



CITY OF VINCENT

MINUTES

Ordinary Council Meeting

19 May 2026

Table of Contents

1	Declaration of Opening / Acknowledgement of Country.....	3
2	Apologies / Members on Leave of Absence	3
3	(A) Public Question Time and Receiving of Public Statements	4
	(B) Response to Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice.....	9
4	Applications for Leave of Absence	12
5	The Receiving of Petitions, Deputations and Presentations	12
6	Confirmation of Minutes	12
7	Announcements by the Presiding Member (Without Discussion)	13
	7.1 Speed Limits	13
8	Declarations of Interest	14
	Reports	14
	Items Approved "En Bloc":	15
	11.1 Financial Statements as at 31 March 2026	16
	11.2 Authorisation of Expenditure for the Period 1 March 2026 to 31 March 2026.....	17
	11.3 Investment Report as at 31 March 2026.....	18
	11.7 Outcome of Advertising and Adoption of Amended Investment Policy	19
	12.1 Proposed Partial Acquisition of Lot 807 From Catalina Regional Council.....	20
	12.2 Information Bulletin	21
	Reports with Discussion.....	22
	9.1 No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship	22
	9.2 Outcome of Advertising, and Adoption of the City's Public Health Plan 2026 – 2031.....	29
	10.1 Approval to Advertise Local Area Traffic Management Policy - Standard Amendment	30
	10.2 Safe Trading Site - 62 Frame Court Carpark, Leederville	31
	11.4 Differential Rating Strategy 2026/2027	32
	11.5 Event Sponsorship 2026/2027.....	33
	11.6 Adoption of the Long Term Financial Plan 2026/27 - 2035/36	35
	11.8 RFT CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installations	36
	12.3 Dorrien Gardens Sponsorship and Naming Rights.....	38
13	Motions of Which Previous Notice Has Been Given.....	40
	13.1 Notice of Motion - Mayor Alison Xamon - Public Health Advertising Restrictions and Advocacy.....	40
14	Questions by Members of Which Due Notice Has Been Given (Without Discussion).....	42
15	Representation on Committees and Public Bodies	42
16	Urgent Business	42
17	Confidential Items/Matters For Which the Meeting May be Closed	42
18	Closure	42

**MINUTES OF CITY OF VINCENT
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AS E-MEETING AND AT THE ADMINISTRATION AND CIVIC CENTRE,
244 VINCENT STREET, LEEDERVILLE
ON TUESDAY, 19 MAY 2026 AT 6:00 PM**

PRESENT:	<p>Mayor Alison Xamon Cr Alex Castle Cr Nicole Woolf Cr Con Poulios Cr Sophie Greer Cr Ashley Wallace Cr Ashlee La Fontaine Cr Reece Wheadon</p>	<p>Presiding Member North Ward North Ward North Ward South Ward South Ward South Ward South Ward</p>
IN ATTENDANCE:	<p>David MacLennan Peter Varris</p> <p>Rhys Taylor</p> <p>Jay Naidoo</p> <p>Michael Hancock Luke McGuirk</p> <p>Chris Dixon</p> <p>Prue Reddingius</p> <p>Lisa Williams</p> <p>Main Bhuiyan</p> <p>Joslin Colli</p> <p>Wendy Barnard</p>	<p>Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment Executive Director Community & Business Services Executive Director Strategy & Development Manager Development & Design Manager Engineering (left at 7.10pm during Item 12.3) Senior Projects and Strategy Officer (electronically)(left at 6.49pm after Item 10.2) Manager Public Health and Built Environment (left at 7.10pm during Item 12.3) Executive Manager Communications & Engagement (left at 7.09pm after Item 11.6) Manager Financial Services (left at 7.09pm after Item 11.6) Executive Manager Corporate Strategy & Governance Executive Assistant to the Mayor and Council Support</p>
Public:	Approximately three members of the public.	

1 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon declared the meeting open at 6.00pm and read the following Acknowledgement of Country statement:

“The City of Vincent would like to acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Whadjuk people of the Noongar nation and pay our respects to Elders past and present, acknowledging that as the City of Vincent we have a role to play in working towards reconciliation and justice for First Nations people.”

2 APOLOGIES / MEMBERS ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Sophie Greer on approved leave of absence from 11 February 2026 to 19 May 2026 but was in attendance.

Cr Suzanne Worner was an apology for this meeting.

3 (A) PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND RECEIVING OF PUBLIC STATEMENTS

No questions and statements were received at the meeting.

There being no speakers, Public Question Time closed at approximately 6.01pm.

The following questions and statements were submitted in writing prior to the meeting.

Dudley Maier of Highgate – Items 11.4, 10.1 and 10.2

1. Differential Rates – Item 11.4

- 1.1. The City significantly increased the relative rate for vacant properties in the 2025/2026 rates. How many of the vacant properties that were charged the higher rate in 2025/2026 have been subject to a development application since June 2025, both in absolute numbers and in terms of percentage of vacant properties?
- 1.2. How many properties will be affected by the new “Short Term Rental Accommodation” (STRA) category? How many of these are ‘hosted’ accommodation, and how many are un-hosted?
- 1.3. Given the relatively small number of STRA properties, and given that the proposal is to significantly increase their rates, will the City be individually notifying owners of these properties of the proposed rates increase, and also providing the justification for the increase?
- 1.4. For the Briefing of 12 May I asked whether the City had any empirical evidence to back up claims that STRA properties generated more waste than non-STRA properties. The response was that *“high level estimates indicate that waste generation and contamination rates are higher with STRA properties”*.

What exactly is meant by *“high level estimates”*? Are there any facts to back up these so-called estimates? If so, what are the estimated increases in waste produced? Specifically:

- what sort of estimate is there of the amount or general waste produced by non-STRA properties;
 - what is the estimated level of waste produced by hosted STRAs;
 - what is the estimated level of waste produced by un-hosted STRAs;
- 1.5. In answer to my questions, the Briefing Notes state that the administration claim that *“the City currently incurs costs related to STRA properties that are materially higher than the increase proposed in the new STRA differential rates”*. It must be noted that the response simply says the costs relate to STRA properties and does not claim that they are extra costs specifically related to the nature of the use.
 - What categories do these costs fall into (e.g. planning, enforcement etc)?
 - What is the total ‘extra’ cost for each of these categories in terms of an STRA use as opposed to a normal residential use?
 - If it is the case that incurred costs exceed the rates that will be raised, does the City agree that this means that non-STRA properties are subsidising STRA properties?

- 1.6. In answer to my questions, the Briefing Notes state that the administration claim that “*Significant time is spent by Administration, particularly in relation to planning assessments and regulatory compliance*”.
- Aren't planning issues more of a one-off cost rather than an annual cost?
 - If they are one-off costs, why is the City proposing on on-going recovery of costs rather than a one-off charge?
 - Do many of the applications for STRAs actually involve any development work that needs to be assessed against the R-Codes and City Policies?
 - Isn't the majority of 'planning' work simply notifying neighbours and assessing responses?
- 1.7. The Statement of Financial Activity shows that the revenue from “Service Charges” drops from \$7million in 2025/26 to zero in 2026/27. These Service Charges represent revenue from property owners in areas having the power put underground. In September 2024 the City's web site used to indicate that Area 2 (North Perth/ Mt Lawley) was supposed to commence in late 2025 and that this was changed to “TBC” (To Be Confirmed) by September 2025. Area 3 (Perth/Highgate) is currently being shown as commencing in January 2027.

When Council initially approved the financial model for underground power on 20 June 2023, the Service Levies for Areas 2 and 3 were intended to be charged in July 2024 and July 2025 respectively, and projects in those two areas were proposed to start in October 2024 and August 2025!

- Given that no provision has been made in the 2026/27 budget to collect costs from either Areas 2 or 3, can we assume that these projects have been, once again, delayed?
- If this is the case, who initiated the delay this time – Western Power or the City?
- Can you confirm that the delay in Service Charges for Area 2 is (at least) three years?
- Given the significant variation to the financial model presented to Council in June 2023, will the Administration be presenting a revised financial model to Council and the community?

2. Local Area Traffic Management – Item 10.1

- 2.1. At the bottom of page 6 of the Road Safety Management Plan (Appendix 2) it shows that at 50km/h, two out of ten persons survive a collision, and that at 40km/h, six out of ten persons survive a collision.

In answer to my questions, the Briefing Notes state that at “*30km/h it is generally recognised that approximately 9 out of 10 pedestrians are likely to survive a collision*”. Crudely put, this is a 50 percent improvement over the 6 out of 10 who would survive a collision at 40km/h.

Additionally, the very first recommendation of the consultant's report evaluating the 40 km/h trial was to “**Implement area wide 30km/h speed zones for all local access and some distributor residential streets throughout the City of Vincent**”. [OMC 14 February 2023, Item 10.1, Attachment 6, page 36]

On page 2 of the proposed LATM Policy it shows that the recommended operating speed on Access Roads is 30-40km/h (i.e. it gives a30km/h as an alternative).

Given that the City acknowledges that a 30km/h collision roughly represents a 50% increase in survivability for pedestrians over a 40km/h collision, and given that the professional evaluation of the results of the 40km/h trial was to recommend the move to 30km/h, why does the City's administration continue to focus on 40km/h rather than the internationally accepted target of 30km/h (or 20 mph)?

3. Safe trading site – Item 10.2

At the 9 December 2025 Council meeting the Council passed a motion moved by Cr Woolf requesting that the CEO present a report to Council about a model for a safe trading site within the City. Council asked that the report be presented within 3 months (i.e. by 9 March 2026).

The requested report has finally been presented to council, over 5 months after the initial request. Given that the actual decision making (where have we got CCTV) and implementation actions (create appropriately worded signage and advertising material) is relatively simple, if not trivial, and that given wording can be based on the Stirling model, why has it taken so long for the administration to respond to council?

What is actually intended by authorising the CEO to “*enforce the operation of the site*”?

STATEMENT

Item 10.1 - Local Area Traffic Management Policy

For a document that is supposed to “*replace legacy practices and ensure a modern, consistent, and transparent approach to local area traffic management*”, this document is a soggy lettuce.

What actually is new that replaces ‘legacy practices’? The warrant system has been around for a long time. It’s good that it is made more public, and there seems to be some sort of commitment to adhere to it, but the reality is that will just become a tool to justify inaction.

The City used to have a Local Area Traffic Management Advisory Group. It was initiated by Cr Ian Ker, a transport planner, and was probably the only advisory group the City has ever had that consistently achieved positive community-driven outcomes, as opposed to the usual bureaucratic claptrap that mires most advisory groups.

The key element of its success was that it actually engaged with residents who felt they were subject to adverse traffic impacts. Community members would come to the group meeting and present their case, then the group would discuss the issues while the community members were there. If further data was required, the staff would provide it. It allowed the consideration of objective and subjective data. One of the biggest positives was that the community members could see a process, and could see that other community members, who were part of the advisory group, were involved – it just wasn’t staff members or council members.

In reality, all the proposed policy is doing is formalising a decision tree, it is not setting any tangible targets for improvement – it just contains vague statements like ‘speed zoning and reduction of speeds are actively pursued’.

As to possible targets: The attached Road Safety Management Plan (Attachment 2) has a chart about crash survivability (page 5). It only shows 40km/h and 50km/h data. At the Briefing I asked for comparable data for 30km/h crashes. The response in the Briefing Notes shows that at “*30km/h it is generally recognised that approximately 9 out of 10 pedestrians are likely to survive a collision*”. Crudely put, this is a 50 percent improvement over the 6 out of 10 who would survive a collision at 40km/h.

Additionally, the very first recommendation of the consultant’s report which evaluated the 40 km/h trial was to “**Implement area wide 30km/h speed zones for all local access and some distributor residential streets throughout the City of Vincent**”. [OMC 14 February 2023, Item 10.1, Attachment 6, page 36]. This recommendation wasn’t even commented on by the Administration.

I am currently in Austria. The posted speed in residential streets in Vienna, large cities and villages is 30km/h. In the City of London, the posted speed is 20mph (32 km/h) for 100% of streets, and 52% of streets in the greater London area are at 20m/h.

30 km/h is the norm in Europe and has been for some time!

The policy document should be setting this target as part of the City's policy - a target of 30k/h on access roads, leaving the distributors to carry the faster traffic, just as the road hierarchy was intended to reflect. None of this is new – it has been the issue since before the 40km/h trial. So the obvious question is 'why?' And I'm sorry to say that the answer is just as obvious – the City, both administration and council, lacks the courage or capacity to mount a cogent argument to make real change. It is far easier to sit back and just do the easy stuff like the 40km/h change that realistically sounded good, cost quite a lot, provided photo opportunities, but made no real difference to driver behaviour – the speeds were already pretty close to 40km/h anyway, and most people know that it isn't enforced.

So, with respect, here's your chance to do something practical, rather than sitting there, ticking a box but doing nothing significant.

And as another point: Action 1.6 says that ensuring most [why not all?] development projects that involve a permanent change to the road environment are Road Safety Audited at design stage. Sounds good, but the target is July 2028 – why isn't it happening now?

Item 11.4 – Differential Rates – Short Term Residential Accommodation

The justification for introducing the new Short Term Residential Accommodation (STRA) is extremely weak. This clearly is just a mechanism to try and punish owners of such properties in the attempt to 'encourage' them to change the use of the property. The so-called reasons are not clearly proven, and the increase is unlikely to drive any change. Admittedly, it will go down well with the anti-STRA believers.

The very first obvious 'mistake' is that the recommendation for approval refers to "Short Term Rental Accommodation" yet the report then refers to just targeting un-hosted STRAs. So who is the intended target – un-hosted or all STRAs?

The argument is that un-hosted STRAs typically place greater demand on local infrastructure and services due to higher occupant turnover, including increased waste generation, use of public amenities, and pressure on parking and local amenities.

The demands on local infrastructure are hard to work out. Probably the claim about extra waste is the one that can most easily be demonstrated and validated. I therefore asked at the Briefing if the City had any empirical data to support the claim about waste. And the answer was an (implied) NO, and that they simply were relying on "*high level estimates which indicate that waste generation and contamination rates are higher for STRAs*" – whatever "high level estimates" are. That's like me saying my mate Greg, knows a bloke whose brother reckons they produce more waste.

I would suggest that the reality is probably different. My first person observation (I'm not relying on a mate of a mate) as a person who has used a lot of such properties in the last few years suggests that users of un-hosted STRA users fall into two camps – those that choose the STRA so that they can prepare meals themselves, and those that predominantly use local restaurants and cafes.

Vincent has a high occupancy for STRAs part of which is explained by the popularity with business visitors to the CBD, a group that usually relies on local businesses to keep them fed. This cohort supports local business and is likely to produce less general waste.

I'd also point out that the Administration claim that "*the City currently incurs costs related to STRA properties that are materially higher than the increase proposed in the new STRA differential rates*". It must be noted that the response simply says the costs relate to STRA properties, and does not claim that they are extra costs specifically related to the nature of the use. If this statement is true, then the Administration are claiming that non-STRA property owners are subsidising STRA owners. Is that what you intend as a Council – that the rest of the community subsidises STRA owners?

And finally, given that there are a small number of STRA owners, are you as a Council going to request that each owner in the new group be notified directly of the proposed change. After all, if the arguments are so compelling, and the data supports the move, it will be a piece of cake.

Andrew Main of North Perth**Street Tree Pruning**

In 2025, and most recently on 12 May 2026, Western Power carried out pruning on street trees on Alfonso Street. In addition, the City's contractors also pruned these same trees in the second half of 2025.

In response to communication I initiated with Western Power this month, it advised that a notice was sent to the City of Vincent advising that the trees required pruning, and because this was not done, Western Power undertook emergency pruning.

Questions:

1. Are the City's contractors not pruning street trees in accordance with Western Power's clearance requirements, resulting in Western Power having to undertake additional emergency pruning.
2. If so, does this mean that ratepayers are effectively funding the pruning of the same trees twice a year, when only one pruning cycle should be required.

Street Tree Policy – Planting Statistics The City's Street Tree Policy – Guidelines and Procedures, in place since June 2024, includes a commitment for the City to:

"Achieve an annual target of 75% new planting across City owned or managed land being native tree species, with a preference for Australian native species where appropriate."

Questions:

1. Since this guideline became operational, can the City provide data on the total number of trees planted, and how many were native and how many were exotic species.
2. How many of this total were street trees, and of those, how many were native species and how many were exotic species.
3. In the quoted commitment from the City guideline, it refers to "native" and "Australian native" species. Can the City advise what the difference is.

Robertson Park – Narrowed Path

In the Robertson Park Improvement Plan drawings published on the City's website in 2025, the native garden bed and shared path along the western side of the tennis courts were shown as remaining in place. However, once development occurred, the garden bed was removed and the path was narrowed to around 1.5 m - narrower than other recently constructed paths in Robertson Park, nearby reserves, and street footpaths.

The City has stated that the path cannot be widened on the western side due to the presence of trees located approximately 2.5 m west of the path.

Questions:

1. Why was the path narrowed and the garden bed removed.
2. Why weren't residents and users advised of this major change.
3. Given the narrower width of the path and that it now runs immediately alongside a mesh fence with two gate openings, what assessment did the City undertake of the usability and safety implications for people walking and riding.
4. Why is the City stating that the presence of trees prevents the path from being widened when the City regularly permits crossovers to be constructed on public verges throughout the district that are often closer to street trees than at Robertson Park.

Administrations' responses will be provided in the Agenda for the 16 June 2026 Ordinary Council Meeting.

(B) RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Administration responses are below in italics.

Robert & Vesna Trajcevski – Item 9.2

Thank you for your response regarding our petition, noted for the Council Meeting on 21 April 2026. Before the matter is put to motion, we would appreciate clarification on one important point to ensure the petition is considered with full context.

Our concern is whether residents, future owners, and commercial tenants of the Celsius development (currently under construction on Alma/Raglan Road, North Perth) would be eligible to apply for parking permits under the City's Parking Permits Policy — now or in the future — and consequently whether they would be entitled to park in any Resident-Only bays on Raglan Road (between Leake Street and Fitzgerald Street) established as a result of our petition.

We note that the City's Parking Permits Policy states that newer apartment and mixed-use developments that include on-site parking as part of their development approval are generally not eligible for on-street parking permits. Our understanding is that the Celsius development's approval includes on-site parking provision.

Could the City please confirm in writing:

1. Whether residential apartment owners, visitors and tenants within the Celsius development — including any future owners or tenants — are eligible for parking permits on Raglan Road between Leake Street and Fitzgerald Street under the current Parking Permits Policy? We ask that this be confirmed with reference to the specific Development Approval conditions for the Celsius development, rather than in general policy terms only.

Parking permits are not issued through a development approval. They are issued separately under the City's Parking Local Law, with eligibility guided by the Parking Permits Policy. The current policy does not support permits for residents or visitors of newer apartment developments where on-site parking is provided.

The Celsius development approval for Nos. 367 – 387 Fitzgerald Street, North Perth, does include an advice note stating that parking permits will not be issued.

This confirms that under the current policy, residents and visitors of the Celsius development would not be eligible for parking permits on Raglan Road.

2. Whether any commercial tenants and businesses within the Celsius development, including body corporate, facilities management, and regular service contractors, would similarly be ineligible for permits or priority access on Raglan Road between Leake Street and Fitzgerald Street?

The advice note on the development approval relates to residential and visitor permits and does not address commercial users.

Any request from businesses, building management or contractors would need to be assessed separately under the City's Parking Permits Policy. This means there is no automatic eligibility or entitlement to resident-only parking on Raglan Road under the current policy for commercial tenants.

3. Whether these eligibility exclusions are permanent and attached to the Celsius property and its Development Approval — not solely dependent on the current Parking Permits Policy, which may be amended in the future?

No, they are not permanent. The advice note on the development approval reflects the City's position at the time, but it does not legally fix permit eligibility.

Parking permits are issued under the City's Parking Local Law and eligibility guided by the Parking Permits Policy, which Council can review and change over time. This means eligibility can change in the future, but under the current policy, permits would not be issued.

4. Whether these eligibility exclusions would be formally reflected in the Council report and any motion arising from our petition, so that the intent of Resident-Only parking on Raglan Road is explicitly and permanently protected — not left to future policy interpretation?

No, these would not be permanently protected as the parking permit policy approach will be fully dependent on the future environment as well as guided by the Accessible City Strategy and the Parking Precinct Plan.

We believe this clarification is critical so that the Council and existing residents can be confident that a Resident-Only designation would genuinely and permanently protect Raglan Road for its current and future households, and not inadvertently — or at a later date — create a new parking entitlement for the Celsius development's occupants or operators.

Minh Khuu of West Perth

Statement / Preamble:

1. On 11 November 2020, the City issued a formal written admission stating it had 'no jurisdiction' over the structure on my land. Since then, I have provided the City with five certified land surveys proving this wall is a private structure located up to 180mm inside my property. Why has the Audit and Risk Committee ignored this 2020 admission and the certified cadastral evidence, allowing a prosecution to proceed on the basis of a 'manufactured' jurisdictional fact? Given that the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee is tasked with overseeing legislative compliance and financial reporting, why has the City's 11 November 2020 admission of non-jurisdiction been ignored in the current risk assessment for this litigation?

The City cannot comment on matters currently before the court.

2. This litigation is causing a direct liquidated debt of \$30.98 per day in bank interest penalties for which the City is liable. This debt now exceeds \$9,500. Is the Council aware of this accruing daily debt, and what is the total expenditure of ratepayer funds on McLeods solicitors for this specific matter?

The City cannot comment on matters currently before the court.

3. The City is prosecuting my 2.4-metre wall while granting immunity to a neighbour's 3-metre masonry wall and 2.5-metre metal fence. How does the City justify this selective enforcement to the EOC, and how does this serve the 'public interest'?"

The City considers enforcement action in accordance with its Development Compliance Enforcement Policy, applying a graduated and proportionate approach to non-compliance. Decisions are made on a case-by-case basis in having regard to the circumstances.

4. What is the City's estimated exposure if the Supreme Court grants a Full Indemnity Costs Order due to the 'unreasonable and improper' nature of this prosecution?

The City cannot comment on matters currently before the court.

Lesley Florey of Mt Hawthorn**Questions:**

- Where did the City publicly advertise the proposed rate increases? I would appreciate a full list of all the platforms, public locations and statutory notices.

Notices which include relevant details of the City's intention to impose Differential and Minimum Rates and an invitation for submissions from electors and ratepayers in respect to the proposed differential rates were published through:

- *The City of Vincent's website*
- *E-news*
- *Social media*
- *Advertisements in Perth Voice and Perth Now Central*
- *Hard copy notifications in the Administration Building and the Library*

- On what dates will the City advertise the proposed rate increase for 2026/2027?

At the time of responding specific dates have not yet been confirmed. It is anticipated that the proposed 2026/2027 differential rates will be advertised in May 2026. Further details will be made available closer to the time.

- What process does the City follow if the ratepayer objects to a significant rate increase, like the 25% increase to my rates?

The City invites ratepayers to provide submissions during the advertising period on proposed differential rates. All submissions received are carefully considered and form part of the information presented to Council before approving the Annual Budget.

The City is not able to reduce rates after they have been approved by Council through the Annual Budget process.

- Has the City ever reduced a rate increase due to a ratepayer objection and applied it across the board?

The City has not previously reduced rates after they have been approved by Council through the Annual Budget process.

- How does the City determine the rates for properties with a substantial size dwelling, such as homes with four bedrooms, three bathrooms and multiple toilets compared with properties like mine, a vacant lot with a large City stormwater pipe easement and restrictions?

The City applies differential rating categories in accordance with the Local Government Act 1995. Each category (residential, vacant land, or commercial) is assigned a rate in the dollar, which is applied consistently to all properties within that category.

The rates payable for an individual property are then calculated by applying the rate in the dollar to the property's Gross Rental Valuation (GRV). The GRV is independently determined by Landgate, not the City.

Property owners can contact Landgate if they have queries regarding their GRV.

4 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**LEAVE OF ABSENCE****COUNCIL DECISION**

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That Cr La Fontaine's request for leave of absence from 1 – 28 June 2026 be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

5 THE RECEIVING OF PETITIONS, DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Stuart Neal from Slavin Architects gave a deputation on Item 9.1 No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth - Proposed Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship.

His full deputation can be heard [here](#).

6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**COUNCIL DECISION**

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on 21 April 2026 be confirmed.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

7 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

The Presiding Member Alison Xamon made the following announcement:

7.1 SPEED LIMITS

I am pleased that last week the City was finally able to get changes to some of the speed limits on some of the roads, most notably Lord Street, which has gone from 60kmh down to 50kmh, and Vincent Street, between William and Beaufort Street, finally went from 50kmh down to 40kmh, which is long overdue and makes it consistent in terms of its proximity to Hyde Park and as a high pedestrian area.

I want to note that this didn't happen easily. This is an issue that we have been advocating for, for at least two years, with Main Roads, and we are in ongoing discussion with Main Roads about trying to slow down a number of distributor roads.

The City of Vincent is very clear that 60kmh roads are intended to be the exception rather than the rule, and that would be East Parade, Charles Street and Loftus Street as very high traffic corridors. Other than that, there is an expectation that we should be able to significantly lower the speed limits around the rest of our distributor roads all around Vincent.

Councillors are also aware that as part of the discussions we have been having with Main Roads for some time is that we have raised the possibility of also having 30kmh limits within many of our local roads. These discussions are ongoing.

We are pleased that Main Roads allowed us to take the lead in terms of the trial for the 40kmh limit, which is now permanent, but that is also not something that happened very easily. I am aware that Main Roads now have a particular interest in focusing on trying to roll out more 40kmh speed limits on a number of highly congested inner city roads as well, and that's certainly something that's being pushed quite heavily as part of the Perth Inner City Group.

We are highly supportive of Main Roads focussing on the rollout of 40kmh zones, and as a Council that continues to take the lead, we will keep pushing for what we believe is right for our community, which is to look at more of our local roads that can go down to 30kmh. We're getting close to almost 700 traffic warrants that have been undertaken in the City of Vincent.

We have the proposal on the agenda tonight around how we're going to look at local area traffic management. What we know is that a number of our roads that currently have been identified as requiring various built form speed limiting measures could also do with just having a lower speed limit. That would take some of the pressure off and some of the time imperatives in terms of the installation of various traffic treatments. So it's a solution that we have been pushing for behind the scenes for quite some time and will continue to do.

I also note we've got areas where we're pushing for 20kmh, and I think particularly of the Oxford Street Town Centre, which is heaving, particularly on a Friday and a Saturday night, where we have heavy pedestrian activity and could use slowing down to at least 20kmh now, if not eventually closing that particular part of Leederville.

I particularly want to acknowledge and thank those residents who over the last couple of years have been prepared to work so constructively with me and with the Council in terms of those lobbying activities. They have been able to work very collaboratively and I'm really very grateful to them.

Also a huge thank you to those residents who continue to work so collaboratively and so constructively with the Council as we all work together as one community to try to make sure that we are slowing down the traffic in the City of Vincent, and that we are hopefully limiting the amount of rat running. I'd love to have it eliminated completely and certainly limiting that and making sure that Vincent can continue to prioritise pedestrian activity and also alternative forms of transport as safe ways to get around our cities.

So in the meantime, I'm glad that Lord Street is slowing down and I'm looking forward to getting more road signs up to indicate that.

I thank once again the amazing work that's been done by the Engineering team in terms of their discussions with Main Roads and their persistence at ensuring that this was not off the agenda at any given point.

Her full statement can be heard [here](#).

8 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 8.1 Cr Poulos declared a financial interest in Item 11.5 Event Sponsorship 2026/2027. The extent of his interest is that he is a board member of Floreat Athena Football Club, that has been allocated a grant.
- 8.2 Cr Alex Castle declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.5 Event Sponsorship 2026/2027. The extent of her interest is she is friends with the organiser of one of the events that applied for funding in the 2026/2027 Event Sponsorship round.
- 8.3 Cr Nicole Woolf declared an impartiality interest in Item 11.5 Event Sponsorship 2026/2027. The extent of her interest is that she met with one of the applicants a year ago to discuss the broad concept of their event, but has had no involvement in the grant application.
- 8.4 Cr Reece Wheadon declared a financial interest in Item 13.1 Notice of Motion Public Health Advertising Restrictions and Advocacy. The extent of his interest is that he is a licensee of several liquor licensed venues and CEO/shareholder of an alcohol production company which has a relationship with and utilises oOh! Media.

REPORTS

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, advised the meeting of:

- (a) **Items which are the subject of a question, comment or deputation from Members of the Public, being:**
Items 9.1.
- (b) **Items which require an Absolute Majority decision which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment, being:**
Nil
- (c) **Items which Council Members/Officers have declared a financial or proximity interest, being:**
Items 11.5 and 13.1.

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, requested Council Members to indicate:

- (d) **Items which Council Members wish to discuss which have not already been the subject of a public question/comment or require an absolute majority decision and the following was advised:**

COUNCIL MEMBER	ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED
Cr Castle	11.6 and 12.3
Cr Woolf	10.2 and 11.5
Cr Poullos	11.8
Cr Greer	9.2, 11.4 and 13.1
Cr La Fontaine	10.1

The Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon therefore requested the Executive Manager Corporate Strategy & Governance, to advise the meeting of:

- (e) **Unopposed items which will be moved “En Bloc”, being:**
Items 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.7, 12.1 and 12.2
- (f) **Confidential Reports which will be considered behind closed doors, being:**
Nil

ITEMS APPROVED “EN BLOC”:

The following Items were adopted unopposed and without discussion “En Bloc”, as recommended:

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

**That the following unopposed items be adopted “En Bloc”, as recommended:
Items 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.7, 12.1 and 12.2**

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS AT 31 MARCH 2026

Attachments: 1. Financial Statements as at 31 Mar 2026 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Financial Statements for the month ended 31 March 2026 as shown in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.1




Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.2 AUTHORISATION OF EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1 MARCH 2026 TO 31 MARCH 2026

- Attachments:
1. March 2026- Payments by Eft and Payroll 
 2. March 2026 - Payments by Direct Debits 
 3. March 2026 - Payments by Fuel Cards 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the list of accounts paid under delegated authority for the period 01 March 2026 to 31 March 2026 as detailed in Attachments 1, 2, and 3 as summarised below:

EFT payments, including payroll	\$ 11,775,138.54
Direct debits, including credit cards	\$ 416,293.14
Total payment for Mrach 2026	\$ 12,191,431.68

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.2

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.3 INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 31 MARCH 2026

Attachments: 1. Investment Report as at 31 March 2026 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council NOTES the Investment Statistics for the month ended 31 March 2026 as detailed in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.3

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

11.7 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING AND ADOPTION OF AMENDED INVESTMENT POLICY

Attachments: 1. Investment Policy 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council ADOPTS the Investment Policy at Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.7

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.1 PROPOSED PARTIAL ACQUISITION OF LOT 807 FROM CATALINA REGIONAL COUNCIL

Attachments: 1. Transfer of Land Document 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. AUTHORISE the acquisition of 1/12th of Lot 807 from the Catalina Regional Council for Nil consideration.
2. NOTE that the current market value of the land being acquired is \$4,750,000 with the City's acquisition having a value of \$395,833.
3. AUTHORISE the Mayor and CEO to sign and seal the Deed of Transfer to enact this transaction.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.1













Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY "EN BLOC" (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

12.2 INFORMATION BULLETIN

- Attachments:
1. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Mindarie Regional Council Special Council Meeting held on 16 April 2026 
 2. Unconfirmed Minutes of the Catalina Regional Council Meeting held on 16 April 2026 
 3. Unconfirmed Catalina Regional Council Special Council Meeting Minutes 
 4. Statistics for Development Services Applications as at the end of April 2026 
 5. Register of Legal Action and Prosecutions Monthly - Confidential
 6. Register of Legal Action - Orders and Notices Quarterly - Confidential
 7. Register of State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Appeals - Progress Report as at 13 May 2026 
 8. Register of Applications Referred to the Metro Inner-North Joint Development Assessment Panel - Current 
 9. Register of Applications Referred to the Design Review Panel - Current 
 10. Register of Petitions - Progress Report May 2026 
 11. Register of Notices of Motion - Progress Report - May 2026 
 12. Register of Reports to be Actioned - Progress Report - May 2026 
 13. Council Workshop Items since 22 April 2026 
 14. Council Briefing Notes - 14 April 2026 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council RECEIVES the Information Bulletin dated May 2026.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 12.2

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.










CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY “EN BLOC” (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

REPORTS WITH DISCUSSION

9.1 NO. 188 (LOT: 1; D/P: 33790) VINCENT STREET, NORTH PERTH - PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO PLACE OF WORSHIP

Ward: South

- Attachments:
1. Consultation and Location Plan 
 2. Development Plans 
 3. Conservation Management Plan 
 4. Engineering Technical Note 
 5. Arborist Technical Report 
 6. Clause 67 Assessment 
 7. Applicant Response to Submissions 
 8. Administration Response to Submissions 
 9. Determination Advice Notes 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme:

1. **APPROVES** part of the application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 9:

- 1.1 **Development Approval**

This approval relates to Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship as shown on the approved plans dated 18 December 2025 and 31 March 2026. No other development forms part of this approval;

- 1.2 **Use of Premises**

- 1.2.1 This approval is for a Place of Worship as defined in the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2. The use of the subject land for any other land use may require further approval from the City;

- 1.2.2 The Place of Worship shall be limited to a maximum of 552 patrons at any one time;

- 1.3 **Landscaping**

- 1.3.1 Prior to the commencement of works, a detailed landscape and reticulation plan for the development site shall be lodged with and approved by the City.

The plan shall be drawn to a scale of 1:100, be generally in accordance with the landscaping plans dated 31 March 2026, and shall show the following to the satisfaction of the City:

- The location and type of proposed trees and plants;
- Areas to be irrigated or reticulated;
- Replacement of proposed Poincianas with appropriate selection of tree species (consistent with the City's Tree Selection Tool) to be located within the deep soil areas to maximise the provision of canopy coverage.

The landscaping is to be maintained thereafter at the expense of the owners/occupiers for the life of the development, to the satisfaction of the City.

1.3.2 All works shown in the approved landscape and reticulation plan as identified in Condition 1.3.1 shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans to the City's satisfaction, prior to the completion of works and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

1.4 Parking Management

Prior to the first use of the parking areas subject to the approval, a Parking Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the City. The Parking Management Plan is to include, but not limited to, addressing the following:

- Detailed management measures for the operation of the vehicular entry gate, to ensure access via Vincent Street, Alfonso Street and Camelia Street is readily available for visitors;
- The allocation of the car parking bays to ensure that there are a total of 95 formal bays provided and 28 informal temporary overflow bays; and
- Detailed management measures for the operation of the temporary overflow parking area.

The approved Parking Management Plan shall be implemented, and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Parking Management Plan and approved plans, to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

1.5 11 short-term and 23 long-term bicycle parking spaces shall be provided on-site in accordance with Australian Standard AS2890.3 prior to the completion of works, to the satisfaction of the City;

1.6 A Construction Management Plan shall be lodged with and approved by the City prior to the commencement of development. This plan is to detail how construction (including demolition and/or forward works) will be managed to minimise disruption in the area and shall include:

- The delivery of and delivery times for materials and equipment to the site;
- Parking arrangements for contractors and sub-contractors;
- Management of noise;
- Public communication and complaint handling procedure;
- Construction times; and
- Tree protection measures to be undertaken to conserve the Lemon Scented Gum tree (Tree ID No. 1) as identified for retention on the approved plans. The approved measures are to be monitored by the Arborist and implemented for the duration of the demolition and construction processes to the satisfaction of the City.

The Construction Management Plan shall be complied with for the duration of the construction of the development; and

2. REFUSES part of the development application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth, as shown on the plans shown in Attachment 2, for the following reasons:

2.1 Pursuant to Clause 67(2)(b), (l), (n), (o), (p) and (zc) of Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, the objectives of the Residential Zone in the City of Vincent's Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and Local Planning Policy: Development Guidelines for Heritage Places, the proposed removal of trees identified as Tree ID's 22 to 32 (inclusive) and the eastern car parking area are not supported. The subject site is a State-registered heritage place and the existing mature trees are healthy, established landscape elements that form a contributory component of the heritage curtilage. As confirmed by the City's Design Review Panel, the trees make a significant contribution to the amenity, character, landscaping, natural environment, urban greening and present-day park-like setting of the place and locality. Their removal, and the introduction of additional hardstand and vehicle dominance associated with the eastern car parking area located forward of the building, would materially affect this setting and result in adverse impacts on the cultural heritage significance, visual amenity and character of the place and locality.

Moved: Cr Poullos, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Poullos, Seconded: Cr Castle

That Recommendation 2 be amended as follows:

~~2. REFUSES part of the development application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth, as shown on the plans shown in Attachment 2, for the following reasons:~~

~~2.1 Pursuant to Clause 67(2)(b), (l), (n), (o), (p) and (zc) of Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, the objectives of the Residential Zone in the City of Vincent's Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and Local Planning Policy: Development Guidelines for Heritage Places, the proposed removal of trees identified as Tree ID's 22 to 32 (inclusive) and the eastern car parking area are not supported. The subject site is a State-registered heritage place and the existing mature trees are healthy, established landscape elements that form a contributory component of the heritage curtilage. As confirmed by the City's Design Review Panel, the trees make a significant contribution to the amenity, character, landscaping, natural environment, urban greening and present-day park-like setting of the place and locality. Their removal, and the introduction of additional hardstand and vehicle dominance associated with the eastern car parking area located forward of the building, would materially affect this setting and result in adverse impacts on the cultural heritage significance, visual amenity and character of the place and locality.~~

2. REQUESTS the following additional information in accordance with clause 65A(1) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* for part of the development application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth:

2.1 The Applicant shall submit the following information for Council's consideration:

2.1.1 A detailed Landscape Master Plan for the entire site, including the following information:

- (i) Existing tree canopy and proposed staged increase in canopy.
- (ii) Details of the scope and time period covered by the Landscape Master Plan.
- (iii) Tree planting locations and general species selection.
- (iv) General maturity of existing tree and proposed and pot size litreage of plantings of new trees.
- (v) Details of the tree replacements proposed for the existing New Zealand Christmas Trees, Fiddlewood tree and Macadamia tree to include:
 - Preferred species selection that will not result in obscuring views to the Monastery and Church building from Vincent Street.
 - Pot size litreage.
 - Height and canopy of the trees after five years of growth.

Trees species should not include species identified as known hosts of the polyphagous shot-hole borer.

2.1.2 Landscape details relating to the screening of the proposed six eastern car parking bays.

3. REQUESTS Administration:

3.1 Prepares a report for Council's consideration at its 15 September 2026 Ordinary Meeting of Council.

3.2 Updates Attachment 2 – Development Plans to remove annotations referring to aspects of the development being refused.

REASON:

To allow the acceptable parts of the proposal to proceed while seeking further information on landscaping, tree replacement and car parking before determining the balance of the application.

ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENT:

Administration is supportive of the proposed approval of part 1 of the recommendation and deferral of part 2 of the recommendation, pending further information. Administration has been working with the Applicant since the submission of the subject application to better understand the long term landscaping concepts for the entire site. The submission of this information, whilst may not impact Administrations recommendation, will allow for a holistic consideration of the proposed tree removal in the context of broader landscaping.

The proposed removal involves nine mature New Zealand Christmas trees (No. 22 – 29 & 31 in the Arborist Report), one mature Fiddlewood tree (No. 30 in the Arborist Report) and one semi-mature Macadamia tree (No. 32 in the Arborist Report). These trees are located east of the driveway at the Vincent Street entry and are up to 8 metres in height.

The Arborist Report confirms the trees proposed to be removed are generally healthy, stable and do not pose a risk to the Church or surrounding environment. The trees have been assessed as having a Useful Life Expectancy of approximately 15 to 40 years from the time the report was prepared in 2025.

The information provided in support of the application has not sufficiently demonstrated a clear nexus or offset for the proposed removal of the trees, this includes:

- The existing trees form part of the heritage character of the site.
- Inappropriate species selection for proposed tree replacements.
- Concerns the replacement tree species would further obscure views to the building from Vincent Street.
- The landscaping for the overall site has not demonstrated the future canopy coverage.

Should Council defer a portion of the application pending further information, Administration would be required to undertake a full assessment of updated information, this would include:

- Referral to internal departments.
- Referral to the City of Vincent Design Review Panel.
- Referral to the Heritage Council of WA.
- Assessment of the application and potential requests for further information.
- Drafting of report for Council's consideration.

The submitted information should build on the current application package, clearly detailing a holistic landscaping overview of the entire site in the form of a Landscape Master Plan. This should clearly detail the existing tree canopy, the useful life expectancy and health of existing trees and a staged increase and replacement plan over the entire site for the lifetime of the development.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.1

That Council, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2 and the Metropolitan Region Scheme:

1. **APPROVES** part of the application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth, in accordance with the plans shown in Attachment 2, subject to the following conditions with the associated determination advice notes in Attachment 9:

- 1.1 **Development Approval**

This approval relates to Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship as shown on the approved plans dated 18 December 2025 and 31 March 2026. No other development forms part of this approval;

- 1.2 **Use of Premises**

- 1.2.1 This approval is for a Place of Worship as defined in the City of Vincent Local Planning Scheme No. 2. The use of the subject land for any other land use may require further approval from the City;

- 1.2.2 The Place of Worship shall be limited to a maximum of 552 patrons at any one time;

- 1.3 **Landscaping**

- 1.3.1 Prior to the commencement of works, a detailed landscape and reticulation plan for the development site shall be lodged with and approved by the City.

The plan shall be drawn to a scale of 1:100, be generally in accordance with the landscaping plans dated 31 March 2026, and shall show the following to the satisfaction of the City:

- The location and type of proposed trees and plants;
- Areas to be irrigated or reticulated;
- Replacement of proposed Poincianas with appropriate selection of tree species (consistent with the City's Tree Selection Tool) to be located within the deep soil areas to maximise the provision of canopy coverage.

The landscaping is to be maintained thereafter at the expense of the owners/occupiers for the life of the development, to the satisfaction of the City.

- 1.3.2 All works shown in the approved landscape and reticulation plan as identified in Condition 1.3.1 shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans to the City's satisfaction, prior to the completion of works and maintained thereafter to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

1.4 Parking Management

Prior to the first use of the parking areas subject to the approval, a Parking Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the City. The Parking Management Plan is to include, but not limited to, addressing the following:

- Detailed management measures for the operation of the vehicular entry gate, to ensure access via Vincent Street, Alfonso Street and Camelia Street is readily available for visitors;
- The allocation of the car parking bays to ensure that there are a total of 95 formal bays provided and 28 informal temporary overflow bays; and
- Detailed management measures for the operation of the temporary overflow parking area.

The approved Parking Management Plan shall be implemented, and the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved Parking Management Plan and approved plans, to the satisfaction of the City at the expense of the owners/occupiers;

1.5 11 short-term and 23 long-term bicycle parking spaces shall be provided on-site in accordance with Australian Standard AS2890.3 prior to the completion of works, to the satisfaction of the City;

1.6 A Construction Management Plan shall be lodged with and approved by the City prior to the commencement of development. This plan is to detail how construction (including demolition and/or forward works) will be managed to minimise disruption in the area and shall include:

- The delivery of and delivery times for materials and equipment to the site;
- Parking arrangements for contractors and sub-contractors;
- Management of noise;
- Public communication and complaint handling procedure;
- Construction times; and
- Tree protection measures to be undertaken to conserve the Lemon Scented Gum tree (Tree ID No. 1) as identified for retention on the approved plans. The approved measures are to be monitored by the Arborist and implemented for the duration of the demolition and construction processes to the satisfaction of the City.

The Construction Management Plan shall be complied with for the duration of the construction of the development; and

2. REQUESTS the following additional information in accordance with clause 65A(1) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* for part of the development application for Alterations and Additions to Place of Worship at No. 188 (Lot: 1; D/P: 33790) Vincent Street, North Perth:

2.1 The Applicant shall submit the following information for Council's consideration:

2.1.1 A detailed Landscape Master Plan for the entire site, including the following information:

- (i) Existing tree canopy and proposed staged increase in canopy.
- (ii) Details of the scope and time period covered by the Landscape Master Plan.
- (iii) Tree planting locations and general species selection.
- (iv) General maturity of existing tree and proposed and pot size litreage of plantings of new trees.
- (v) Details of the tree replacements proposed for the existing New Zealand Christmas Trees, Fiddlewood tree and Macadamia tree to include:
 - Preferred species selection that will not result in obscuring views to the Monastery and Church building from Vincent Street.
 - Pot size litreage.
 - Height and canopy of the trees after five years of growth.

Trees species should not include species identified as known hosts of the polyphagous shot-hole borer.

2.1.2 Landscape details relating to the screening of the proposed six eastern car parking bays.

3. REQUESTS Administration:

3.1 Prepares a report for Council's consideration at its 15 September 2026 Ordinary Meeting of Council.

3.2 Updates Attachment 2 – Development Plans to remove annotations referring to aspects of the development being refused.






CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

9.2 OUTCOME OF ADVERTISING, AND ADOPTION OF THE CITY'S PUBLIC HEALTH PLAN 2026 – 2031

- Attachments:**
1. **Public Health Plan 2026-2031** 
 2. **Summary of Submissions and Administration Comment** 
 3. **Stakeholder Feedback: Cancer Council WA** 
 4. **Stakeholder Feedback: Royal Life Saving WA** 
 5. **Stakeholder Feedback: Neami National** 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council **APPROVE** the Public Health Plan 2026-2031 included in Attachment 1.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 9.2

Moved: Cr Greer, Seconded: Cr Wallace

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

10.1 APPROVAL TO ADVERTISE LOCAL AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT POLICY - STANDARD AMENDMENT

- Attachments:
1. Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) Policy 
 2. Road Safety Management Plan (RSMP) 
 3. Traffic Warrant Scoring System 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council APPROVES for the purpose of community consultation, the draft Local Area Traffic Management (LATM) Policy, at Attachment 1, and authorises Administration to advertise the draft Policy in accordance with the City's Corporate Document Development Policy and Community and Stakeholder Engagement Policy.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.1

Moved: Cr La Fontaine, **Seconded:** Cr Wheadon


That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED (7-1)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulos, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer and Cr Wheadon

Against: Cr La Fontaine

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

10.2 SAFE TRADING SITE - 62 FRAME COURT CARPARK, LEEDERVILLE**Attachments:** 1. 2175 - Safe Trading Site_Map **RECOMMENDATION:****That Council:**

1. **APPROVES** the establishment of a designated Safe Trading Site at the proposed location shown in Attachment 1; and
2. **AUTHORISES** the Chief Executive Officer to administer and enforce the operation of the site.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 10.2**Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Wallace****That the recommendation be adopted.****CARRIED (8-0)****For:** Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon**Against:** Nil**(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)**

At 6.49pm Senior Projects and Strategy Officer left the meeting and did not return.

11.4 DIFFERENTIAL RATING STRATEGY 2026/2027

- Attachments:
1. Statement of Financial Activity FY2026/27 (Draft) 
 2. Statement of Objects and Reasons for the Proposed Differential Rates and Minimum Payments for 2026/27 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **ADVERTISES** by local public notice for a period of 21 days, in accordance with Section 6.36(1) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, its intention to levy the following differential rates and minimum rates in 2026/2027 as set out in the Statement of Objects and Reasons for the Proposed Differential Rates and Minimum Payments for 2026/2027, at Attachment 2; and
2. **AUTHORISES** the Chief Executive Officer to invite submissions from electors and ratepayers on the proposed differential rates and minimum payments for 2026/2027:

Rating Category	2026/27	
	Rate in the Dollar	Minimum Rate
Residential	0.06477315	\$1,685.55
Vacant	0.12900000	\$1,794.97
Other	0.07146508	\$1,700.00
Short Term Rental Accommodation	0.07772778	\$2,022.66

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.4

Moved: Cr Greer, **Seconded:** Cr Wheadon

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 6.57pm Cr Poulios left the meeting due to a previously declared financial interest.

11.5 EVENT SPONSORSHIP 2026/2027

- Attachments: 1. Event Sponsorship Guidelines 2026-2027 
2. Event Sponsorship 2026-2027 Applications Summary 

RECOMMENDATION

1. That Council APPROVES 2026/27 Event Sponsorship as follows:

Event	Amount
Revelation Perth International Film Festival Inc. Revelation Perth International Film Festival	\$20,000
Youth Pride Network Queer Prom	\$10,000
Educated by Nature A Day of Creative Play	\$5,000
Floreat Athena Soccer Club The European Food and Wine Festival	\$10,000
Ellena Stacey Fairytales in the Park	\$10,000
Reclink Australia Reclink Cup	\$10,000
The Leederville Precinct Leedy Artfest – Vol III	\$9,500
Mili Markets and Events Taste	\$1,500
Perth International Jazz Festival Jazz Picnic in the Park	\$20,000
The Spot (Dance Studio) Electric Lane Dance Battle	\$5,000
Pisconeri Wholesalers – James De Leo The Provedores Market	\$10,000
Perth International Arts Festival Casa Musica	\$40,000
Rotary Club North Perth Hyde Park Festival	\$20,000
WA Youth Jazz Orchestra 2027 Season Launch	\$8,000
St Patricks Festival WA Inc St Patricks Festival WA	\$20,000 + \$3,000 (shuttle bus)
RTRFM Neon Picnic	\$13,000
Brisbane Hotel Trading's Perth Bears Inaugural Game Event	\$5,000
Perth Bears Perth Bears First Game Activation	\$10,000
Liberation Cooperative Perth Vegan Expo	\$20,000
TOTAL EVENT SPONSORSHIP	\$250,000

NOTES:

- The 2026/27 budget will include provision of \$250,000 for the administration of event grant funding; and
- The allocation of 2026/27 Event Sponsorship remains subject to the recipient signing a Sponsorship Agreement with the City of Vincent identifying all related expectations and

obligations.

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.5

Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Castle

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Poulos was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

At 7.00pm Cr Poulos returned to the meeting.

At 7.00pm Cr La Fontaine left the meeting.

11.6 ADOPTION OF THE LONG TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2026/27 - 2035/36

Attachments: 1. Long Term Financial Plan 2026/27 - 2035/36 

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. **ADOPTS the Long Term Financial Plan 2026/27 to 2035/36.**

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.6

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Poulios

That the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED (7-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr La Fontaine was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

11.8 RFT CB541/2026 CHRISTMAS LIGHTS INSTALLATIONS

Attachments: 1. CB541-2026 Christmas Lights Installations Evaluation - Confidential

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the evaluation process for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation; and
2. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of The Factory Australia Pty Ltd for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation.

Moved: Cr Woolf, Seconded: Cr Poullos

That the recommendation be adopted.

At 7.03pm Cr La Fontaine returned to the meeting.
At 7.03pm David MacLennan, CEO, left the meeting.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Poullos, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the evaluation process for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation; and
2. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of The Factory Australia Pty Ltd for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation; and
3. **APPROVES** the award of contract CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation to The Factory Australia Pty Ltd for a period of three (3) years at a total contract value of \$375,150.00.

REASON:

To clarify that the tender relates to the provision of Christmas lights for the next three financial years and that the budget amount being approved is for all three years.

ADMINISTRATION'S COMMENT:

Supported. This clarifies that the tender is for three years and the total amount approved will be provided for in the budget of each financial year.

AMENDEMENT CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 11.8

That Council:

1. **NOTES** the outcome of the evaluation process for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation;
2. **ACCEPTS** the tender submission of The Factory Australia Pty Ltd for Request for Tender CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation; and
3. **APPROVES** the award of contract CB541/2026 Christmas Lights Installation to The Factory Australia Pty Ltd for a period of three (3) years at a total contract value of \$375,150.00.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poullos, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 7.09pm Executive Manager Communications & Engagement left the meeting and did not return.
At 7.09pm Manager Financial Services left the meeting and did not return.

12.3 DORRIEN GARDENS SPONSORSHIP AND NAMING RIGHTS

Attachments: 1. Proposed sponsorship agreement - Confidential

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. APPROVES:

1.1 the terms of the sponsorship as per Confidential Attachment 1.

1.2 the City's portion of sponsorship fee be placed in the Public Open Space Reserve account.

2. NOTES as per the existing Lease between the City of Vincent and Perth Soccer Club Inc executed 9 May 2018 the City's portion of naming right sponsorship is capped at \$10,000 (incl GST, indexed by CPI) per annum.

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the recommendation be adopted.

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

Pursuant to Section 5.23(2)(C) of the Local Government Act 1995 and clause 2.14 of the Meeting Procedures Local Law 2008, Council moves that the meeting proceeds "behind closed doors" to consider the confidential attachment for Item 12.3 Dorrien Gardens Sponsorship and Naming Rights..

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 7.10pm the livestream was paused to consider Item 12.3 Dorrien Gardens Sponsorship and Naming Rights.

At 7.10pm Manager Development & Design left the meeting.

At 7.10pm Manager Engineering left the meeting and did not return.

At 7.10pm Manager Public Health and Built Environment left the meeting and did not return.

At 7.11pm David MacLennan, CEO, returned to the meeting.

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Greer

That the Council resume an "open meeting".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (8-0)

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

At 7.21pm after consideration of Item 12.3 the livestream resumed and the Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon called on Cr Castle to move the procedural motion discussed during the in camera session.

COUNCIL DECISION**DEFERRED**

Moved: Cr Castle, Seconded: Cr Woolf

That the motion be deferred and a Special Council Meeting be held on Tuesday, 26 May 2026 at 6pm to consider Item 12.3 Dorrien Gardens Sponsorship and Naming Rights.

CARRIED (8-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer, Cr La Fontaine and Cr Wheadon

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

13 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

At 7.22pm Cr Wheadon left the meeting due to a previously declared financial interest.

13.1 NOTICE OF MOTION - MAYOR ALISON XAMON - PUBLIC HEALTH ADVERTISING RESTRICTIONS AND ADVOCACY

That Council:

1. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer to enter into discussions with oOh!media to seek amendments to the City's bus shelter advertising agreement to prohibit advertising that promotes unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, e-cigarettes, gambling products or services, and the fossil fuel industry;
2. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer to advise Council of the outcome of those discussions; and
3. **REQUESTS** the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) to develop an advocacy position consistent with the State Government's Public Health Plan regarding advertising of unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, smoking, e-cigarettes, and gambling products or services.

This advocacy position would be used to advocate with other not-for-profit agencies (including, but not limited to, the Cancer Council, Heart Foundation and Diabetes WA) for banning the advertising of these products by State Government agencies or on State Government land.

Moved: Mayor Xamon, Seconded: Cr Greer

That the recommendation be adopted.

At 7.31pm Manager Development & Design returned to the meeting.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Cr Greer, Seconded: Mayor Xamon

That the recommendation be amended as follows:

That Council:

1. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer to enter into discussions with oOh!media to seek amendments to the City's bus shelter advertising agreement to prohibit advertising that promotes unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, e-cigarettes, gambling products or services, **arms manufacturers** and the fossil fuel industry;
2. **REQUESTS** the Chief Executive Officer to advise Council of the outcome of those discussions; and
3. **REQUESTS** the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) to develop an advocacy position consistent with the State Government's Public Health Plan regarding advertising of unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, smoking, e-cigarettes, and gambling products or services.

This advocacy position would be used to advocate with other not-for-profit agencies (including, but not limited to, the Cancer Council, Heart Foundation and Diabetes WA) for banning the advertising of these products by State Government agencies or on State Government land.

REASON:

Bus shelter advertising is highly visible in public places. Arms manufacturing and the promotion of the sale of use of weapons, ammunition, or other similar items is incompatible with public health and sustainability goals.

AMENDMENT CARRIED (7-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer and Cr La Fontaine

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Wheadon was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

COUNCIL DECISION ITEM 13.1

That Council:

- 1. REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to enter into discussions with oOh!media to seek amendments to the City's bus shelter advertising agreement to prohibit advertising that promotes unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, e-cigarettes, gambling products or services, arms manufacturers and the fossil fuel industry;**
- 2. REQUESTS the Chief Executive Officer to advise Council of the outcome of those discussions; and**
- 3. REQUESTS the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) to develop an advocacy position consistent with the State Government's Public Health Plan regarding advertising of unhealthy food and beverages, alcohol, smoking, e-cigarettes, and gambling products or services.**

This advocacy position would be used to advocate with other not-for-profit agencies (including, but not limited to, the Cancer Council, Heart Foundation and Diabetes WA) for banning the advertising of these products by State Government agencies or on State Government land.

CARRIED (7-0)

For: Mayor Xamon, Cr Castle, Cr Woolf, Cr Poulios, Cr Wallace, Cr Greer and Cr La Fontaine

Against: Nil

(Cr Worner was an apology for the Meeting.)

(Cr Wheadon was absent from the Council Chamber and did not vote.)

14 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)

Nil

15 REPRESENTATION ON COMMITTEES AND PUBLIC BODIES

Nil

16 URGENT BUSINESS

Nil

17 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS/MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

Nil

18 CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member, Mayor Alison Xamon, declared the meeting closed at 7.34pm with the following persons present:

PRESENT:	Mayor Alison Xamon Cr Alex Castle Cr Nicole Woolf Cr Con Poullos Cr Ashley Wallace Cr Ashlee La Fontaine Cr Reece Wheadon	Presiding Member North Ward North Ward North Ward South Ward South Ward South Ward
IN ATTENDANCE:	David MacLennan Peter Varris Rhys Taylor Jay Naidoo Michael Hancock Joslin Colli Wendy Barnard	Chief Executive Officer Executive Director Infrastructure & Environment Executive Director Community & Business Services Executive Director Strategy & Development Manager Development & Design Executive Manager Corporate Strategy & Governance Executive Assistant to the Mayor and Council Support
Public:	No members of the public.	

These Minutes were confirmed at the 26 June 2026 meeting of Council as a true and accurate record of the Council Meeting held on 19 May 2026.

Signed: Mayor Alison Xamon

Dated: 26 June 2026