashley Wallace	South Ward
	Cr Ashlee La Fontaine	South Ward
	Cr Reece Wheadon	South Ward
<b>IN ATTENDANCE:</b>	David MacLennan	Chief Executive Officer
	Peter Varris	Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment
	Rhys Taylor	Executive Director Community & Business Services
	Jay Naidoo	Executive Director Strategy & Development
	Michael Hancock	Manager Development & Design
	Mitchell Hoad	Manager Strategic Planning & Sustainability
	Eamonn Lourey	Coordinator Place
	Prue Reddingius	Manager Public Health and Built Environment
	Luke McGuirk	Manager Engineering
	Sarah Hill	Manager Parks
	Emma Simmons	A/Executive Manager Corporate Strategy & Governance
	Wendy Barnard	Executive Assistant to the Mayor and Council Support

**Public:** There were no members of the public present

These Minutes were confirmed at the 10 March 2026 meeting of Council as a true and accurate record of the Council Meeting held on 10 February 2026.

Signed: Mayor Alison Xamon

Dated : 10 March 2026